

NLWJC - Kagan

DPC - Box 006 - Folder 006

**Campaign Finance Reform -
Common Cause Meetings**

2/3 Joan Claybrook - Campaign Finance
2 others??

leading
Nickles - Pop cont cont on this.
McC. Warner, Coverdell

Hearings likely not to start soon - want build pressure
for real reform

Poss

Spending limits -
what to put in place?
Aggregate limit on life Democrat?
(flex sp. limits in
Dole/Mitchell bill)
And w/ PAC limit.

Relationship b/w this + in-state provision?

Senate prob go lsh.

Lots of pub. int pps -
trying for constt
amend / or total
pub financing
(in LWV) (Ellen
Miller project)

Free TV as balance to
indep expends??
clearly on mind of Demos -
need a way to offset
ance this.
cost problem?
Way to structure this?

Shady -
broadening coal.
as much as poss.
↓
env. gps - bring afd?
AARP - also need to
light a fire under.

Repubs - McC
pass.
Thompson
Prambuel
Collins
Chafee
Tetlow
Snow

Fallback to MCF - soft \$ bar - affects Repubs, not Dems!
better disclosure

— Spending cap is blue ~~top~~ line

16-state local div.

- 30 mins
Free TV - do by pop!
This is DE, not CA.
Low cost TV - generally
significant benefit.

In-state media limit

Now all \$ - not just
indiv ~~expenses~~ ~~contribs~~
huge change

⇒ Reed Hunt - issue ref. for free TV.
Add-on to a bill w/mt it??

1/10/97 Campaign Finance

JH met w/ key reformers (Y) (who?) McC/Fine/Shay/Meehan
reassessed support for M-F

waged work at in-state

said w/ w/ CC on various issues

They want to drop bill on 2/15

→ My w/ Pres went Fri - w/ legs + CC

Issues/tactics - discussion of public launch

GET

Separate Orstein bill?

Kerry may introduce this

Wants to come in at last-in-savior

Issues

GET

1. Foreign piece - Foreigner has language

Anyone who can't vote, can't give.

Cost issues?

ER - what are bus. entities?

(can they give now?)

Self-attestation??

→ FW - mistake

2. Issue/express advocacy

GET

OLC language

~~ex~~ AMB: Need to have specific exclusion for vtr guides

FW: Narrowed to paid adverts - so doesn't cover direct mail

Taken out catch-all phrase in p. 1.

now-too narrow

THINK

lang they speak is already in a statute
need some kind of catch-all

Hilley: Don't risk invalidating
Internet??

THINK

FW: optimal language is too narrow

ME: that a reas. person would understand

THINK

Why is it only in A??

weakens arg. for const. - if that element
doesn't show up at all in B.

Hilley - process for getting to the Hill?

Party/Coordination language

occ may be right in general -

but shouldn't be same analysis for parties.

And that's what we're doing in part.

Do they any probs w/ that?

THINK

Meeting
w/ OLC at
10:00

How abt outsiders?

Hilley - should we give up?

FW - No

THINK

Payment issue. FW - This is correct/it's a problem.

How do we solve? Have to figure
out how to tie payments to
campaign activities - but can't

just tie to notice of pub. comment / or
even specif. ID'ed candidates.

Agent - and quite a bit in FECA

assump - agents in this context /

not for all purposes

3018A - in the law now!!

~~FECA~~

PAEs /

Beck - Metzgerbaum collaboration!

Probably OK

Have to get sense of what's
coming down road.