

MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARIES OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS  
CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF  
UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (COMPTROLLER)  
ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (COMMAND,  
CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS AND INTELLIGENCE NCE)  
GENERAL COUNSEL  
INSPECTOR GENERAL  
DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION  
DIRECTORS OF DEFENSE AGENCIES

SUBJECT: Single Process Initiative

Secretary Perry 's memorandum of December 6, 1995 requested that I promulgate guidance for making block changes to existing contracts to unify the management and manufacturing requirements of those contracts on a facility-wide basis, wherever such changes are technically acceptable to the government. Secretary Perry further directed that the single point of contact for this effort will be the Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO) assigned to a facility. Accordingly, I am providing the following additional guidance on these issues.

Replacement of multiple government-unique management and manufacturing systems with common, facility-wide systems should, in the long run, reduce the costs to both our contractors and the DoD. Contractors will, however, in most cases incur transition costs that equal or exceed savings in the near term. We expect that cases where this does not hold true are in the minority, mostly dealing with high value, long-term contracts. Accordingly, I direct use of an expedited, streamlined approach to ensure that the contractors' proposals of block changes are technically acceptable and to quickly identify those cases where there may be a significant decrease in the cost of performance of existing contracts.

ACOs are directed to encourage contractors to prepare and submit concept papers (see the attached TAB A) describing practices that will permit uniform, efficient facility-wide management and manufacturing systems and a method for moving to such systems. Contractor recommendations included in the concept paper should be accompanied by a cost-benefit analysis adequate to determine the rough order of magnitude of the costs and benefits to the contractor of the proposed system changes

(including any impact on the cost of performance of existing contracts). This cost benefit analysis shall be performed without requesting certified cost or pricing data. The detail included in these concept papers/cost analyses is intended to be just sufficient to allow an informed, rapid judgement by the ACO on whether proposed changes to management and manufacturing processes can be approved on a no-cost, block change basis, applying guidance in this letter.

Where such a proposal is technically acceptable and there are no significant net savings in the cost of performing existing contracts, the ACO, after appropriate consultation with program managers, shall issue class modifications to those contracts without seeking an equitable adjustment. In those cases where the contractor's proposal will result in significant decreases in the overall net cost of performance of existing contracts, the contractor should be asked to submit a formal proposal for an equitable adjustment (consideration) and to submit separate, detailed cost data in support of the proposed amount. The negotiation of equitable adjustments should not delay the modification of contracts.

Note that the specific shift from MIL-Q-9858A to ISO-9000 does not in itself result in significant contractor savings in most contracts, and hence can be made on an expedited basis.

I also direct that, effective immediately, ACOs have the authority to execute class modifications, subject to receipt of necessary programmatic authorization from affected components.

The Commander, Defense Contract Management Command (DCMC) shall approve all requests for certified cost or pricing data in connection with this initiative unless such data are required by law. He will also be the focal point for implementing these efforts within DoD, and will facilitate the coordination of the change process. Tab A depicts the block change process detailing underlying assumptions, roles, and responsibilities.

The Commander, DCMC should prepare for me and for the Component Acquisition Executives a brief quarterly report that describes the progress achieved in replacing multiple government-unique management and manufacturing requirements in existing contracts with more efficient, common facility-wide practices.