

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C.**

**In the Matter of**

**CERTAIN POWER SUPPLIES**

**Inv. No. 337-TA-646**

**NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 4, 2008, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, on behalf of Ultra Products, Inc. of Fletcher, Ohio and Systemax Inc. of Port Washington, New York. A supplement to the complaint was filed on May 1, 2008. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain power supplies that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,133,293. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint and the supplement, except for any confidential information contained therein, are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2571.

AUTHORITY: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.10 (2007).

SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 1, 2008, ORDERED THAT –

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain power supplies that infringe one or more of claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 7,133,293, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are –

Ultra Products, Inc.  
6910 State Road 36  
Fletcher, Ohio 45326

Systemax, Inc.  
11 Harbor Park Drive  
Port Washington, New York 11050

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Aerocool Advanced  
Technologies Corporation  
13F-2, No. 75, Hsin Tai Wu Road  
Sec. 1 Hsi Chih  
Taipei Hsien 221  
Taiwan

Langears, Inc. d/b/a/ Aerocool US  
41662 Christy Street  
Fremont, California 94538

Andyson International Co., Ltd.  
Third Floor, 153 Pei-Shen Road, Sec. 3,  
Shen-Keng Village  
Taipei 222  
Taiwan

Atng Power Co., Ltd. a/k/a I Horng  
Power Co., Ltd.  
Third Floor-9, 14 Lane 609 Chung Shin Road  
Sec. 5, San Chung  
Taipei Hsien 241  
Taiwan

Coolmax Technology Inc.  
8F, No. 165, Sec. 2, Datung Road  
Hsi-Chih City  
Taipei 221  
Taiwan

Enermax Technology Corporation  
15F-2, No. 888, Jing-Kuo Road  
Taoyuan  
Taiwan

Enermax USA Corporation  
17733 Rowland Street  
City of Industry, California 91748

High Performance Enterprise PLC  
d/b/a High Performance Group or  
Hiper Group  
Unit 1, The I/O Centre, Fingle Drive  
Milton Keynes, MK13 OAT  
United Kingdom

High Performance Group Inc.  
d/b/a High Performance Group or  
Hiper Group  
Foster City Executive Park  
551 Foster City Boulevard, Suite D  
San Mateo, California 94404

KWI Technology Inc. d/b/a Kingwin  
18221 Railroad Street  
City of Industry, California 91748

San Hawk Technic Co., Ltd.  
a/k/a Sky Hawk Group  
6F, No. 665  
Chung Cheng Road  
Hsin Chuang  
Taipei, Taiwan

Eagle Technology Inc.  
a/k/a Sky Hawk USA or Eagle Tech  
18539 East Gale Avenue  
City of Industry, California 91748

Sunbeam Company  
Room 406, Building A, No. 18  
Sihyuan Street, Jhongheng District  
Taipei City 100  
Taiwan

Sunbeamtech, Inc.  
15339 Don Julian Road  
Hacienda Heights, California 91748

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 401B, Washington, D.C. 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Charles E. Bullock is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.13. Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. §§ 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination

containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott  
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: May 2, 2008