

Managing Fatigue Risks to Enhance Transportation Safety:

Issues and Opportunities

Mark R. Rosekind, Ph.D. **Board Member**

> Closing Remarks TRB Modeling Workshop January 23, 2011

1. Outcomes

- need to specify outcomes:accidents? performance based? other?
- specify meaning of relative risk:
 define in operational terms/outcomes
- validation?!
 what are criteria for validation?
 validated against what?
 need consistent methodology



1. Outcomes

- data: needs/quality/share
- 'bright lines' vs. comparisons: potential regulatory use alcohol .08 parallel
- acknowledge unintended use!
 establish regulatory standard?
 modeling as the 'magic bullet'



1. Outcomes

- empirically determine other relevant factors e.g., workload, sleep disorders
- inputs: diverse factors used
- cumulative effects: monthly/annual
- scheduled vs. actual operation?
 - overtime
 - emergency situations
 - 'unforeseen circumstances"



2. Comprehensive/Integrated Activities

- comprehensive FRMS:
 required vs. recommended
 model is tool . . . how to integrate?
 data to justify FRMS HOS exceptions?
- need for increased communication: modelers . . . users . . . Govt
- need consistency: application, output, etc.



- 2. Comprehensive/Integrated Activities
 - HOS changes/NPRM activity: every mode? either out or in progress!
 - generalization issues:
 across modes? within industry? operations?
 what are the issues?
 what can or can not be generalized?
 - within industry: baseline vs. benchmark database needs



- 2. Comprehensive/Integrated Activities
 - education:
 - foundation for all FRMS activities critical for effective model use
 - learn from International experience!
 10 years of development opportunity
 10 years of application/operational use successes, failures and many cautions opportunity to create different future . . .



- Challenges
 - coordination
 - communication
 - integration
- Significant needs and opportunities exist!
- Critical: science-based and data-driven





NTSB