


## SURVEY C: RESULTS 4

### FACILITATION OF THE ONLINE DIALOGUE

**Question 23. Overall, on a scale of (not enough) 1-10 (too much), how would you rate the frequency of facilitation interventions for the Online Dialogue?**

Question 23.	One	Two	Three	Four	Five	Six	Seven	Eight	Nine	Total
# of Respondents	1	2	2	2	9	2	4	1	2	25




---

*Column1*

---

Mean	5.2
Standard Error	0.412311
Median	5
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	2.061553
Sample Variance	4.25
Kurtosis	-0.17555
Skewness	-0.04342
Range	8
Minimum	1
Maximum	9
Sum	130
Count	25
Largest(1)	9

Smallest(1) 1  
Confidence Level(95.0%)0.850967

**Question 23. Comments. Overall, on a scale of (not enough) 1-10 (too much), how would you rate the frequency of facilitation interventions for the Online Dialogue?**


There were a total of five responses in the “Comments” section of Question 10. Two of the respondents stated that they thought the frequency of facilitation interventions were “about right.”

One person commented on the need for summarizing comments. The other three comments were as follows:

- “Again, not purely a facilitator role, but need for pulling comments together, integration, summary of contrasts, etc.”
- “Intros. were good but when things lagged or questions were asked there did not seem to be sufficient response.”
- “The syntheses of input were quite helpful after the fact, but very challenging to facilitate in real time.”

**Question 24. On a scale of (low) 1-10 (high), how useful were the prefaces at the opening of the questions?**

<b>Question 24.</b>								
	Three	Four	Five	Seven	Eight	Nine	Ten	<b>Total</b>
# of Respondents	3	1	3	2	10	4	2	<b>25</b>



*Column1*


Mean	7.12
Standard Error	0.433282
Median	8
Mode	8
Standard Deviation	2.16641
Sample Variance	4.693333
Kurtosis	-0.4668
Skewness	-0.8356
Range	7
Minimum	3
Maximum	10
Sum	178
Count	25
Largest(1)	10
Smallest(1)	3
Confidence Level(95.0%)	0.89425

**Question 24. Comments. On a scale of (low) 1-10 (high), how useful were the prefaces at the opening of the questions?**

There were a total of four responses made to Question 24. One person could not recall. The other three explained that they thought the prefaces were a “key element” to “get things going.”

**Question 25 On a scale of (low) 1-10 (high), how useful were the periodic response syntheses and commentary from facilitators:**

Question 25.									
	Three	Four	Five	Six	Seven	Eight	Nine	Ten	<b>Total</b>
# of Respondents	3	1	1	4	2	6	5	5	<b>27</b>




*Column1*

Mean	7.37037
Standard Error	0.434115
Median	8
Mode	8
Standard Deviation	2.25573
Sample Variance	5.088319
Kurtosis	-0.48911
Skewness	-0.71789
Range	7
Minimum	3
Maximum	10
Sum	199
Count	27
Largest(1)	10
Smallest(1)	3
Confidence Level(95.0%)	0.892338

**Question 26. Did you prefer to receive these communications via email or within the threads?**

<b>Question 26.</b>				
	Threads	Email	Both	Total
# of Respondents	4	8	14	26



**Question 26. Comments. Did you prefer to receive these communications via email or within the threads?**

*Colin: It would help to link the comments to their responses above. I have listed the Comments below for you to coordinate. I'm not sure what to do with the comments. What do you think?*

**Total Number of Responses: 5**

Subscription system was difficult and not reliable.

Biggest value of facilitation interventions was making me feel guilty for dropping out. It was almost enough to make me get involved again, but the thought of trying to catch up on all those posts overwhelming.

The use of live hyperlinks in emails greatly facilitated and encouraged looking at the posts.

I need email to help me get back and find new comments.

Generally very helpful especially if you were just kind of monitoring one thread and participating on another. One could check in from time to time to get a feel for what was going on.