Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 President's Budget Submission

February 2012



DoD Human Resources Activity

Justification Book

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DoD Human Resources Activity • President's Budget Submission FY 2013 • RDT&E Program

Table of Contents

Comptroller Exhibit R-1	iii
Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)	iii
Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)	κv
Exhibit R-2's	1

i

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

ii

Department of Defense FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

03 Feb 2012

Appropriation	FY 2011 Actuals	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW	78,712	63,654		63,654
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	78,712	63,654		63,654

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 3, 2012 at 12:21:33

UNCLASSIFIED

iii

Department of Defense FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

03 Feb 2012

Appropriation	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 FY 2013 OCO Total
Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW	28,946	28,946
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	28,946	28,946

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 3, 2012 at 12:21:33

iv

Department of Defense FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

03 Feb 2012

Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2011 Actuals	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	13,915	13,579		13,579
System Development and Demonstration (SDD)	389	389		389
RDT&E Management Support	64,408	49,686		49,686
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	78,712	63,654		63,654
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs				
Research and Development	78,712	63,654		63,654
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	78,712	63,654		63,654

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 3, 2012 at 12:21:33

v

Department of Defense FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

03 Feb 2012

Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 FY 2013 OCO Total
Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	12,195	12,195
System Development and Demonstration (SDD)	387	387
RDT&E Management Support	16,364	16,364
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	28,946	28,946
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs		
Research and Development	28,946	28,946
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	28,946	28,946

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 3, 2012 at 12:21:33

vi

Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

03 Feb 2012

Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2011 Actuals	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	13,915	13,579		13,579
System Development and Demonstration (SDD)	389	389		389
RDT&E Management Support	64,408	49,686		49,686
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	78,712	63,654		63,654
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs				
Research and Development	78,712	63,654		63,654
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	78,712	63,654		63,654

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 3, 2012 at 12:21:33

vii

Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

03 Feb 2012

Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 FY 2013 OCO Total
Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	12,195	12,195
System Development and Demonstration (SDD)	387	387
RDT&E Management Support	16,364	16,364
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	28,946	28,946
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs		
Research and Development	28,946	28,946
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	28,946	28,946

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 3, 2012 at 12:21:33

viii

Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

03 Feb 2012

Appropriation	FY 2011 Actuals	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Defense Human Resources Activity	78,712	63,654		63,654
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	78,712	63,654		63,654

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 3, 2012 at 12:21:33

ix

Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

03 Feb 2012

Appropriation	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 FY 2013 OCO Total
Defense Human Resources Activity	28,946	28,946
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	28,946	28,946

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 3, 2012 at 12:21:33

Х

Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Line No	Program Element Number	Item	Act	FY 2011 Actuals	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	5 e c -
58	0603769SE	Distributed Learning Advanced Technology Development	03	13,915	13,579		13,579	U
	Advan	ced Technology Development (ATD)		13,915	13,579		13,579	
125	0605021SE	Homeland Personnel Security Initiative	05	389	389		389	U
	Syste	m Development and Demonstration (SDD)		389	389		389	
163	0605803SE	R&D in Support of DoD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	06	64,408	49,686		49,686	U
	RDT&E	Management Support		64,408	49,686		49,686	
Total	l Research,	Development, Test & Eval, DW		78,712	63,654		63,654	

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 3, 2012 at 12:21:33

UNCLASSIFIED

xi

03 Feb 2012

Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

03 Feb 2012

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Line No	Program Element Number	Item	Act	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	S e c
			ACC				-
58	0603769SE	Distributed Learning Advanced Technology Development	03	12,195		12,195	U
	Advan	ced Technology Development (ATD)		12,195		12,195	E.
125	0605021SE	Homeland Personnel Security Initiative	05	387		387	U
	Syste	m Development and Demonstration (SDD)		387		387	
163	0605803SE	R&D in Support of DoD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	06	16,364		16,364	U
	RDT&E	Management Support		16,364		16,364	
Total	L Research,	Development, Test & Eval, DW		28,946		28,946	

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 3, 2012 at 12:21:33

xii

DoD Human Resources Activity • President's Budget Submission FY 2013 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)

Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page
58	03	0603769SE	Distributed Learning Advanced Technology Development (ADL)	1
-	-	nent & Demonstration (SDD) h, Development, Test & Evaluat	tion, Defense-Wide	••••••
Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page
125	05	0605021SE	FY 2013 Homeland Personnel Security Directive (HSPD-12) Initiative	5
-	tivity 06: RDT&E M	0605021SE Janagement Support h, Development, Test & Evaluat		5
Budget Act	tivity 06: RDT&E M ion 0400: Research	lanagement Support		5 Page

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DoD Human Resources Activity • President's Budget Submission FY 2013 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity Page
Distributed Learning Advanced Technology Development (ADL)	0603769SE	58	03 1
FY 2013 Homeland Personnel Security Directive (HSPD-12) Initiative	0605021SE	125	05 5
R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	0605803SE	163	06

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Resources Activity									DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY D400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603769SE: Distributed Learning Advanced Technology							Technology	Developmen	ot (ADL)		
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	13.915	13.579	12.195	-	12.195	12.116	12.090	12.303	12.303	Continuing	Continuing
Project 1: Advanced Distributed Learning	13.915	13.579	12.195	-	12.195	12.116	12.090	12.303	12.303	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense Human Resources Activity (DHRA) is a DoD-wide Field Activity chartered to support the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD (P&R)).

Advanced Distributed Learning (ADL) Initiative: This program develops the technologies to make learning and performance support available to service members, anytime, anywhere. The ADL concept enables the ability to migrate online learning content to multiple hardware and software applications using the Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM®) standard. It has become the defacto standard and is moving through international bodies for global accreditation; its use is mandatory throughout the Department of Defense (DoD) through (Instruction1322.26). The program develops and maintains US and international partnerships with public education, vocational training, and lifelong learning programs. Policy oversight is managed by the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense/Readiness (Training Readiness and Strategy). Current research is on an advanced concept for the purpose of development of a Personal Learning Assistant (PLA) that will provide training and learning to promote adaptability and agility in the workforce with the capability to tailor and adapt instructional material to fit the learners' strength and weaknesses, learning style, and level of proficiency.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
Previous President's Budget	13.986	13.579	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	13.915	13.579	12.195	-	12.195
Total Adjustments	-0.071	-	12.195	-	12.195
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-0.071	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Changed to add FY 2013 Baseline 	-	-	12.195	-	12.195

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Resources Activity									DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	t & Evaluation	aluation, Defense-Wide PE 0603769SE: Distributed Learning Advanced						PROJECT d Project 1: Advanced Distributed Learning			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Project 1: Advanced Distributed Learning	13.915	13.579	12.195	-	12.195	12.116	12.090	12.303	12.303	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense Human Resources Activity (DHRA) is a DoD-wide Field Activity chartered to support the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD (P&R)).

Advanced Distributed Learning (ADL) Initiative: This program develops the technologies to make learning and performance support available to service members, anytime, anywhere. The ADL concept enables the ability to migrate online learning content to multiple hardware and software applications using the Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM®) standard. It has become the defacto standard and is moving through international bodies for global accreditation; its use is mandatory throughout the Department of Defense (DoD) through (Instruction1322.26). The program develops and maintains US and international partnerships with public education, vocational training, and lifelong learning programs. Policy oversight is managed by the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense/Readiness (Training Readiness and Strategy). Current research is on an advanced concept for the purpose of development of a Personal Learning Assistant (PLA) that will provide training and learning to promote adaptability and agility in the workforce with the capability to tailor and adapt instructional material to fit the learners' strength and weaknesses, learning style, and level of proficiency.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: Advanced Distributed Learning	13.915	13.579	12.195
Description: Research, develop and deploy new learning technologies with the ability to emulate an expert personal trainer/ coach, capable of learning about an individual, taking into account individual differences (prior knowledge, ability, learning rates, working memory, etc), sensing their learning state (e.g., attention, fatigue), and tracking and monitoring their learning throughout their life—adapting course material in a manner best suited for the individual.			
 FY 2011 Accomplishments: Published research articles in leading professional journals on the effectiveness of online learning compared to classroom training Tested advanced instructional methods using intelligent tutors for training Horn-of-Africa scenarios at the Joint Forces Command. Completed specifications for bridging technical publications to the SCORM model 			
 FY 2012 Plans: Publish research articles in leading professional journals on the effectiveness of online learning compared to classroom training; 			

PE 0603769SE: <i>Distributed Learning Advanced</i> <i>Technology Development (ADL)</i> Deducational and training programs to include the nin a training environment and structured learning conternt, enhance semantic understanding, and improve the ning; Beneration SCORM standard. Deducational and training programs to include innovati g problems in collaborative, disparate environments in a cation of the spacing effect using current mobile technologies is for training;	FY 2 ent tive a	1	ributed Lea	FY 2013
Technology Development (ADL) DD educational and training programs to include the nin a training environment and structured learning content, enhance semantic understanding, and improve the ning; Deneration SCORM standard. DD educational and training programs to include innovating problems in collaborative, disparate environments in a station of the spacing effect using current mobile technologies for training;	FY 2 ent tive a	1	1	
D educational and training programs to include the nin a training environment and structured learning content, enhance semantic understanding, and improve the ning; Generation SCORM standard. D educational and training programs to include innovati g problems in collaborative, disparate environments in a cation of the spacing effect using current mobile technolo s for training;	ent tive a	011 F	FY 2012	FY 2013
nin a training environment and structured learning content nt, enhance semantic understanding, and improve the ning; Beneration SCORM standard. D educational and training programs to include innovati g problems in collaborative, disparate environments in a cation of the spacing effect using current mobile technolo s for training;	ent tive a	011 F	Y 2012	FY 2013
nin a training environment and structured learning content nt, enhance semantic understanding, and improve the ning; Beneration SCORM standard. D educational and training programs to include innovati g problems in collaborative, disparate environments in a cation of the spacing effect using current mobile technolo s for training;	tive a			
g problems in collaborative, disparate environments in a cation of the spacing effect using current mobile technolo s for training;	a			
g problems in collaborative, disparate environments in a cation of the spacing effect using current mobile technolo s for training;	a			
eration learning environment.				
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	btotals 1	3.915	13.579	12.19
ontent and/or job performance aids that are presented in elligent Tutor to assess the validity, scalability, exportability ation Dominance and generalize them into mathematics DoD and as a step toward the more comprehensive PL/ other federal agencies.	in a format suitability and affordations to be applied to	able for th ability of [to DoDEA	neir prefere DARPA's "I A schools c	ences and Education curriculum
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su ation of new and emerging educational and training te ontent and/or job performance aids that are presented elligent Tutor to assess the validity, scalability, exportal tion Dominance and generalize them into mathematica DoD and as a step toward the more comprehensive Pl	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals 13 ation of new and emerging educational and training technologies for of ontent and/or job performance aids that are presented in a format suita elligent Tutor to assess the validity, scalability, exportability and affordation tion Dominance and generalize them into mathematics to be applied to DoD and as a step toward the more comprehensive PLA. Metrics inclu- other federal agencies.	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals 13.915 ation of new and emerging educational and training technologies for developm ontent and/or job performance aids that are presented in a format suitable for the elligent Tutor to assess the validity, scalability, exportability and affordability of I tion Dominance and generalize them into mathematics to be applied to DoDEA DoD and as a step toward the more comprehensive PLA. Metrics include, but a other federal agencies.	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals 13.915 13.579 ation of new and emerging educational and training technologies for development of a car ontent and/or job performance aids that are presented in a format suitable for their prefere elligent Tutor to assess the validity, scalability, exportability and affordability of DARPA's " tion Dominance and generalize them into mathematics to be applied to DoDEA schools of DoD and as a step toward the more comprehensive PLA. Metrics include, but are not limit other federal agencies.

• Affordability – Reasonably priced solution to enable wide spread use.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Resources Activity										DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 5: Development & Demonstratio	t & Evaluation	Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0605021SE: FY 2013 Homeland Personnel Security Direct							rective (HSPD-12) Initiative			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Total Program Element	0.389	0.389	0.387	-	0.387	0.386	0.386	0.393	0.393	Continuing	Continuing	
Project 1: Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System	0.389	0.389	0.387	-	0.387	0.386	0.386	0.393	0.393	Continuing	Continuing	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense Human Resources Activity (DHRA) is a DoD-wide Field Activity chartered to support the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD (P&R)). HSPD-12 requires rapid electronic authentication for all Government employees, uniformed individuals and contractors. The Defense Enrollment and Eligibility System will provide Enterprise capability for the cardholder data repository, common Access interface to multiple types of Access control hardware, common Access software, the ability to control Access to multiple facilities through one authoritative data source, and provide the standards and data to/ form and power efficient gates. Implement Enterprise Access control data for the DoD while providing standards and reducing redundancy. RDT&E funding will be expended to develop the secure interfaces necessary to work with the FBI and first responders for Enterprise authentication. Many systems support different aspects of electronic authentication across the Department. RDT&E will allow for the pursuit of a potential solution that will interface disparate applications/systems. This will increase Government efficiency by rapidly verifying electronically the identity of an individual and can be used by many applications, reduce identity fraud, protect privacy by limiting information stored, and increase privacy processes to maintain Access controls, thereby facilitating identification of first responders

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
Previous President's Budget	0.391	0.389	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	0.389	0.389	0.387	-	0.387
Total Adjustments	-0.002	-	0.387	-	0.387
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-0.002	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Changed to add FY 2013 Baseline 	-	-	0.387	-	0.387

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	stification: PE	3 2013 DoD I	Human Reso	ources Activ	ity				DATE: Feb	ruary 2012		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0605021SE: FY 2013 Homeland Personnel Project							-	JECT ct 1: Defense Enrollment Eligibility rting System				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 FY 2013 FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2016				FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Project 1: <i>Defense Enrollment</i> <i>Eligibility Reporting System</i>	0.389	0.389	0.387	-	0.387	0.386	0.386	0.393	0.393	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												
expended to develop the secure of electronic authentication across increase Government efficiency I privacy by limiting information sto	ss the Departm by rapidly verif ored, and incre	nent. RDT&E ying electror ease privacy	will allow fo nically the id	or the pursuit entity of an i	t of a potentiandividual and	al solution th d can be use	at will interfa d by many a	ace disparate applications, entification c	e application reduce iden of first respor	is/systems. T itity fraud, pr nders.	This will otect	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pr	•		40						FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
<i>Title:</i> Defense Enrollment Eligibilit <i>Description:</i> The Department of D Under Secretary of Defense for Pe Government employees, uniforme	Defense Huma ersonnel and F	n Resources Readiness (U	SActivity (DF SD (P&R)).						0.389	0.389	0.387	
FY 2011 Accomplishments: Continued research and developm • Provided security personnel notic and policy compliance • Provided immediate authentication		s of interest a	attempting to	o Access fac	ilities and inc	creased ners	onnal proto	ction				
 Provided an interface among displaced and the second displ				e DoD								

and policy compliance

• Providing immediate authentication of emergency essential personnel

PE 0605021SE: FY 2013 Homeland Personnel Security Directive (HSP... UNC DoD Human Resources Activity Pa

UNCLASSIFIED Page 2 of 3

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human	Resources Activity	D	ATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: Development & Demonstration (SDD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605021SE: FY 2013 Homeland Personnel Security Directive (HSPD-12) Initiative	PROJECT Project 1: Det Reporting Sys		rollment Eligik	oility
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F۱	′ 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Providing an interface among disparate applications/systems acros	s the DoD				
FY 2013 Plans: Continue research and development of: • Providing security personnel notices on persons of interest attempti and policy compliance • Providing immediate authentication of emergency essential person		ection			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs S	Subtotals	0.389	0.389	0.38
N/A D. Acquisition Strategy Existing contract vehicles in place/GSA for COTS. E. Performance Metrics None					

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item J	ustification	: PB 2013 D	oD Human I	Resources A	ctivity				DATE: February 2012				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	Vide	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</i>											
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
Total Program Element	64.408	49.686	16.364	-	16.364	6.908	6.195	5.788	6.066	Continuing	Continuing		
Project 1 : Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development	4.264	4.165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		
Project 2: Defense Training Resource Analysis	3.403	3.311	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		
Project 3: DoD Enlistment Processing & Testing	2.077	2.030	1.054	-	1.054	0.381	0.807	1.235	1.261	Continuing	Continuing		
Project 4: Federal Voting Assistance Program	38.845	27.032	9.692	-	9.692	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		
Project 5: Human Resources Automation Enhancements	8.855	6.772	1.312	-	1.312	2.831	2.833	1.868	2.873	Continuing	Continuing		
Project 6: Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office	6.964	4.980	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		
Project 7: <i>Global Force Mgmt Data</i> <i>Initiative</i>	-	1.396	0.608	-	0.608	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		
Project 8: NEO Tracking System	-	-	0.761	-	0.761	0.759	0.629	0.758	-	Continuing	Continuing		
Project 9: Synchronized Pre- deployment & Operational Tracker Enterprise Suite	-	-	2.937	-	2.937	2.937	1.926	1.927	1.932	Continuing	Continuing		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense Human Resources Activity (DHRA) is a DoD-wide Field Activity chartered to support the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD (P&R)). This PE includes application of R&D to expedite prototype development and mission support efforts to sustain and/or modernize operations required for general RDT&E.

Project 1: Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development. Established by the Secretary of Defense to improve the training and readiness of the Active and Reserve Components. This program expedites the prototype development of new training and readiness technologies and Joint Service Training and Readiness systems, which improve training and readiness effectiveness and enhance military forces' performance. It also facilitates the sharing of training and readiness information, while allowing for the transfer of emerging and innovative technologies among the Services and the private sector. Efforts have included: development of mission essential tasks; design, development, and implementation of performance metrics, data, and methodologies for the Joint Assessment and Enabling Capability

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 DoD Huma	in Resources Activity	DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of I</i>	DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation
to guide Training Transformation and support the Department's balan training requirements identified methods to conduct effective joint tra training facilities that meet Service, joint, and fiscal demands and req strategic level down to the joint tactical level for joint asymmetric war for operations other than war including peace enforcement, peaceke	ining and determined best means to de uirements; developed joint training regi fare; and developed a joint stability and	velop simulations, military construction, and other urban men requirements and investments ranging from the joint
Project 2: The Defense Training Resources Analysis. This project su in promoting more efficient and effective use of training resources, in the military forces. Projects analyze the contributions to readiness of and procedures that increase unit effectiveness or decrease costs. E resource allocations.	creasing the effectiveness of military traverse various training techniques and progra	aining, and enhancing the readiness and performance of ms and use the results to expedite new training concepts
Project 3: DoD Enlistment Processing and Testing. The project adm recruits. The DoD uses a single test, the Armed Services Vocational data to Congress. High quality recruits are obtained from administeri Enlistment Testing program, and to 1 million students in the DoD Stu part of their in-service testing programs. New ASVAB test forms and make measurement improvements as well as decrease the likelihood which (1) reduce or eliminate threats to the validity of the ASVAB test process; and (3) improve selection and classification decisions made assessments are required to provide DoD manpower planners and C	Aptitude Battery (ASVAB), to determine ng the ASVAB annually to approximate ident Testing program. Each Service als related support materials are implemen d of test compromise. Ongoing RDT&E t scores generated; (2) improve the effi- e by each Service through more effective	e eligibility of military applicants and to report recruit quality ly 600,000 applicants for Military Service as part of the DoD so uses ASVAB test forms developed in this program as need approximately every four years. This allows DoD to efforts include development and evaluation of procedures ciency of the test development, calibration, and validation e use of test score information. In addition, periodic
Project 4: Federal Voting Assistance Program. Given the agile plan the FY 2013 execution plan will be significantly influenced by the res- plans are to initiate the first two phases of the internet voting demons	ults of the FY2011 and FY2012 researc	
o Phase I of Internet Voting Competition Challenge: In the first phase for internet voting systems. Submissions will be open to the public, a consolidate them into a single set of requirements for Phase II.	•	
o Phase II of Internet Voting Competition Challenge. In this phase is	ubmission will provide high level design	as and detailed hardware and software architectures along

o Phase II of Internet Voting Competition Challenge: In this phase, submission will provide high level designs and detailed hardware and software architectures, along with procedures necessary for secure operation. Submissions will be sufficiently detailed so that a reasonably skilled information technologist could implement the system to allow for broader peer review. However, many details such as user interfaces and database layouts will be likely be undefined. As with the first phase, submissions will be open for critique. In this phase critiques will focus on identifying areas where designs do not meet the requirements defined in the first phase.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human	Resources Activity	DATE: February 2012					
A 6: RDT&E Management Support The result may be modification of architectures to incorporate ideas from several teams. At the conclusion of this phase, the Department will narrow down the se acceptable architectures. o Conformance Testing to EAC Pilot Program Requirements for Kiosk Systems Used in a 2014 Election: To support the testing of internet voting systems from monitored kiosk test platform (where the ballots of record are printed out and delivered to jurisdictions like other absentee ballots, but the same ballot is delivered							
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	RIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY Search, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide T&E Management Support Ult may be modification of architectures to incorporate ideas from several teams. At the conclusion of this phase, the Department will narrow down the se ble architectures. rmance Testing to EAC Pilot Program Requirements for Kiosk Systems Used in a 2014 Election: To support the testing of internet voting systems from	sting and Evaluation					
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support							
The result may be modification of architectures to incorporate ideas fro acceptable architectures.	m several teams. At the conclusion of this phase, the De	partment will narrow down the set of					
monitored kiosk test platform (where the ballots of record are printed ou electronically to the election jurisdiction for comparison to the paper bal	ut and delivered to jurisdictions like other absentee ballots lot of record), the Department will test conformance of se	s, but the same ballot is delivered					
Project 5: Civilian HR automation enhancements planned for FY 2012 a workforce, including readiness requirements for the development of aut system; development of interfaces with the Defense Civilian Personnel Solution; development of DCPDS interfaces with Office of Personnel M	tomation for an expeditionary civilian workforce; an SES- Data System (DCPDS) and other civilian HR systems to anagement (OPM) initiative mandates for HR Line of Bus	ocused performance management fully expand the Enterprise Staffing iness (LoB), electronic Official					

Personnel Folder, Retirement Systems Modernization implementation, and HR Line of Business. DoD is one of five designated Shared Service Centers in the federal government focused on providing standard services across agency lines, gaining potential significant business and cost-saving benefits. DoD is considered a leader in this initiative. Continues the conversion of employees back to other personnel systems as mandated in NDAA 2010 and designs new flexibilities to include, but not limited to the establishment of policies and procedures for a new Performance Management System, a redesigned hiring process adhering to veterans' preference requirements, a "Department of Defense Civilian Workforce Incentive fund", and a Mandatory Training and Retraining Program for Supervisors. DCPDS is the Department's enterprise civilian HR system that has provided the savings originally projected in the achievement of full operational capability in 2002 and which has continued to operate as the DoD system serving over 800,000 employee records. Additional initiatives to sustain the Department's lead in automated systems to include, expansion of employee self service functionality, and systems to support civilian HR requirements of the intelligence and National Guard communities. All enhancements will support the Department's focus on the further consolidation of civilian HR operations to a single operational site, with linkage to Component operations worldwide.

Project 6: The integrated DoD SAPR Data Collection and Reporting System (Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID)) must accommodate a variety of uses, including the tracking of sexual assault victim support services, support SAPR program administration, program reporting requirements, and data analysis. In order to facilitate analysis at the OSD level, the System should be able to easily export data for analysis in computerized statistical applications, such as Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Service field-level users may use the system to track support to victims of sexual assault throughout the lifecycle of that support requirement and to facilitate sexual assault case transfer between SARCs and Services. Service headquarters-level users will use the system to support program planning, analysis, and management. DoD SAPR Office (SAPRO) users and Service headquarters-level users will access the system to produce mandated and requested reports, monitor program effectiveness and support cohort and trend analysis. The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) will support SAPR programs for all active duty and Reserve personnel, including National Guard (NG) Service members when on active duty or when performing active service and inactive duty training (as defined in Section (101)(d)(3) of Chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code) with the ability to expand to cover other DoD personnel as required. Additionally, system implementation at the state level will provide a new capability to manage SAPR programs for National Guard personnel under Title 32 USC. Implementation of this capability will be based on a state NG structure grouped according to state and subdivided into sexual assaults from the separate Army and Air National Guard. Full Deployment and Delivery (FDD) is scheduled for Q4 FY2012 as a result additional RDT&E funding will not be required after FY2012.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human F	Resources ActivityDATE: February 2012						
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</i>						

Project 7: Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) acts as the authoritative source for identity and personnel information for the DoD Net Centric Enterprise Computing vision of the Department of Defense's Global Information Grid (GIG 2.0). Based on the DEERS identities, DMDC provides the key attribute service for the Department of Defense (DoD) Identity and Access Management (IdAM) Capability. The Enterprise Identity Attribute Service (EIAS) supports IdAM through the distribution of DoD person and personnel attributes to applications and services in a controlled, consistent, and secure manner to support ABAC decisions. The controlled, authoritative information provided via EIAS can be used to confirm an individual's identity, affiliation to the DoD, clearance, pay grade/rank, organization and occupation series for an authorization decision. A key attribute for decision makers is organization. The Global Force Management Data Initiative (GFM_DI) provides the unique organization identifier (OUID) in the EIAS payload. To meet the DoD demand for the OUID, DMDC working with J8 and the Service/Agencies has to 1) establish the linkage between a person (EDI_PI) and the OUID, 2) provide the OUID attribute in the EIAS payload for access decisions, and 3) standardize the organizational attributes required to make access decisions.

Project 8: The Neo Tracking System (NTS) / Emergency Tracking Accountability System (ETAS) is a certified and accredited DoD automated system that accounts for, and sustains visibility of noncombatant evacuees during a NEO under the authority of DODD 1000.25, DoD Personnel Identity Protection (PIP) Program. NTS is currently being used in the USAFRICOM, USCENTCOM, USEUCOM, USSOUTHCOM, and USPACOM AORs. The ETAS component is the CONUS domestic version of NTS and is for use by USNORTHCOM during disasters in the CONUS whether natural, accidental, or acts of terrorism. The primary purpose of the NTS/ETAS is to provide individual accountability of the evacuee by creating and maintaining a database of evacuees assembled during an evacuation operation and subsequently tracking the evacuees' movement throughout the evacuation process.

Project 9: The Synchronized Pre-deployment and Operational Tracker Enterprise Suite (SPOT-ES) is the Department of Defense (DoD) system of record for accountability and visibility of contracts and contractor personnel authorized to operate in a contingency operation. SPOT-ES provides web based tracking and visibility into contract services, personnel and equipment locations; provides a common operational picture for Combatant Commanders; enhances the analytical tools to accurately plan for the quantity of contracted support required for future contingency operations; and collects accurate data for the OMB-directed quarterly census of all contractors supporting contingency operations.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 DoD He	uman Reso	ources Activity		DATE: F	DATE: February 2012					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE								
400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 3A 6: RDT&E Management Support	PE	0605803SE: <i>R&D ir</i>	n Support of DOD Enlist	ment, Testing and Eva	luation					
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total					
Previous President's Budget	64.737	49.810	-	-	-					
Current President's Budget	64.408	49.686	16.364	-	16.364					
Total Adjustments	-0.329	-0.124	16.364	-	16.364					
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-								
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-								
 Congressional Rescissions 	-0.329	-								
 Congressional Adds 	-	-								
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-								
Reprogrammings	-	-0.124								
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-								
 Changed to add FY 2013 Baseline 	-	-	16.364	-	16.364					

Change Summary Explanation

FY 2013, Project 1, Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development, and Project 2, Defense Training Resource Analysis was transferred to Washington Headquarter Services for proper execution.

Project 9, Synchronized Pre-deployment & Operational Tracker enterprise Suite (SPOT), was transfered to DHRA from DLA/BTA for proper execution.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2013 DoD	Human Res	ources Activ	ity				DATE: Feb	ruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support					PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD				PROJECT Project 1 : Joint Service Training & Readines System Development		
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Project 1 : Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development	4.264	4.165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint Service programs were established by the Secretary of Defense to improve the training and readiness of the Active and Reserve Components. This project expedites the prototype development of new training and readiness technologies and Joint Service training and readiness systems, which improve the training and readiness effectiveness and enhance the performance of the military forces. It also facilitates the sharing of training and readiness information, while allowing for the transfer of emerging and innovative technologies among the Services and private sector. Efforts have included: development of mission essential tasks; design, development, and implementation of performance metrics, data, and methodologies for the Joint Assessment and Enabling Capability to guide Training Transformation and support the Department's balanced scorecard and Defense Readiness Reporting System; identified and defined joint urban training requirements, identified methods to conduct effective joint training, and determined best means to develop simulations, military construction, and other urban training facilities that meet Service, joint, and fiscal demands and requirements; developed joint training regimen requirements and investments ranging from the joint strategic level down to the joint tactical level for joint asymmetric warfare; and developed a joint stability and support operations training roadmap and investment plan for operations other than war including peace enforcement, peacekeeping, and humanitarian assistance.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development	4.264	4.165	-
Description: Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development			
FY 2011 Accomplishments:			
• Provided analyses on technical and scientific issues needed to develop a Common Framework for making education, training, and performance/decision aiding available on demand-anytime, anywhere-and tailored to the specific needs of individual learners, learning objectives, and environments.			
• Provided support to the Joint Knowledge Development and Distribution Capability for ADL Prototype development in support of Joint Staff and Combatant Commanders.			
 Provided analysis of current and emerging operational requirements of Combatant Commanders, Training Transformation Joint Management Office and other stake holders to identify major system improvement opportunities. Continued to develop mission essential tasks. 			
 Provided refinement of the DoD training strategy for the Services, combatant commands and Defense Agencies. Continued to assist in identifying and analyzing the specific benefits of early and effective incorporation of System Training (ST) details into acquisition programs, particularly those with significant human systems interface requirements. 			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human	Resources Activity		DATE: Fe	oruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of DOD</i> <i>Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</i>			e Training & I	Readiness
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
 Continued to improve process model to assist in the integration of Provided review analysis of the changing DoD training posture and resources and capabilities in the Western Pacific. Identified the spectrum of requirements the Army will need to address to improve the match between force design and future employment Provided study on "Stress on the Force" identifying specialized cap Asymmetric Warfare (e.g. cyber) and Civil Support. 	d requirements and their implications for future training ess over the coming decade by identifying potential in needs, within expected affordability constraints.	itiatives			
 FY 2012 Plans: Provide an assessment and forecast of DOD logistics and material impacts that have occurred and will occur over the next five to ten yer. Continue to assess the current state of logistics/material readiness logistical and material processes in DoD. Continue to support prototype development, assessment and applie. Analyze estimated rates of personnel instability among unit leaders. Identify primary underlying causes of instability and assess potenti. Continue to develop Virtual Worlds (VW) technology to support De. Provide a VW Framework (VWF) which includes an overarching ar well as a VW Roadmap and Governance process to implement the V. Continue to assess the ongoing requirement for Civil Affairs forces and offer recommendations on how to address potential training shot. FY 2013 Plans: Program will transfer to Washington Headquarter Services. 	ears. in the Department and track the performance of varies ication of DoD's Knowledge Management Systems ar ship. al effects of policies to mitigate instability partment of Defense (DoD) training. rchitecture encompassing a number of VW application VWF.	ous nd Ports. ns, as			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs S	Subtotals	4.264	4.165	-
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> NOT REQUIRED.			L		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Res	DATE: February 2012		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of DOD</i> <i>Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</i>	PROJECT Project 1 : C System De	Joint Service Training & Readiness velopment

E. Performance Metrics

Each project contained within this program contains specific metrics to determine progress towards completion. Metrics for all include completed and documented analysis provided by the performer. The completion date for that analysis varies with each project. In addition, to that analysis, each effort contains a roadmap addressing the best use of the findings throughout the department. If the results of the analysis show benefit to the Department, those findings are included in policy, doctrine, tactics and procedures.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2013 DoD	Human Res	ources Activ	ity				DATE: Feb	oruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	& Evaluation	n, Defense-V	Vide	PE 060580	IOMENCLA 3SE: R&D ir Testing and	n Support of	DOD	PROJECT Project 2: <i>L</i>		ining Resourc	ce Analysis
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Project 2: Defense Training Resource Analysis	3.403	3.311	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											
contributions to readiness of vario effectiveness or decrease costs. E B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro Title: Defense Training Resource A	imphasis is p grams (\$ in	blaced on de				•	•	prove trainir			FY 2013
Description: Defense Training Res	ource Analys	sis									
 FY 2011 Accomplishments: Established updated DoD training Conducted several logistics and m Developed strategies to hedge the Worked with Joint Forces Comma Departments and other appropriate competition and airspace restriction joint environment, the maximization Developed reserve component moduring the last year before mobilization Assessed the effect of enlistment is recruiting, training and retention. Assessed language, regional, and Studied the drivers that effect time roadmap on how best to incorporate 	aterial readin risk of the o nd (Joint Wa organization s on training of the use or oblization tra- tion or entry in ncentives, in cultural capa -to-readiness	ness reviews ccurrence of rfighting Cer s, developin , the opportu f available as ining strateg into the avail cluding educ abilities and s, in particula	f a major conter and Joir g a results continuities that g ssets and the ies to increate ability pool. cational ben their relation ar, the bottle	mbat operati nt Unmanned priented train round units a le use of sim ase personne efits, on prio nship to unit te enecks in the	on d Aircraft Cer ing concept and UAS per ulation capa el stability, pa r and non-pr readiness readiness g	nter of Excel that address rsonnel have bilities to en articularly an	llence), the Nees the effect to train togo hance trainin nong unit lea	ts of ether in a ng. adership, ponent			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human	Resources Activity		DATE: Feb	oruary 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support		PROJECT Project 2: Defense Training Resource Ana				
 B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) Assessed and analyzed rates of victim satisfaction with the quality 	of care and response provided by respective military S		FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program and to m assault victims has positively impact readiness and retention						
 Determine the feasibility of the Regional Integrated Training Enviror strategic communications and education effort and determine best at a Informed the decision to continue the outreach and implementation Continue to examine how and why the management of war wounded the federal government arrived at the current set of policies and posse Continue to develop reserve component readiness mobilization strates and analyze training requirements for DoD Counterinsurgency implement Provide senior decision makers access to the readiness data for Not deployment by developing a roadmap and implementation plan to match Defense Readiness reporting System (DRRS) in compliance with Gue Continue to evaluate and develop potential improvements in the Readiness Readine	oproach for concept implementation. efforts. ed has changed over time and the historic background sible changes for the future. htegies. ntation plans on-Standard forces (Ad Hoc/In-Lieu-Of) prior to their ake certain that Non-Standard Forces are assessed in idance for Employment of the Force (GEF). equest for Forces (RFF) process as part of the Global F	how the ⁻ orce				
FY 2013 Plans: Program will transfer to Washington Headquarter Services						
r rogram win transfer to Washington neudquarter bervices		btotals	3.403	3.311		

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

NOT REQUIRED.

E. Performance Metrics

Each project contained within this program contains specific metrics to determine progress towards completion. Metrics for all include completed and documented analysis provided by the performer. The completion date for that analysis varies with each project. In addition, to that analysis, each effort contains a roadmap addressing the best use of the findings throughout the department. If the results of the analysis show benefit to the Department, those findings are included in policy, doctrine, tactics and procedures.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	tification: PE	3 2013 DoD	Human Res	ources Activi	ity				DATE: Feb	ruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIN 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 6: RDT&E Management Suppor	t & Evaluatior	n, Defense-V	Vide	PE 0605803	OMENCLA 3SE: R&D in Testing and	Support of I	DOD	PROJECT Project 3: 1 Testing	DoD Enlistm	ent Processi	ing &
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cos
Project 3: DoD Enlistment Processing & Testing	2.077	2.030	1.054	-	1.054	0.381	0.807	1.235	1.261	Continuing	Continuin
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											
by today's smaller and technically B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro <i>Title</i> : DoD Enlistment Processing 8	ograms (\$ in								FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<i>Title:</i> DoD Enlistment Processing 8 <i>Description:</i> DoD Enlistment Proce	•	ing							2.077	2.030	1.054
FY 2011 Accomplishments: DoD Enlistment Testing Program (E • Developed and evaluated procedu • Continued research on revising AS • Continued a research line on the u procedures • Evaluated procedures for on-line of • Conducted analyses to investigate DoD Student Testing Program (STF • Revised the Career Exploration Pr • Implemented new materials and p • Began a study to evaluate the use	ures for the de SVAB conten use of multidiu calibration of ASVAB adv P): rogram (CEP ublished a ne	t areas to er mensional C multidimensi erse impact) Web Site to w technical	sure the tes omputerized onal conten issues o include car manual	at measures t d Adaptive To t areas using reer clusters	esting (CAT) g a uni dimer and other er	item selecti nsional mode nhancement	e S				
FY 2012 Plans: DoD Enlistment Testing Program (E • Implement procedures for the deta • Review and improve the test deve • Collect data on new measures that	ection of test lopment proc	ess, particul	arly item wri		elopment						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human F	Resources Activity		DATE: Fel	bruary 2012					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITYR-1 ITEM NOMENCLATUREPROJECT0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-WidePE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DODProject 3: DoD Enlistment Processing &BA 6: RDT&E Management SupportEnlistment, Testing and EvaluationTesting									
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013				
 Evaluate the use of internet-based testing as a replacement for othe DoD Student Testing Program (STP): Collect data and conduct item level analyses of the Find Your Intere Conduct evaluations of the use of proctored internet-based CAT-AS 	sts inventory	jes							
 FY 2013 Plans: DoD Enlistment Testing Program (ETP): Finalize and implement new procedures for test development Continue a research line on the use of multidimensional Computeriz procedures Continue research on revisions to ASVAB content DoD Student Testing Program (STP): Evaluate methods to convert all STP to CAT Continue to evaluate the use of internet-based CAT-ASVAB in the C 									
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sul		2.077	2.030	1.05				

D. Acquisition Strategy

NOT REQUIRED.

E. Performance Metrics

Each project contained within this program contains specific metrics to determine progress towards completion. Metrics for all include completed and documented analysis provided by the performer. The completion date for that analysis varies with each project. In addition, to that analysis, each effort contains a roadmap addressing the best use of the findings throughout the department. If the results of the analysis show benefit to the Department, those findings are included in policy, doctrine, tactics and procedures.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	tification: PE	3 2013 DoD	Human Res	ources Activ	ity				DATE: Feb	ruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIN 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 6: RDT&E Management Suppor	t & Evaluation	& Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Project 4: Federal Voting Assis					g Assistance	e Program			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Project 4: Federal Voting Assistance Program	38.845	27.032	9.692	-	9.692	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) exists to:

o Assist military personnel, their dependents, and overseas Americans exercise their right to vote so that they have an equal opportunity with the general population to have their vote counted;

o Assist the States in complying with relevant federal laws, and advise them on ways to best comply with those laws; and

o Advocate on behalf of military and overseas voters, identifying impediments to their ability to exercise their right to vote, and proposing methods to overcome those impediments.

Further, the Department of Defense is legislatively mandated to develop and deploy an absentee voting system demonstration project in which military voters can cast their votes electronically in a general federal election. To develop that system, numerous preliminary and iterative steps are necessary, including online voter registration, online ballot delivery and marking, rigorous cyber security threat analysis and evaluation, and pre-deployment system testing.

These preliminary steps also directly support improved voter assistance by providing voters easier access to voting assistance resources, expediting the delivery of blank ballots, reducing errors in completing election forms and ballots, and providing better system and program evaluation data for more agile planning and execution, as well as to support mid-course corrections in achieving the final mandate of the electronic absentee voting demonstration project.

Given the inherent uncertainties in deploying an internet voting system five to seven years from now, the Department requires substantial flexibility in shifting twoyear RDT& funds over different fiscal years, and in accelerating or decelerating execution rates, dependent upon the results of the intermediate programs which support future steps in the overall effort. For example, in August 2011, during a working group meeting with computer technology scientists and representatives of EAC and NIST, the idea of conducting iterative public competitions of internet voting systems, akin to a weapon system "fly-off," was adopted, and which provides the Department potential significant cost and time savings in deploying an internet voting system. But its discovery near the end of FY2011 also makes it very difficult to fit such program development into the rigid requirements of the budget cycle and the even more rigid requirements of State election cycles.

Congressional mandates also charge the Election Assistance Commission (EAC) (and through the Technical Guideline Development Committee, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)), with developing guidelines for the Department on such electronic absentee voting systems. FVAP, EAC and NIST are jointly developing these guidelines, supported by full public engagement with the computer science, military and overseas voting advocacy, and voting system development communities. This public outreach is crucial to designing electronic absentee voting systems which will be accepted as providing the same level of ballot access, security, privacy, and accountability as the current absentee voting systems provided military and overseas voters.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human I	Resources Activity		DATE: Fel	oruary 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of DOD</i> <i>Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</i>		: Federal Votii	ng Assistance Program		
Original FY 2013 FVAP budget estimates assumed a 2012 or 2014 guideline development does not support demonstration project dep		tem demonst	ration project.	However, s	ystem and	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Title: Federal Voting Assistance Program			38.845	27.032	9.692	
Description: Federal Voting Assistance Program Funding will suppo Officer (VAO) training and to develop a dynamic public web-site to fa and voting system for use in the first general election after the releas analysis, evaluation, test and support functions with the intent of supp members, their dependents and overseas civilian voters to register a FY 2011 Accomplishments:	cilitate internet-based voter registration, ballot deli e of guidelines. FVAP will conduct a variety of rese porting Wounded Warrior, disabled military membe	very earch, ers, military				
o Online Ballot Delivery and Marking: For the November 2010 general marking wizard to allow military and overseas voters to receive and not than 500,000 military voters and almost 500,000 military dependent we ballot, complete with all federal, State, and local candidates, with all gave the voter the ability to download a ballot online, mark it online, a selections. The voter then printed out that ballot, with State specific of systems are the same as the front-end of what a voter would experie online process at the online marking of the ballot, and supports the p benefits by having online access to the ballot 45-days prior to the ele ballot from the local election official, which often takes upwards of 30	mark, online, their absentee ballots. 20 States, cov voters, joined this effort. The voter received a prec contests as they would see in the polling place. The and have the ballot automatically filled out with the casting instruction and pre-addressed envelope. The ence in a full internet voting system. The wizard sto postal return of a hard-copy, "wet" signature ballot. ection, and not having to wait for the postal delivery	rering more inct level he system voter's hese ops the The voter				
o Electronic Voting System Testing and Threat Analysis: FVAP docur system required additional testing standards against national-level th group threats was needed. The Google hacking case raises serious if as electronic absentee voting systems. The Department conducted V ballot delivery and full internet voting systems against the EAC's Aug also conducted penetration testing against these system using U.S. r guideline development using existing threat analysis capability to furt in variety of threat environments. Funds will also complete kiosk-base based voting systems), evaluation of those results, and support similar evaluate the particular security capabilities of electronic voting system System (DINS) using Common Access Cards (CAC) with Public Key 12 implementation.	reats, not just against non-governmental, individua issues of national level threats against online syste /oting System Testing Laboratory (VSTL) tests of s gust 2010 UOCAVA Pilot Testing Guidelines. The national level assets. 2012 and 2013 funding will s ther test and evaluate electronic absentee voting s ed system testing (as a test platform for future rem ar tests on remote PC-based systems. Funds will a ms that can be run over the Defense Information N	al or small ems, such six online Department support systems note PC- also letwork				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Res	ources Activity		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of DOD</i> <i>Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</i>	PROJECT Project 4:		ng Assistance	e Program
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
o Wounded Warrior Voting Assistance Analysis: In 2010 and into 2011, I Office of Transition Care and Coordination (OTCC, i.e., "Wounded Warrior Wounded Warriors, given their dislocation from the originally assigned u disabilities suffered. This project tested and evaluated the effectiveness based and the remote PC-based systems for disabled military personnel benign and threat environments, in order to support the current absented accountability for wounded, injured, and ill military personnel.	ior") to evaluate the particular voting assistance n nits, their frequent duty station transfers, and the of the two electronic absentee voting systems, the I. FVAP will leverage the testing for usability both	eeds of unique e kiosk- in			
o Overseas Civilian Demographic Count: UOCAVA requires the Departm of overseas civilian voters. However, it is impossible to estimate that with overseas civilian population. Further, the method of delivering voting as based upon their geographic distribution. To date, estimates of this populate Therefore, in 2011, FVAP working with the Department of State, the Dep groups, developed a multiple data stream collection method which fed be population counts of overseas Americans by country and municipality.	nout knowing the size and demographics of the ov sistance to these overseas voters will vary signific ulation have varied widely, with little statistical val partment of Treasury, and overseas citizen advoc	verall cantly idity. acy			
o Computer Security Expert Outreach: In FY 10 and FY 11, FVAP engage and local election officials to determine the best way forward on the elect of this engagement, FVAP hosted a series of UOCAVA Solutions Summ this meeting, attendees recommended a competition for the development outstanding security questions surrounding the return of voted ballots ov	tronic absentee voting demonstration project. As it meetings, the most recent in August 2011. Dur at of the demonstration project, which could addre	part ing			
FY 2012 Plans: Based on the results of the research and testing conducted in FY 10 and testing that will improve the assistance given to military and overseas volocal election officials in complying with the requirements of federal law, overseas voters, and advocate for military and overseas voting rights with	ters in exercising their right to vote, assist state a and in providing equal voting opportunity for milita	nd			
o Electronic Absentee Voting System Evaluation Grants to States: FVAF election jurisdictions to test various electronic absentee voting support sy (but not to include funding electronic transmission of voted ballots in a liv local jurisdictions awarded grants will provide extensive data on UOCAV feed future phases of pilot projects supporting the final demonstration pr	ystems, across the range of the absentee voting p ve election), for multiple election cycles. States a A voter behavior and system performance in order	orocess nd er to			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Res	sources Activity		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of DOD</i> <i>Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</i>	PROJECT Project 4:		ing Assistance	e Program
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
UOCAVA voters more opportunities to register to vote, request an abser The Department will use FY2012 for a second round of grants, this time collection and reporting systems at the State and local level to provide F - Initiate a Multi-Track Electronic Absentee Voting Demonstration Projec validation of its testable standards for an electronic absentee voting dem	focusing on establishing automated and detailed VAP and the EAC with better, timelier post-election t Plan: Currently, the EAC is not anticipating final	data on data.			
execution of such a demonstration project until 2016, at the earliest. In or procurement and full testing, as well as any remediation discovered alon likely date for the full conduct of the internet voting demonstration project and activities schedule to reflect 2018 deployments, which require fundir broad community consensus with the open competition approach to dev its prior single-track design and development schedule, and is now purse	order to accommodate the standards development of the way, the 2018 general election remains the t. Therefore, the Department will extend its delive ong through 2017. Given these delays, and the rec eloping this demonstration project system, FVAP	t, most erables cent			
o The first track focuses kiosk voting systems, to serve as a monitored to and delivered to jurisdictions like other absentee ballots, but the same ba for comparison to the paper ballot of record. This will allow the testing o reliability in a live election, without threatening the integrity of the election at domestic US locations. In 2016, the pilot will expand to overseas local aspects of conducting a full internet voting demonstration project for 201	allot is delivered electronically to the election juris f electronic absentee ballot transmission security n. In 2014, the pilot effort will be limited to military ations for military voters. These efforts will test va	diction and v voters			
o The second track will use a three phase competition where external st exceed the Department's current approach and technical requirements, be modeled on similar competitions conducted by NIST and DARPA for	again to support a 2018 deployment. This compe				
o The third track will continue the Department's direct efforts, supported voting system in 2016 or 2018 for military voters only, using CAC cards a Defense Information System Network (DISN).					
o To support this revised plan, a number of projects will be executed in F possible, FVAP will direct investment, minimum of \$2 million in the SBIR					
o Voting Behavior and Failure Research: The Department plans on issu the key data and knowledge gaps regarding military and overseas voting					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Re	esources Activity		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of DOD</i> <i>Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</i>	PROJEC Project 4:		ing Assistanc	e Program
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
voting failure), providing a number of recommended research areas, bu methods of filling those knowledge and data gaps.	It also inviting outside experts to propose innovativ	'e			
o Mobile Applications: The Department will design and deploy smartphe assistance, voter awareness, and completion of voter registration and a		ing			
o Computer Forensic and Software Assurance Tools: To support future requirements, develop tools to improve the Department's ability to previous roter systems.		erseas			
o Data Migration Tool: Given the wide variety of election administration the Department will design and deploy a data migration tool to convert common database formats.					
o Improved FVAP Portal: Design and deploy an improved FVAP.gov we jurisdiction voting systems, provides easier and more intuitive access to Assistance Officer contact information, provides FVAP-developed data various voter assistance systems provided by FVAP, to each other.	o voter information such as local election official or	Voting			
o Improved Voter Registration and Back-Up Ballot Wizards: The Depa in 2010, to improve candidate database reliability, provide States the al candidate data to Statewide races as well as federal races, and to migr	bility to upload candidate data directly, to increase	deployed			
o Military Address Lookup Tool: Given election officials problems with u work to develop a State election official accessible system for military v		/AP will			
o Additional Evaluation of all FVAP Programs: The Department will con including the usefulness of currently drafted documents and forms, effe portal hosting security and reliability, local election official and voting as tools, and the knowledge management methods presented to voters ar	ectiveness of the grant programs, online wizards, F ssistance officer databases, API architecture, data	VAP.gov			
FY 2013 Plans:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Re	sources Activity		DATE: Fel	oruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of DOD</i> <i>Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</i>	PROJECT Project 4:		ng Assistance	e Program
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Given the agile planning and deployment flexibilities required in as dyna execution plan will be significantly influenced by the results of the FY20 results. However, current plans are to initiate the first two phases of the o Phase I of Internet Voting Competition Challenge: In the first phase s usability, and accountability requirements for internet voting systems. S public critique. FVAP will review those submissions and critiques, and the Phase II.	11 and FY2012 research, development, and evaluate internet voting demonstration competition challen submissions will focus on defining security, reliabilit ubmissions will be open to the public, and will be o	ation ge: y, pen to			
o Phase II of Internet Voting Competition Challenge: In this phase, sub hardware and software architectures, along with procedures necessary detailed so that a reasonably skilled information technologist could impl However, many details such as user interfaces and database layouts w submissions will be open for critique. In this phase critiques will focus of requirements defined in the first phase. The result may be modification the conclusion of this phase, the Department will narrow down the set of o Conformance Testing to EAC Pilot Program Requirements for Kiosk S internet voting systems from monitored kiosk test platform (where the ba- like other absentee ballots, but the same ballot is delivered electronicall ballot of record), the Department will test conformance of selected syste Again, in 2014, the pilot effort will be limited to military voters at domest	for secure operation. Submissions will be sufficien ement the system to allow for broader peer review ill be likely be undefined. As with the first phase, n identifying areas where designs do not meet the of architectures to incorporate ideas from several t of acceptable architectures. Systems Used in a 2014 Election: To support the te allots of record are printed out and delivered to juri y to the election jurisdiction for comparison to the p ems to the EAC Pilot Program Testing Requirement	eams. At esting of sdictions paper			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs S	ubtotals	38.845	27.032	9.692
 <u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> NOT REQUIRED <u>E. Performance Metrics</u> The project is the development , testing and deployment of an internet the electronic absentee voting guidelines. 	t-based voter registration, ballot delivery and votin	g system t	hat integrate	s the requiren	nents of

PE 0605803SE: *R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Eval...* DoD Human Resources Activity

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Resources Activity									DATE: Feb	DATE: February 2012				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support					PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Proje					PROJECT Project 5: Human Resources Automation Enhancements				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
Project 5: Human Resources Automation Enhancements	8.855	6.772	1.312	-	1.312	2.831	2.833	1.868	2.873	Continuing	Continuing			
Quantity of RDT&E Articles														

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

DCPDS is the Department's enterprise civilian HR system that has provided the savings originally projected in the achievement of full operational capability in 2002 and which has continued to operate as the system serving over 800,000 civilian employee records. Initiatives will focus on legislative requirements, and support of presidential, OMB and OPM initiatives, including HR LoB. Enhancements will focus on additional interfaces to more fully integrate HR automated systems and once requirements are received the development and deployment of a new automated performance appraisal system. Civilian HR automation enhancements planned for FY 2012 and FY 2013 are focused on software development of legislative requirements to support the Department's civilian workforce, including modernization of the hiring and staffing process, deployment of the OPM electronic official personnel folder system, deployment of the case management tracking system, ongoing work in the area of competency management, and the development of additional interfaces between the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS) and other civilian HR systems to fully integrate the automated support capabilities of the environment. DoD is one of five designated Shared Service Centers in the federal government focused on providing standard services across agency lines, gaining potential significant business and costsaving benefits. DoD is considered a leader in this initiative. Development of the automation to support a new Performance Management System is planned for the Department.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: Human Resources Automation Enhancements	8.855	6.772	1.312
FY 2011 Accomplishments: Interfaces developed to support link with DCPDS; development of enhancements to support legislative requirements; information assurance enhancements developed to comply with mandated DoD requirements to align with DMZ extension for all DoD systems.			
FY 2012 Plans: Phase III of DMZ extension to comply with DoD mandated DMZ extension requirements for all systems; enhancements to comply with legislative and DoD requirements; HR LoB initiatives, including modification to eOPF interface, Retirement Systems Modernization (RSM) IAW OPM mandates. Development of improvements, interfaces, and support of the Defense Enterprise Hiring Solution to comply with mandated changes in hiring practices federal-wide.			
FY 2013 Plans:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human	Resources Activity		DATE: Fel	oruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJEC			
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD		: Human Reso	ources Autom	ation
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation	Enhance	ments		
3. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Continued enhancement and compliance with information assurance					
DCPDS and other systems development to ensure compliance with I		/stem			
enhancements to support HR LoB initiatives, including eOPF, RSM a					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs	s Subtotals	8.855	6.772	1.3
Other Dreaman Funding Summary (¢ in Millione)					
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)					
N/A					
D. Acquisition Strategy					
N/A					
E. Performance Metrics					
N/A					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	tification: PE	3 2013 DoD	Human Res	ources Activ	ity				DATE: Feb	ruary 2012		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE					PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD				PROJECT Project 6: Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Project 6: Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office	6.964	4.980	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense Human Resources Activity (DHRA) is a DoD-wide Field Activity chartered to support the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD (P&R)).

The integrated DoD SAPR Data Collection and Reporting System (Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID)) must accommodate a variety of uses, including the tracking of sexual assault victim support services, support SAPR program administration, program reporting requirements, and data analysis. In order to facilitate analysis at the OSD level, the System should be able to easily export data for analysis in computerized statistical applications, such as Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Service field-level users may use the system to track support to victims of sexual assault throughout the lifecycle of that support requirement and to facilitate sexual assault case transfer between SARCs and Services. Service headquarters-level users will use the system to support program planning, analysis, and management. DoD SAPR Office (SAPRO) users and Service headquarters-level users will access the system to produce mandated and requested reports, monitor program effectiveness and support cohort and trend analysis. The Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) will support SAPR programs for all active duty and Reserve personnel, including National Guard (NG) Service members when on active duty or when performing active service and inactive duty training (as defined in Section (101)(d)(3) of Chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code) with the ability to expand to cover other DoD personnel as required. Additionally, system implementation at the state level will provide a new capability to manage SAPR programs for National Guard personnel under Title 32 USC. Implementation of this capability will be based on a state NG structure grouped according to state and subdivided into sexual assaults from the separate Army and Air National Guard. Full Deployment and Delivery (FDD) is scheduled for Q4 FY2012 as a result additional RDT&E funding will not be required after FY2012.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office	6.964	4.980	-
 FY 2011 Accomplishments: Continued development of DSAID with an expected Full Deployment and Delivery (FDD) in August 2012. 			
FY 2012 Plans:Continued development of DSAID with an expected Full Deployment and Delivery (FDD) in August 2012.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	6.964	4.980	-
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Res	sources Activity	DATE: February 2012
	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of DOD</i> <i>Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</i>	PROJECT Project 6: Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office

D. Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type: Firm-Fixed, Period of Performance: 12 month Base Year Plus 4 Option Years; Planned award date 16 April 2010; Number of Awards: Single; Use of Commercial Procedures (FAR Part 12); Estimated value including all options \$20,000,000.00.

E. Performance Metrics

In FY 2010 Q3-Q4 activities will include the initiation of development of DSAID, with further developments in FY2011 and FY2012

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Resources Activity									DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	& Evaluation	n, Defense-V	Vide	PE 060580	OMENCLAT 3SE: R&D in Testing and	Support of	DOD	PROJECT Project 7: 6	Global Force	Mgmt Data I	Initiative	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Project 7: <i>Global Force Mgmt Data</i> <i>Initiative</i>	-	1.396	0.608	-	0.608	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) acts as the authoritative source for identity and personnel information for the DoD Net Centric Enterprise Computing vision of the Department of Defense's Global Information Grid (GIG 2.0). Based on the DEERS identities, DMDC provides the key attribute service for the Department of Defense (DoD) Identity and Access Management (IdAM) Capability. The Enterprise Identity Attribute Service (EIAS) supports IdAM through the distribution of DoD person and personnel attributes to applications and services in a controlled, consistent, and secure manner to support ABAC decisions. The controlled, authoritative information provided via EIAS can be used to confirm an individual's identity, affiliation to the DoD, clearance, pay grade/rank, organization and occupation series for an authorization decision. A key attribute for decision makers is organization. The Global Force Management Data Initiative (GFM_DI) provides the unique organization identifier (OUID) in the EIAS payload. To meet the DoD demand for the OUID, DMDC working with J8 and the Service/Agencies has to 1) establish the linkage between a person (EDI_PI) and the OUID, 2) provide the OUID attribute in the EIAS payload for access decisions, and 3) standardize the organizational attributes required to make access decisions.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: Global Force Mgmt Data Initiative (GFMDI)	-	1.396	0.608
Description: N/A			
FY 2011 Accomplishments: N/A			
 FY 2012 Plans: Create a pilot to: Establish a web service between DEERS and Component's personnel Systems to support the EDIPI to SSN links Facilitate Component's ability to expose their Organizational Hierarchies for usage by the IdAM community Provide web services to support development of an Enterprise organization attribute service for DoD which supports Secure Data Access 			
 FY 2013 Plans: Continue to establish a web service between DEERS and Component's personnel Systems to support the EDIPI to SSN links Continue to facilitate Component's ability to expose their Organizational Hierarchies for usage by the IdAM community 			

PE 0605803SE: *R&D in Support of DOD Enlistment, Testing and Eval...* DoD Human Resources Activity

31

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human	Resources Activity		DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	search, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0605803SE: R&D in Support of DOD Project					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
 Continue to standardize the web services to support an Enterprise Data Access 	organization attribute service for DoD which promo	otes Secure				
	Accomplishments/Planned Program	s Subtotals	-	1.396	0.60	
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A D. Acquisition Strategy Existing contract vehicles in place/GSA for COTS. E. Performance Metrics N/A						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Resources Activity									DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	t & Evaluatio	n, Defense-V	Vide	PE 060580	IOMENCLA 3SE: R&D in Testing and	Support of L	DOD	PROJECT Project 8: NEO Tracking System			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Project 8: NEO Tracking System	-	-	0.761	-	0.761	0.759	0.629	0.758	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Neo Tracking System (NTS) / Electronic Tracking Accountability System (ETAS) is a certified and accredited DoD automated system that accounts for, and sustains visibility of noncombatant evacuees during a NEO under the authority of DODD 1000.25, DoD Personnel Identity Protection (PIP) Program. NTS is currently being used in the USAFRICOM, USCENTCOM, USEUCOM, USSOUTHCOM, and USPACOM AORs. The ETAS component is the CONUS domestic version of NTS and is for use by USNORTHCOM during disasters in the CONUS whether natural, accidental, or acts of terrorism. The primary purpose of the NTS/ETAS is to provide individual accountability of the evacuee by creating and maintaining a database of evacuees assembled during an evacuation operation and subsequently tracking the evacuees' movement through the evacuation process.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: NEO Tracking System (NTS)	-	-	0.761
 FY 2013 Plans: Convert the NTS program to a mobile application package that can be run on tablets and smart phones Streamline the distribution of NTS images, reducing not only the costs associated with the creation of an image, but also the time associated with receiving the image in the field 			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	-	0.761

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

D. Acquisition Strategy

Existing contract vehicles in place/GSA for COTS.

E. Performance Metrics

N/A

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human Resource					ity		1	DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV					IOMENCLAT		PROJECT				
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support				3SE: R&D in Testing and		DOD	Project 9: Synchronized Pre-deployment & Operational Tracker Enterprise Suite				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	16 FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cos		
Project 9: Synchronized Pre- deployment & Operational Tracker Enterprise Suite	-	-	2.937	-	2.937	2.937	1.926	1.927	1.932	Continuing	Continuin
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											
for the quantity of contracted supp											
supporting contingency operations											
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	ograms (\$ in N	•							FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro Title: The Synchronized Pre-deploy	ograms (\$ in N	•	acker						FY 2011 -	FY 2012	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	egrams (\$ in M rment and Ope rd for account personnel in s ntingencies ard DoD, and US provides the C ctor personnel Secretary of I personnel and for operationa	erational Tra tability and support of th ound the wo SAID sanctio Government I supporting Defense for	ne CENTCC orld. oned t Furnished Iraq reports to						FY 2011 -	FY 2012 -	FY 2013 2.93

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 DoD Human	Resources Activity	DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 3A 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605803SE: <i>R&D in Support of DOD</i> <i>Enlistment, Testing and Evaluation</i>	PROJECT Project 9: Synchronized Pre-deployment & Operational Tracker Enterprise Suite		
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A				
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> N/A				

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK