# Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 President's Budget Submission

February 2012



# **Defense Threat Reduction Agency**

Justification Book

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Defense Threat Reduction Agency • President's Budget Submission FY 2013 • RDT&E Program

# **Table of Contents**

Comptroller Exhibit R-1	iii
Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)	vii
Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)	ix
Summary Document	xi
Acronyms	ciii
Exhibit R-2's	1

i

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

ii

### Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

25 Jan 2012

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Line No	Program Element Number	Item	Act	FY 2011 Actuals	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	S e c
1	0601000BR	DTRA Basic Research Initiative	01	46,107	47,737		47,737	U
	Basic	Research		46,107	47,737		47,737	
23	0602718BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Technologies	02	197,984	196,083		196,083	U
	Appli	ed Research		197,984	196,083		196,083	
28	0603160BR	Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation Prevention and Defeat	03	301,571	283,073		283,073	U
	Advan	ced Technology Development (ATD)		301,571	283,073		283,073	
121		Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Capabilities	05	7,826	5,888		5,888	U
	Syste	n Development and Demonstration (SDD)		7,826	5,888		5,888	
153	0605502BR	Small Business Innovation Research	06	7,888				U
	RDT&E	Management Support		7,888				
Tota	l Research,	Development, Test & Eval, DW		561,376	532,781		532,781	

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of January 25, 2012 at 08:46:38

iii

### Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

25 Jan 2012

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Line No 	Program Element Number	Item 	Act	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	S e c
l	0601000BR	DTRA Basic Research Initiative	01	45,071		45,071	U
	Basic	Research		45,071		45,071	
23	0602718BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Technologies	02	172,352	10 10	172,352	U
	Appli	ed Research		172,352		172,352	
28	0603160BR	Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation Prevention and Defeat	03	275,022		275,022	U
	Advan	ced Technology Development (ATD)		275,022		275,022	
121		Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Capabilities n Development and Demonstration (SDD)	05	5,749  5,749		5,749  5,749	U
153	0605502BR	Small Business Innovation Research	06				U
	RDT&E	Management Support					
Tota:	l Research,	Development, Test & Eval, DW		498,194		498,194	

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of January 25, 2012 at 08:46:38

iv

### Defense Threat Reduction Agency FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

25 Jan 2012

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Program Line Element No Number	Item	Act	FY 2011 Actuals	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	S c -
1 0601000BR	DTRA Basic Research Initiative	01	46,107	47,737		47,737	U
Basic Resea	rch		46,107	47,737		47,737	-
23 0602718BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Technologies	02	197,984	196,083		196,083	U
Applied Res	earch		197,984	196,083		196,083	
28 0603160BR	Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation Prevention and Defeat	03	301,571	283,073		283,073	υ
Advanced Tee	chnology Development (ATD)		301,571	283,073		283,073	-
121 0605000BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Capabilities	05	7,826	5,888		5,888	U
System Deve	lopment and Demonstration (SDD)		7,826	5,888		5,888	-
153 0605502BR	Small Business Innovation Research	06	7,888				υ
RDT&E Manage	ement Support		7,888				5
Total Defense '	Threat Reduction Agency		561,376	532,781		532,781	50

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of January 25, 2012 at 08:46:38

v

### Defense Threat Reduction Agency FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

25 Jan 2012

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Program Line Element No Number	Item	Act	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	S e c
1 0601000BR	DTRA Basic Research Initiative	01	45,071		45,071	U
Basic Resear	ch		45,071		45,071	
23 0602718BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Technologies	02	172,352		172,352	U
Applied Rese	earch		172,352		172,352	
28 0603160BR	Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation Prevention and Defeat	03	275,022		275,022	υ
Advanced Tec	chnology Development (ATD)		275,022		275,022	
121 0605000BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Capabilities	05	5,749		5,749	U
System Devel	opment and Demonstration (SDD)		5,749		5,749	•
153 0605502BR	Small Business Innovation Research	06				U
RDT&E Manage	ment Support					
Total Defense T	Threat Reduction Agency		498,194		498,194	-

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of January 25, 2012 at 08:46:38

Defense Threat Reduction Agency • President's Budget Submission FY 2013 • RDT&E Program

## Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)

on 0400: Researcl	h, Development, Test & Evaluation		•••••
Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page
01	0601000BR	DTRA Basic Research Initiative	1
on 0400: Researcl	h, Development, Test & Evaluation		••••••
Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page
02	0602718BR	WMD Defeat Technologies	7
on 0400: Researcl	h, Development, Test & Evaluation	n, Defense-Wide	•••••
		Program Element Title	Page
Budget Activity	Program Element Number		i ugo
	0400: Research Budget Activity 01 01 01 Budget Activity 02 02 vity 03: Advanced on 0400: Research	Budget Activity       Program Element Number         01       0601000BR         vity 02: Applied Research on 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluatio         Budget Activity       Program Element Number         02       0602718BR         vity 03: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) on 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluatio	Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide         Budget Activity       Program Element Number       Program Element Title         01       0601000BR       DTRA Basic Research Initiative         vity 02: Applied Research on 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide       Defense-Wide         Budget Activity       Program Element Number       Program Element Title

# Defense Threat Reduction Agency • President's Budget Submission FY 2013 • RDT&E Program

-	udget Activity 05: Development & Demonstration (SDD) opropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide					
Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page		
121	05	0605000BR	WMD Defeat Capabilities			
		lanagement Support h, Development, Test & Evaluati	ion, Defense-Wide			
Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page		
153	06	0605502BR	`Small Business Innovation Research			

# Defense Threat Reduction Agency • President's Budget Submission FY 2013 • RDT&E Program

# Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity Page
Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	0603160BR	28	03
DTRA Basic Research Initiative	0601000BR	1	01 1
WMD Defeat Capabilities	0605000BR	121	05 85
WMD Defeat Technologies	0602718BR	23	027
Small Business Innovation Research	0605502BR	153	06

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### Exhibit R-1, RDT&E Programs Defense Threat Reduction Agency

### Appropriation: RDT&E, Defense-Wide

### Date: February 2012

### **OVERVIEW**

DTRA's mission is to safeguard the United States (US) from global WMD threats by integrating, synchronizing and providing expertise, technologies, and capabilities across all operating environments. DTRA's FY 13-17 PBS and its mission are aligned with overarching guidance in the NSS, the QDR, the Nuclear Posture Review (NPR), and the National Strategy for Countering Biological Threats (NSCBT), and the National Strategy to Combat Weapons of Mass Destruction. Furthermore, the Agency supports DoD's strategic CWMD priorities as well as requirements articulated in the Guidance for the Employment of the Force, the FY 12-16 Defense Planning and Programming Guidance (DPPG), the Strategic Global Assessment, the Joint Strategic Capabilities Plan, and Combatant Commanders' Global Campaign Plans, Contingency Plans, and Theater Campaign Plans.

The Agency's PBS also applies recommendations from key studies and assessments to inform program and resource decisions. These studies and assessments include the 2010 Combat Support Agency Review Team Assessment, the 2009 National Academy of Sciences report on Global Security Engagement, and the Biennial Review of Defense Agencies.

DTRA's budget request responds to warfighter needs and supports its chartered responsibilities and national commitments. These focus on: support to the Combatant Commands (COCOMs); arms control treaty obligations; international cooperative efforts to interdict WMD; Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) programs both inside and outside of the former Soviet Union (FSU); nuclear deterrence support; research and development (R&D) across the Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and High-yeild Explosives (CBRNE) spectrum; and support to other US Government (USG) agencies. DTRA invests in focused science and technology R&D efforts to meet the above responsibilities, commitments, and next-generation CWMD needs.

DTRA's RDT&E critical focus areas are programmed to: modernize WMD defense capabilities to provide broad-spectrum, flexible solutions and multi-use technologies to counter post cold-war threats; develop technological solutions to provide timely information to the warfighter, increase the probability of surviving attack, and speed the recovery from any such attack; collaborate across the DoD and intelligence community to fully synchronize CWMD technical and analytic capabilities and functions; apply a comprehensive systems approach to integrate cross-functional CBRN enabling technologies in modeling and simulation, persistent intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance, and data to decision support tools; and, build international capacity to prevent, reduce, and respond to WMD threats globally through international S&T engagement.

The FY 2013 DTRA Budget Request reflects reductions in travel, contractor services, printing and reproduction consistent with Department efficiencies.

Overview, Page 1 of 1 UNCLASSIFIED

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **Acronyms**

ACES	Arms Control Enterprise System
AI	
	Active Interrogation
APOM	Amended POM
AOR	Area of Responsibility
APIX	Airborne Persistent Imagery eXploitation
ARIEL	Autonomous Reconnaissance Infrared Electro-optical Loitering
ASIC	Application Specific Integrated Circuit
ASCO	Advanced Systems Concepts Office
ATAC	Advanced Targeting Assessment Capability
ATD	Advanced Technology Development
AUV	Autonomous Underwater Vehicle
BAA	Broad Agency Announcement
BDA	Battle Damage Assessment
BDI	Battle Damage Information
BLADE	BDI Link Advanced Demonstrator
BLU	Bomb, Live Unit
CAPE	Capability Assessment and Program Evaluation
CBRNE	Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and High-yield Explosives
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
CHAMP	Counter Electronics High Power Microwave Advanced Missile Project
CIO	Chief Information Officer
CNDSP	DTRA Computer Network Defense Service Provider
СОСОМ	Combatant Command
CoE-NI	Consequence of Execution – Nuclear Integration
COI	Community of Interest
CONOPS	Concept of Operations

CONPLAN	Concept of Operation Plan
CONUS	Continental United States
COOP	Continuity of Operations
СР	Counter-proliferation
CSM	Computational Structure Mechanics
CT/CP	Counterterrorism / Counterproliferation
CTR	Cooperative Threat Reduction
C-WAC	Counter-WMD Analysis Center
CWMD	Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction
CWMD-T	Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction –Terrorism
CZT	Cadmium zinc telluride
DARPA	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
DEL	DTRA Experimentation Lab
DHS	Department of Homeland Security
DIAMONDS	Defense Integration and Management of Nuclear Data Services
DIOCC/DIA	Defense Intelligence Operations Coordination Center/Defense Intelligence Agency
DITEC	DTRA Integration Technical Experimentation Center
DNDO	Domestic Nuclear Detection Office
DoD	Department of Defense
DOE	Department of Energy
DPG	Dugway Proving Ground
DPOE	Dynamic Picture of the Operating Environment
DRDC	Defence Research and Development Canada
DSP	Digital Signal Processing
DSWA	Defense Special Weapons Agency
DT&E	Development, Testing and Evaluation

DTRA	Defense Threat Reduction Agency
DTSA	Defense Technology Security Administration
EHF	Extremely High Frequency
EMP	Electromagnetic Pulse
EOD	Explosive Ordnance Disposal
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EXCALIBUR	Explicit Calculations of Interacting Blocks Under Rapid Loading
FFRDC	Federally Funded Research and Development Center
FINDER	Flight Inserted Detector Expendable for Reconnaissance
FOC	Full Operational Capability
GDF	Global Development of Forces
GEF	Guidance for Employment of the Force
GIG	Global Information Grid
GNDS	Global Nuclear Defense System
GUI	Graphical User Interface
HAMMER	Heated And Mobile Munitions Employing Rockets
HANE	High Altitude Nuclear Environments
HEMP	High Altitude Electro Magnetic Pulse
He3-RT	Helium 3 Replacement Technology
HDBT	Hard and Deeply Buried Targets
HPAC	Hazard Prediction and Assessment Capability
HPC	High Performance Computing
НРМ	High Power Microwave
HSC	High Strength Concrete
HTD	Hard Target Defeat
IBRD	Interagency Biological Restoration Demonstration
IED	Improvised Explosive Device

IMEA	Integrated Munitions Effects Assessment
IND	Improvised Nuclear Device
INDRAC	Interagency CWMD Database of Responsibilities, Authorities, and Capabilities
IOC	Initial Operational Capability
IPODS	Integrated Precision Ordnance Delivery System
ISIS	Integrated Standoff Inspection System
ISR	Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance
ISS	Integrated Sensor System
IT	Information Technology
ITD	Integrated Technology Demonstration
IWMDT	Integrated Weapons of Mass Destruction Toolset
JAIEG	Joint Atomic Information Exchange Group
JCDE	Joint Concept Development & Experimentation
JCTD	Joint Concept Technology Demonstration
JDAM	Joint Direct Attack Munition
JECE	Joint Elimination Coordination Element
JEM	Joint Effects Model
JMEWS	Joint Multi-Effects Warhead System
JIPOE	Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment
JSAF	Joint Semi-Automated Forces
JSIVA	Joint Staff Integrated Vulnerability Assessments
KAFB	Kirtland Air Force Base
LIBS	Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy
LMSI	Lower Manhattan Security Initiative
LTS	Large Test Structure
MACS	Modular Autonomous Countering WMD System
MAV	Micro Air Vehicle

Monte Carlo N-Particle
Missile Defense Agency
Modeling and Simulation
Mobile Field Kit – Radiological
Metastable Innershell Molecular State
Multi-Mission Unmanned Aerial Systems
Massive Ordnance Penetrator
North Atlantic Treaty Organization
National Counterproliferation Center
National Ignition Facility
Nunn Lugar Global Cooperation
National Military Strategy
National Military Strategic Plan
National Nuclear Security Administration
Nevada National Security Site
Nuclear Posture Review
Near Real Time Reachback Support
National Security Strategy
New START Treaty
National Technical Nuclear Forensics
Nuclear Test Personnel Review
Nuclear Capability Services
Nuclear Weapon Effects
Nuclear Weapon Effects Center
Nuclear Weapons Effects Database
Nuclear Weapons Effects Network

NWRM	Nuclear Weapons Related Materiel
000	Overseas Contingency Operations
OCONUS	Outside the Continental United States
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
OPCW	Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons
OSCAR	Occluding Six-Crystal Array
OSD CAPE	Office of the Secretary of Defense Capability Assessment and Program Evaluation
OSD-NM	Office of the Secretary of Defense, Nuclear Matters Office (in the office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Nuclear, Chemical, and Biological Defense Programs)
OSIA	On-site Inspection Agency
P-ISR	Persistent Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance
PITAS	Photonuclear Inspection and Threat Analysis System
PNAF	Prime Nuclear Airlift Forces
QRC	Quick Reaction Capability
R2TD	Rapid Reaction Tunnel Detection
RDD	Radiological Dispersion Device
R&D	Research and Development
RadHard	Radiation Hardened
RFIS	Robust Fuzewell Instrumentation System
RHBD	Radiation Hardened by Design
RHM	Radiation Hardened Microelectronics
RHOC	Radiation Hardened Oversight Council
SBIR	Small Business Innovative Research
SCC WMD	USSTRATCOM Center for Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction
SCSP	USSOCOM Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction – Terrorism Support Program
SHAMRC	Second-order Hydrodynamic Automatic Mesh Refinement Code
SHAPE	Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers, Europe

SNM	Special Nuclear Material
SOF	Special Operation Forces
SOX	Standoff Operational Exercise
SREMP	Source Region Electromagnetic Pulse
START	Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty
STC	Secure the Cities
STIRS	Smart Threads Integrated Radiological Sensors
TACBRD	TransAtlantic Collaboration Biological Resiliency Demo
TACSAT	Technical Satellite
TDFD	Timed Delay Firing Device
TEAMS	Technical Evaluation Assessment and Monitor Site
TNF	Technical Nuclear Forensics
ΤΟΑ	Total Obligation Authority
TRAC	Threat Reduction Advisory Committee
TRL	Technology Readiness Level
TSG	Technical Support Group
TTL	Tag, Track, Locate
TWAC	Targeting and Weaponeering Analysis Cell
UAS	Unmanned Aerial Systems
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
UCP	Unified Command Plan
UGF	Underground Facility
UGT	Underground Test
UHF	Ultra-High Frequency
UHPC	Ultra-High Performance Concrete
URM	Universal Rock Model
USANCA	U.S. Army Nuclear and Combating WMD Agency

USEUCOM	U.S. European Command
USNORTHCOM	U.S. Northern Command
USP	University Strategic Partnership
USPACOM	U.S. Pacific Command
USSOCOM	U.S. Special Operations Command
USSTRATCOM	U.S. Strategic Command
UTAS	Underground Targeting and Analysis System
VAPO	Vulnerability Assessment Protection Option
VOIP	Voice Over Internet Protocol
WACS	WMD Aerial Collection System
WCF	West Coast Facility
WEP	Weapon Effects Phenomenology
WESC	Weapon Effects Steering Committee
WMD	Weapons of Mass Destruction
WSMR	White Sands Missile Range

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency									DATE: February 2012		
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 1: Basic Research				<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0601000BR: <i>DTRA Basic Research Initiative</i>							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	46.107	47.737	45.071	-	45.071	45.493	45.925	46.757	47.602	Continuing	Continuing
RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	46.107	47.737	45.071	-	45.071	45.493	45.925	46.757	47.602	Continuing	Continuing

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) safeguards America and its allies from Weapons of Mass Destruction (chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and high explosives) by providing capabilities to reduce, eliminate, counter the threat, and mitigate its effects. The Basic Research Initiative program provides for the discovery and development of fundamental knowledge and understanding by research performers drawn primarily from academia and world-class research institutions in government and industry. This leverages Department of Defense's \$2 billion annual investment in basic research by ensuring a motivation within the scientific community to conduct research benefiting Weapons of Mass Destruction-related defense missions and by improving Agency knowledge of other research efforts of potential benefit to DTRA nonproliferation, counterproliferation and consequence management efforts.

These efforts are closely coordinated with the Chem-Bio Technology portfolio which executes a basic research program under the joint Chem-Bio Defense Program. Agency research interests are coordinated with those of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and Service basic research programs through the Defense Basic Research Advisory Group. DTRA reviews research interests annually to focus on technology areas not clearly addressed by other basic research efforts.

The decrease from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is predominately due to a reduction in the number of grants awarded and the elimination of dedicated support to transition discoveries to DTRA applied research.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Defen	DATE:	DATE: February 2012			
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 1: Basic Research		E-1 ITEM NOMENO E 0601000BR: <i>DT</i>	<b>LATURE</b> RA Basic Research Initiativ	ve	
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 20	11 FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
Previous President's Budget	47.4	12 47.737	48.071	-	48.071
Current President's Budget	46.10	07 47.737	45.071	-	45.071
Total Adjustments	-1.30	- 05	-3.000	-	-3.000
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-1.01	14 -			
<ul> <li>FFRDC Reduction</li> </ul>	-0.0	50 -	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Economic Assumption Reduction</li> </ul>	-0.24	41 -	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Programmatic - Fiscal Guidance Adjustment</li> </ul>			-3.000	-	-3.000

### **Change Summary Explanation**

The decrease from the previous President's Budget submission in FY 2011 is due to the Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) and the Economic Assumption reductions, and the SBIR transfer. The FY 2013 decrease from the previous President's Budget is predominately due to a reduction in the number of grants awarded and the elimination of dedicated support to transition discoveries to DTRA applied research.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency										DATE: February 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 1: Basic Research								<b>PROJECT</b> RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	46.107	47.737	45.071	-	45.071	45.493	45.925	46.757	47.602	Continuing	Continuing

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project provides for the discovery and development of fundamental knowledge and understanding by research performers drawn primarily from academia and world-class research institutions in government and industry. This leverages the Department of Defense's (DoD) \$1 billion annual investment in basic research by ensuring a motivation within the scientific community to conduct research benefiting Weapons of Mass Destruction-related defense missions and by improving Agency knowledge of other research efforts of potential benefit to Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) nonproliferation, counterproliferation and consequence management efforts.

These efforts are closely coordinated with the Chem-Bio Technology Portfolio which executes a basic research program under the joint Chem-Bio Defense Program. Agency research interests are coordinated with those of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and Service basic research programs through the Defense Basic Research Advisory Group. DTRA reviews research interests annually to focus on technology areas not clearly addressed by other basic research efforts.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: Project RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	46.107	47.737	45.071
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Expanded the basic research portfolio to a total of 242 active basic research awards to 107 universities and laboratories across 37 states and 2 countries to include Canada and the UK. The Agency's 6.1 basic research portfolio supports the Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) grand challenge for the DoD, and is capitalized at 8.5% of the DTRA Science &amp; Technology (S&amp;T) investment.</li> <li>Supported 381 Principal Investigators, 535 students and 120 post-doctoral researchers which published 340 peer reviewed articles, 572 presentations and submitted 25 patent applications.</li> <li>Conducted a technical review assessing each grant's scientific advancements and progress in meeting technical objectives. The review included 240 technical presentations and was attended by 639 people fostering collaboration and building relationships within the scientific community.</li> <li>Conducted an external panel review of the basic research program that was open to DoD research stakeholders, which assessed the focus and scope of the program with respect to the CWMD challenges, and assessed the coordination of CWMD basic research across DoD mission space and across the broader basic research community to avoid unintended duplication and ensure successful partnerships.</li> </ul>			
FY 2012 Plans:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Thr	reat Reduction Age	ncy				DATE: Feb	ruary 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 1: Basic Research	<b>PROJECT</b> RU: <i>Funda</i> WMD	mental Rese	arch for Corr	nbating				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)						FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Program expected to be managing over 200 active basic research research portfolio is expected to continue the CWMD grand challeng the DTRA research and development investment.</li> <li>Plan to conduct a technical review of each grant to assess the sciet technical objectives and to foster collaboration and build relationship</li> <li>Plan to conduct an external panel review of the basic research pro assess the focus and scope of the program with respect to the CWM basic research across DoD mission space and across the broader be ensure successful partnerships.</li> </ul>	0% of d's s, to D							
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Program expected to be managing over 160 active basic research research portfolio is expected to continue the CWMD grand challeng the DTRA S&amp;T investment.</li> <li>Support the development of the future Science, Technology, Engine talent in WMD research at universities and laboratories.</li> <li>Conduct an annual technical review of each grant to assess the so technical objectives and to foster collaboration and build relationship.</li> <li>Conduct an annual external panel review of the basic research pro assess the focus and scope of the program with respect to the CWM basic research across DoD mission space and across the broader be ensure successful partnerships.</li> </ul>	ge for the DoD and neering and Mather cientific advanceme ps within the scient ogram, which will be MD challenges, and	to be capital matics workfo ents and prog ific communit e open to Dol t to assess th	ized at appr prce by supp ress in mee ty. D research t e coordinat	oximately 8 porting world ting the awa stakeholder ion of CWM	-10% of d-class ard's s, to D			
	Accon	nplishments	Planned P	rograms Sເ	ubtotals	46.107	47.737	45.071
Line Item FY 2011 FY 2012 E		FY 2013 Total 2.000	<b>FY 2014</b> 0.516	FY 2015 0.567 Partnershi	<u>FY 2016</u> 0.549 p, collabor	9 0.549	Cost To Complete Continuing	Continuing

4

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threa	DATE: February 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b>	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0601000BR: <i>DTRA Basic Research</i>	RU: Fundamental Research for Combating
BA 1: Basic Research	<i>Initiative</i>	WMD

### E. Performance Metrics

Project performance is measured via a combination of statistics including the number of publications generated, number of students trained in sciences and engineering supporting Department of Defense educational goals, number of research organizations participating, and percentage of participating universities on the US News & World Report "Best Colleges" list.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency								DATE: February 2012					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research					R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies								
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
Total Program Element	197.984	196.083	172.352	-	172.352	170.483	174.084	177.832	180.828	Continuing	Continuing		
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	44.923	41.456	33.396	-	33.396	31.924	32.454	32.780	33.152	Continuing	Continuing		
RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies	15.946	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		
RF: Detection Technology	43.697	49.677	44.998	-	44.998	47.223	47.722	48.417	49.330	Continuing	Continuing		
RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons	18.432	17.771	14.645	-	14.645	14.750	13.595	13.521	14.004	Continuing	Continuing		
RI: Nuclear Survivability	18.525	17.503	18.810	-	18.810	18.965	20.142	21.428	21.490	Continuing	Continuing		
RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	15.891	25.343	25.752	-	25.752	23.904	25.202	25.539	25.964	Continuing	Continuing		
RM: WMD Battle Management	18.255	13.761	18.969	-	18.969	19.066	19.988	20.593	20.729	Continuing	Continuing		
RR: Test Infrastructure	13.509	21.941	13.782	-	13.782	14.135	14.414	15.005	15.610	Continuing	Continuing		
RT: Target Assessment Technologies	0.845	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		
RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	7.961	8.631	2.000	-	2.000	0.516	0.567	0.549	0.549	Continuing	Continuing		

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The mission of the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) is to safeguard America and its allies from Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) by reducing the present threat and preparing for the future threat. This mission directly reflects several national and Department of Defense level guidance/vision documents to include the National Security Strategy, Unified Command Plan, National Strategy to Combat WMD, Counterproliferation Interdiction, National Strategy for Combating Terrorism, National Military Strategy, Global Development of Forces, Global Employment of Forces, National Military Strategy for Combating WMD, National Military Strategic Plan for the War on Terrorism, Joint Strategics and tasks to ensure the objectives are met. Three of these objectives are to deter the use of WMD, reduce the present threat, and to prepare for the future threat. A focused and strong threat reduction technology base is critical to achieving these objectives and is closely tied with the operational support programs that make up its combat support mission. DTRA has taken the steps to develop this technology base and provide a foundation for transformational activities within the WMD arena.

Project RA provides systems engineering and analysis support across all other Projects, innovative counterproliferation research, and technical advisory reachback support on WMD effects and consequences.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Defense T	hreat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2012
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	
Project RE provides research and development support to the U.S. Support Program (SCSP) to forecast plausible terrorist WMD threats project can be found in the Proliferation Prevention and Defeat; 060	s for planning and conducting operations to combat V	
Project RF develops technologies, systems and procedures to detect weapons, components, or materials in support of Department of Def homeland defense, and international initiatives and agreements.		
Project RG develops advanced technologies and weapon concepts	and validates their applicability as counter WMD wea	apon systems.
Project RI provides the capability for DoD nuclear forces and their as other hostile action, to the extent that essential functions can continu		n wartime to avoid, repel, or withstand attack o
Project RL develops nuclear and radiological assessment modeling design decisions.	tools to support military operational planning, weapon	n effects predictions, and strategic system
Project RM provides (1) full-scale testing of counter WMD weapon e the Defense Threat Reduction Agency Experimentation Lab.	effects, sensor performance, and weapon delivery opt	timization, (2) weapon effects modeling, and (3
Project RR provides a unique national test bed capability for simulat respond to operational needs by developing and maintaining test be evaluate the implications of WMD, conventional, and other special w	eds used by the DoD, the Services, the Combatant Co	ommanders and other federal agencies to
Project RT provides the Combatant Commands and the Intelligence Destruction (WMD) targets located in underground facilities and ther project can be found in the Proliferation Prevention and Defeat; 0603	n, in near-real-time, assess the results of attacks aga	
Project RU provides (1) strategic studies to support DoD, (2) Decision and (3) early applied research for technology development.	on support tools and analysis to support combating W	/MD research and development investments,

8

xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Defer	DATE: F	DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 8A 2: Applied Research		-1 ITEM NOMENC E 0602718BR: <i>WM</i>	L <b>ATURE</b> ID Defeat Technologies		
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 20	11 FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
Previous President's Budget	212.74	196.954	191.786	-	191.786
Current President's Budget	197.98	34 196.083	172.352	-	172.352
Total Adjustments	-14.75	58 -0.871	-19.434	-	-19.434
Congressional General Reductions					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-10.43	35 -			
Congressional Adds					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>					
Reprogrammings					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-1.68	35 -			
<ul> <li>FFRDC Reduction</li> </ul>	-0.22	-0.871	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Economic Assumption Reduction</li> </ul>	-1.08	31 -	-	-	-
• Realignment	-1.33	- 30	0.688	-	0.688
<ul> <li>Programmatic - Fiscal Guidance Reduction</li> </ul>			-23.198	-	-23.198
Inflation			3.076	-	3.076

### **Change Summary Explanation**

The decrease from the previous President's Budget submission in FY 2011 is the net effect of the Congressional Rescission, the Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) reduction, the Economic Assumption reduction, and a transfer of funding to WMD Defeat Capabilities; 0605000BR for increased investment in the Joint Collaborative Analysis Module of the Integrated Weapons of Mass Destruction Toolset (IWMDT). The decrease from the previous President's Budget submission in FY 2013 is predominately due to decreased efforts in Advanced Energetics, University Strategic Partnerships, CWMD-T, Innovation, System Engineering, Test and Technology Support, DTRA Wargaming, Environmental Restoration Support and WMD National Test Bed.

9

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency									DATE: February 2012		
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research							<b>PROJECT</b> RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	44.923	41.456	33.396	-	33.396	31.924	32.454	32.780	33.152	Continuing	Continuing

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Systems Engineering and Innovation project provides (1) systems engineering and analysis support across all other Projects, (2) innovative counterproliferation research and development, and (3) technical advisory reachback support on Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) effects and consequences. The systems engineering effort provides research and development with requirements, technology, architecture analyses and proof-of-principle capability necessary for making decisions on strategic planning, research and development investments, new initiatives, cooperation, ventures with new customers, and accomplishment of high-level, short notice special projects. It also conducts the development, validation and fielding of the Arms Control Enterprise System (ACES) as a part of the U.S. commitment under arms control treaties. The innovative counterproliferation effort conducts research and development to investigate, identify, develop and transition short term, high payoff technologies from Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA), other government agencies, industry, academia and international Science and Technology partners into the respective DTRA and other research and development programs and to end user organizations. The technical reachback effort provides 24 hours, 7 days per week information and analyses on potential impacts of a WMD event to Warfighters and First Responders in consult with DTRA's Combating WMD Research and Development subject matter experts. This project also provides support to international Counter-WMD science and technology cooperation through the DTRA London Office.

The decrease from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is predominantly due to reduced investment in systems engineering collaboration with external partners and customers and the slowing development and fielding of innovative technologies to the warfighter.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	44.923	41.456	33.396
<b>Description:</b> Project RA provides the research and development both for systems engineering and analysis support across all other projects and innovative counterproliferation research and technical reachback support.			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Finalized operational capability for systems engineering decision support tools. Provided direct support to DTRA programs and projects for analyzing and determining key performance and key technical parameters to support investment strategies.</li> <li>Continued requirements and gap analyses to enable research and development efforts to meet combating WMD capability gaps. Supported program and project managers by translating Agency goals and Concept of Operations into actionable products.</li> <li>Completed 21st century nuclear threat assessment resulting in increasing our knowledge of current threats and providing a solid basis for future analysis.</li> <li>Completed the Distributed Decision Support and Analysis architecture and Manufacturing Readiness Level Assessment studies vis-a-vis the DTRA Mission and active projects resulting in the development of refined analytical and systems engineering tools.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threa		DATE: February 2012				
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	PROJEC RA: Syst	<b>CT</b> stems Engineering and Innovation				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
<ul> <li>Completed Nuclear Enterprise architecture analysis resulting in the or Tool.</li> <li>Initiated three new systems-engineering based special projects focus System, a new research and development portfolio management tool technologies.</li> <li>Solicited new innovative research projects resulting in ongoing development capabilities, while leveraging resources from other DoD and</li> <li>Completed reconstructing the current networks to produce the DTRA an environment to test and assess new technologies and configuration</li> <li>Developed and integrated secure core infrastructure enhancements</li> <li>Engineered and deployed full virtual infrastructure modeling and and</li> <li>Successfully closed the Advanced Systems and Concepts Office (AS</li> <li>Completed proof-of-concept and development efforts in areas of enh technologies supporting WMD Analysis.</li> <li>Demonstrated feasibility of virtualization of WMD Analysis support sy capability gaps in support of Operation Tomodachi.</li> <li>Conducted code-based vulnerability assessments on DTRA-develop remediation in future revisions.</li> </ul>	sing on the New START Treaty Arms Control Ente demonstrating radiological and nuclear stand-off d lopment efforts for needed new technologies and in USG agencies. A Integration Technical Experimentation Center (DI n changes. that remediate vulnerability issues. omaly detection capability. SCO). hanced remote access, collaboration, and virtualization ystems, some of which were rapidly provisioned to	rprise letection ncreased TEC) as ation meet				
<ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Develop next generation WMD Analysis Reachback Tool capabilities</li> <li>Solicit at least 5 new innovative research projects focused on Chem Destruction (CWMD) / Improvised Explosive Device and Special Nucle</li> <li>Continue requirements and gap analyses to enable research and de Support program and project managers by translating Agency goals a</li> <li>Complete initial concept demonstrations for Standoff Detection in the Continental United States (OCONUS) environments to Combat WMD</li> <li>Facilitate Joint Concept Development &amp; Experimentation (JCDE) for</li> <li>Investigate and explore developmental technologies, such as Virtual</li> <li>Analyze, explore, and identify gaps, and barriers associated with CW</li> <li>Support Office of the Secretary of Defense Capability Assessment a detection analysis and modeling.</li> <li>Perform analysis studies to predict new WMD threats.</li> </ul>	ical-Biological detection, Countering Weapons of Near Materials detection. velopment efforts to meet combating WMD capabi nd Concept of Operations into actionable products e Continental United States (CONUS) and Outside proliferation. the CWMD Community of Interest. Worlds. /MD Warfighter Challenges bile force structure planning tool.	lity gaps. s. the				

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency			DATE: February 201		
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	T ems Enginee	Engineering and Innovation			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Stimulate, identify, and execute high-impact projects to address long t</li> <li>Provide long-range analytical support to the warfighter.</li> <li>Develop and innovate a Nuclear Weapon-Related Materiel (NWRM) m</li> <li>Data Services with the ability to evolve to keep up with emerging mains of Defense (DoD) tracking systems into a single worldwide accountabili report, and track NWRM during peacetime, crisis, and wartime.</li> <li>Design and implementation of Mission Domain IT architecture. Include leveraged by DTRA operational and combat support customers into the</li> <li>Contract support to design, implement and manage the DTRA Integrate.</li> <li>Provide capability to model, simulate and analyze existing DTRA system and perform regression testing for system changes and upgrades (inclusted of the section).</li> </ul>	nodule in Defense Integration and Management of tream technologies to consolidate various Depart ty system that provides the ability to account, main des migration and integration of current R&D IT can operational IT infrastructure. ation, Test and Experimentation Center. terms, networks, enclaves and communications can ding Information Assurance patches).	ment intain, apabilities			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Continue requirements and gap analyses to enable research and dever Support program and project managers by translating Agency goals and - Support STRATCOM requirements for an integrated strategic stockpill - Integrate first person virtual environments into the suite of CWMD Mode - Facilitate Joint Concept Development &amp; Experimentation (JCDE) for the - Integrate Joint Semi-Automated Forces (JSAF) mission planning, const Integrated Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) Toolset (IWMDT).</li> <li>Continue to support OSD-CAPE and OSD-Nuclear Matters office (NM - Deploy advanced Countering WMD (CWMD) operational virtual/live tra- related DOE activities.</li> <li>Integrate Defense Intelligence Operations Coordination Center/Defense tools into NIMBLE ELDER mission capabilities.</li> <li>Deploy 1st generation real time radiation modeling capabilities into DT - Continue to solicit new innovative research projects for developing new (leveraging other DoD and USG resources where possible) focused on Explosives (CBRNE) detection, CWMD, Improvised Explosive Device d detection.</li> <li>Continue development of capability to model secondary and tertiary eff decisions for WMD operations, including power and communication infr</li> </ul>	d Concept of Operations into actionable products e force structure planning tool. deling and Simulation capabilities. he CWMD Community of Interest. structive analysis, and virtual training toolkit into t ) strategic planning efforts and force analyses. aining capabilities for Technical Support Group (T se Intelligence Agency (DIOCC/DIA) collection pla TRA Reachback support. eded new technologies and increased end-user of Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and letection and defeat, and/or Special Nuclear Mate ffects supporting optimal course of action and tac	he SG) and anning apabilities High trials			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justi	fication: PB	2013 Defens	e Threat Re	eduction Age	ency				DATE: Feb	ruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 2: Applied Research		Defense-W		<b>R-1 ITEM NC</b> PE 0602718I				<b>PROJEC</b> RA: Syste	T ems Engineeri	ng and Innov	vation
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	g <u>rams (\$ in N</u>	<u>/lillions)</u>						ſ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Organize/conduct senior Combatar exercises to address key national/int</li> <li>Refine and enhance WMD lessons learned from partner activities.</li> <li>Develop and update DTRA Suppor Combating WMD mission across all for Employment of the Force (GEF).</li> <li>Utilize institutionalized linkage with further develop similar international to GEF.</li> <li>Continue to conduct strategic analy methodologies. Expand the use of S</li> <li>Manage the Threat Reduction Advi Build a professional network of up- Bio Initiative for the Next Generation</li> <li>Complete modernization of infrastri</li> <li>Complete documentation and archi Begin code-based vulnerability sca life-cycle as well as interfacing passi (CNDSP).</li> </ul>	t Command ernational str learned proc t Plan as dire theaters while NATO/SHAP research and vses and asse Second Track sory Committ and-coming p ucture and ex tecture devel nning and do	(COCOM), Ir ategies for re ess with inte cted in the D balancing D E and USEL developmen Dialogues to ee (TRAC). professionals tend enhanc opment for n cumentation	educing/con rnational sta pefense Plar DTRA asset JCOM in intr t collaborati emerging V o meet futur (post-BS/B red enterpris nigrated mis . Expand ca	nbating the V aff and acros nning and Pros s and manage ernational re on within the VMD threats e CWMD cha A and pre-Pl se services. ssion systems apability to p	VMD threat. s the other ( ogramming ging risks as search and e Pacific Reg using variou allenges. nD) through s. erform code	COCOMs, in Guidance (D prioritized w development ion in accord is strategic re effective ma analysis ear	corporating PPG) to furt vithin the Gu t collaboratio dance with the esearch nagement o	lessons her the idance on to he f the			
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Su	ubtotals	44.923	41.456	33.396
C. Other Program Funding Summa Line Item • 28/0603160BR: Proliferation Prevention and Defeat D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable E. Performance Metrics	ary (\$ in Milli <u>FY 2011</u> 4.815	<u>ons)</u> <u>FY 2012</u> 13.641	FY 2013 Base 7.455	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total 7.455	<u>FY 2014</u> 8.448	<u>FY 2015</u> 9.215	<b>FY 20</b> 9.7			<u>Total Cost</u> Continuing
Number of customer requests for d Number of changes to investments											
PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Techno Defense Threat Reduction Agency	ologies			UNCLAS Page 7	-		R-1 Line	#23			13

<b>khibit R-2A</b> , <b>RDT&amp;E Project Justification:</b> PB 2013 Defense Threat	DATE: February 2012	
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation
A 2: Applied Research		
Number of exercise and operations supported.		
lumber of Defense Acquisition Workforce Improvement Act certified s		
New capabilities delivered and transitioned to operational capabilities.		
Anage the strategic weapons stockpile and Nuclear Weapon-Relate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Aission Enclave moves from development to Initial Operational Capal Aission Enclave moves from IOC to Full Operational Capability (FOC)		
Segment architectures for the mission enclave and supported mission		
ntegrate segment architectures into the DTRA Enterprise Architecture	•	
Development of network modeling and system-in-the-loop testing cap		perimentation Center (DITEC).
	<b>0</b> <i>i</i>	

<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Defense-Wide</i> BA 2: <i>Applied Research</i>				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATUREPROJECTPE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat TechnologiesRE: Counter				er-Terrorism Technologies			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies	15.946	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

The USSOCOM Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction – Terrorism Support Program (SCSP) supports processes to forecast plausible terrorist WMD threats for planning and conducting operations to combat WMD terrorism. The SCSP specifically addresses Commander USSOCOM responsibilities under the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff (CJCS) Unified Command Plan (UCP) for integrating and synchronizing Defense-wide operations and activities to prevent terrorists from developing, acquiring, proliferating, or using WMD.

Follow-on funding for this project can be found in the Proliferation Prevention and Defeat; 0603160BR, budget exhibit.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies	15.946	-	-
<b>Description:</b> Project RE provides research and development support to the U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction – Terrorism Support Program (SCSP) to forecast plausible terrorist WMD threats for planning and conducting operations to combat WMD terrorism. Follow-on funding for this project can be found in the Proliferation Prevention and Defeat; 0603160BR, budget exhibit.			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>SCSP established an initial capability to provide a dynamic picture of the global WMD-T operating environment.</li> <li>SCSP established an initial advanced IT infrastructure (Phase I) to accommodate data analysis processing and network conductivity.</li> <li>SCSP provided WMD data to COCOMs to support real-time contingency planning.</li> </ul>			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	15.946	-	-
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threa	DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	·	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies		
BA 2: Applied Research				
	·			

#### E. Performance Metrics

Number of technologies developed and delivered, and/or proof of concept, or successful Military Utility Assessments conducted that increase the potential mission success and reduces the number of current gaps in SOF capabilities to counter weapons of mass destruction when conducting Overseas Contingency Operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	DATE: February 2012										
							<b>PROJECT</b> RF: <i>Detection Technology</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RF: Detection Technology	43.697	49.677	44.998	-	44.998	47.223	47.722	48.417	49.330	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Detection Technology project develops technologies, systems and procedures to detect, identify, track, locate, monitor and interdict strategic and improvised nuclear and radiological weapons, components, or materials in support of Department of Defense requirements for combating terrorism, counterproliferation and nonproliferation, homeland defense, and international initiatives and agreements. This project researches, develops, demonstrates, and transitions advanced technologies to improve: operational capability to detect and identify nuclear and radiological weapons, and support to the attribution process through development, demonstration, and transition of improved post-detonation National Technical Nuclear Forensics operational capabilities. Efforts under this project also support international peacekeeping and nonproliferation objectives, on-site and aerial inspections and monitoring, on-site sampling and sample transport, and on-site and off-site analysis to meet forensic, verification, monitoring and confidence-building requirements.

The Detection Technology project under Weapons of Mass Destruction Proliferation Prevention and Defeat emphasizes the advanced technology development and engineering portion of the overall effort.

The decrease from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is predominately due to the redirection of the nuclear detection portfolio toward a more holistic nuclear THREAT detection portfolio that integrates both passive and active radiation detection into a comprehensive Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) solution. This resulted in a decreased investment in advanced detector technology to fund increased investment in nuclear weapons effects in Project RI - Nuclear Survivability and system vulnerability and assessment capabilities in Project RL - Nuclear and Radiological Effects.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RF: Detection Technology	43.697	49.677	44.998
<b>Description:</b> Project RF develops technologies, systems and procedures to detect, identify, track, tag, locate, monitor and interdict strategic and improvised nuclear and radiological weapons, components, or materials in support of Department of Defense (DoD) requirements for combating terrorism, counterproliferation and nonproliferation, homeland defense, and international initiatives and agreements.			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continued development of a fieldable standoff active interrogation system for standoff detection and warning of hidden and shielded nuclear material. This standoff active interrogation system will also provide a new reference standard for evaluating progress and capabilities in standoff detection and warning of hidden and shielded nuclear material.</li> <li>Performed field demonstrations of new detector technologies for handheld detectors, distributed sensors, and vehicle mountable detector systems, to improve the ability of fielded forces to detect, locate, and identify nuclear materials in the battle space.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat		DATE: February 2012						
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJECT RF: Detect	DJECT Detection Technology					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013			
<ul> <li>Continued to improve performance of new detector materials, imaging through rigorous field testing.</li> <li>Continued to develop fieldable and improved technical capabilities for debris sample collection, sample analysis, modeling to support nuclear uncertainties/increase confidence in technical nuclear forensics (TNF) of Combined all research and development projects to improve prompt of and MINIKIN ECHO to demonstrate and field a prototype of an integrat current yield estimation and other prompt diagnostic capabilities. Includnuclear weapon yields and reaction history post-event.</li> <li>Began development, validation and transition of seismic/air blast/infra</li> <li>Continued execution, technical management and development of yield capabilities in support of the FY2010-initiated National Technical Nucle Demonstration (JCTD)</li> <li>Investigated the use of muon and proton beams for standoff stimulation to validate the feasibility of the approach.</li> <li>Investigated alternative methods to detect fissions in nuclear materials.</li> <li>Started development of methods to rapidly determine nuclear weapon nuclear weapons effects on the environment.</li> <li>Developed improved correlation tools, signature databases, and mode confidence, decrease uncertainties and timelines, to better support proversults.</li> <li>Continued to mature alternative neutron detection materials and syste.</li> <li>Investigated potential of a compact superconducting source in active in therrogation scenarios.</li> <li>Improved a probabilistic code to enhance its modeling capabilities for Began efforts to improve accelerator design for improved capabilities for a began efforts to improve accelerator design for improved capabilities for a complete design of man-portable field instrument capable of passivel continue to mature passive interrogation systems for determining the Complete design of man-portable field instrument capable of passivel - Continue to develop and demonstrate neutron detection technology and proveed complet</li></ul>	post-detonation prompt diagnostics, ground and device reconstruction, and forensics data to lowe conclusions. diagnostics capabilities under projects DISCREET ed ground sensor capability to augment and enha- les continued development of methods to rapidly of sound/craterology model to improve yield accurate d estimation and airborne/ground debris sample of ar Forensics (NTNF) Joint Capability Technology on of fission in nuclear materials. Conducted expen- s from operationally relevant distances. In yields post-event, by investigating alternative pro- eling of device/production design space to increase duction of consensus technical nuclear forensics of erms as an alternative to the use of helium-3. interrogation systems. etects radioactive sources in both passive detection specific problems. with reduced weight and size. location of nuclear material. y locating and identifying nuclear materials. location of nuclear material. y locating and identifying nuclear materials.	airborne r OCULUS ance determine cy. collection eriments ompt se (TNF)						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Thread		DATE: February 2012			
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJECT RF: Detecti	ion Technol	logy	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Begin development of a rugged, mobile stand-off radiation detection s materials in a field environment.</li> <li>Research and develop new detector materials intended to improve th Improve the manufacturing readiness level by maturing technologies, c.</li> <li>Transition compact, high performing replacement electronics for dete Develop an advanced algorithm to increase speed and reliability of is detectors.</li> <li>Begin to incorporate radiation transport into existing operational mode. Begin development of compact superconducting cyclotrons as a sour Continue to develop and field (prototype) upgraded technical capabili analysis, and integration of design modeling and forensic data to supple Complete execution, transition and fielding of the National Technical Use Complete development of a fieldable standoff active interrogation sysshielded nuclear material.</li> <li>Continue to perform field demonstrations of new detector technologie mountable detector systems, to improve the ability of fielded forces to a space.</li> <li>Continue to improve performance of new detector materials, imaging through rigorous field testing.</li> <li>Expand the functionality of the Mobile Field Kit – Radiological (MFK-F suite of chemical sensors in the kit.</li> <li>Investigate alternative methods to detect fissions in nuclear materials lasers to generate beams of mono-energetic x-rays.</li> <li>Investigate the use of muon and proton beams for standoff stimulation validate the feasibility of the approach.</li> <li>Progressively advance the laboratory physics demonstrations of targe capability.</li> <li>Develop a system to produce, capture, steer, cool and re-accelerate to components than are being used in comparable muon generating syster.</li> <li>Develop the ability and Concept of Operations (CONOPS) to detect rematerial (SNM) by passive and active means.</li> <li>Investigate concept of a pulsed millimeter wave system which detects interrogation scenarios.</li> </ul>	e capability to detect, locate, and identify threat m designs, and production processes. ctors to commercial production. otope identification in fielded hand-held and porta eling tools. ce in active interrogation systems. ties for prompt and debris sample collection, samp ort development of technical conclusions. Nuclear Forensics (NTNF) Joint Concept Technol / Employment and Follow-on Sustainment activitie them for standoff detectors, distributed sensors, and detect, locate, and identify nuclear materials in the and spectroscopy systems, and signals analysis in R) to add radiological situational awareness to the from standoff ranges, including the use of high-po- n of fission in nuclear materials. Conduct experim- et stimulation, signature detection, and validated r negative muons in a reduced footprint and with fer- ems. adiation induced air fluorescence from special nuc-	aterials. ble ole ogy es. and vehicle battle methods current ower nents to nodeling wer			

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency				DATE: February 2012		
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJECT RF: Detec	r tion Technol	logy		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
<ul> <li>Improve the Monte Carlo N-Particle (MCNP) code to enhance its me</li> <li>Continue development of a large standoff, directionally oriented, mo</li> <li>scattering accelerator) source for integration with an active interrogat</li> <li>Continue efforts to improve accelerator designs for higher accelerate</li> </ul>	onoenergetic gamma (e.g. laser Wakefield/inverse ( ion system.	Compton				
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Continue development of a compact superconducting source in acti</li> <li>Continue to identify all-source nuclear threat signatures, characteris proper tipping, queuing, and data fusion techniques and algorithms to intelligence on nuclear threat scenarios.</li> <li>Investigate alternative methods to detect fissions in nuclear materia</li> <li>Investigate the use of proton beams for standoff stimulation of fission feasibility of the approach.</li> <li>Progressively advance the laboratory physics demonstrations of tar capability.</li> <li>Investigate concept of a radio wave-type system to detect radioactive.</li> <li>Improve a probabilistic code to enhance its modeling capability for set continue to incorporate radiation transport into existing operational</li> <li>Test and evaluate developmental large-area detection systems.</li> <li>Research and develop new detector materials intended to improve a limprove the manufacturing readiness level by maturing technologies.</li> <li>Continue to develop, accelerate development where appropriate, de capabilities for prompt diagnostics (under DISCREET OCULUS and lanalysis, modeling to support nuclear device reconstruction, and fore technical nuclear forensics (TNF) conclusions. Includes development</li> </ul>	stics, and corresponding detection modalities; identi- be enable the rapid and effective accumulation of all- alls from standoff ranges. In in nuclear materials. Conduct experiments to val- get stimulation, signature detection, and validated r ve sources in multiple scenarios. specific problems. ilities with reduced weight and size. modeling tools. the capability to detect, locate, and identify threat m , designs, and production processes. as an alternative to helium-3 neutron detectors. emonstrate, and field (prototype) upgraded technication MINIKIN ECHO) and debris sample collection, same ensics data to lower uncertainties/increase confiden- t of new debris collection and field analysis concept amples and the ability to collect/analyze short-lived	source idate the nodeling naterials. al ple ce in s and isotopes				
<ul> <li>Begin development of methods to rapidly determine post-event nucl alternative prompt nuclear weapons effects, effects on the environment</li> </ul>		ating				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs	Subtotals	43.697	49.677	44.998	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	stification: PB	2013 Defen	se Threat R	eduction Age	ency				DATE: Feb	ruary 2012	
								PROJECT RF: Detection Technology			
C. Other Program Funding Sumr	nary (\$ in Milli	ons)									
	FY									Cost To	
Line Item • 28/0603160BR: Proliferation Prevention and Defeat	<u>FY 2011</u> 77.472	<u>FY 2012</u> 77.784	<b>Base</b> 76.298	000	<u>Total</u> 76.298	FY 2014 77.863	<u>FY 2015</u> 78.528	<u>FY 2016</u> 80.321		Complete Continuing	
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable											
E. Performance Metrics Successful completion of the indi	vidual digital do	osimeter pro	ject.								
Increased standoff detection dist	ance using a m	obile active	interrogatio	n system to s	timulate cha	racteristic ne	eutron and	gamma ray si	gnals from	nuclear mat	erial.
Successful acceptance and oper-	ational develop	ment of tran	sitional det	ection technol	logies.						
Successful demonstrations of a f	orensics capab	ility to suppo	ort attributio	n involving bo	oth Radiolog	ical Dispersa	al and Impro	ovised Nuclea	ar Devices.		
Delivery of technical equipment p Mass Destruction devices in supp	• •		• •	•••		characterize	and provide	e advanced d	iagnostics t	o defeat We	apons of
Improved forensics evaluation to	ol capabilities.										
Support development of National Department of Defense (DoD)											
Use an active interrogation syste	m to interrogate	e and differe	ntiate Spec	ial Nuclear M	aterials and	an inert mat	erial at exte	ended ranges			
Delivery of a series of documents radiological and nuclear threats,			•		ection applied	d to realistic	concepts o	f operations (	CONOPS)	for detecting	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency										DATE: February 2012		
				PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies RG:				<b>PROJECT</b> RG: Advand Weapons	G: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons	18.432	17.771	14.645	-	14.645	14.750	13.595	13.521	14.004	Continuing	Continuing	

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Counter Weapon of Mass Destruction Hard Target Defeat (CWMD HTD) Weapons Development project develops, matures, and demonstrates innovative kinetic and non-kinetic weapon capability for the physical or functional defeat of WMD agents, processes, and support networks with a minimum of collateral effects from incidental release of agent. This is directly linked to the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) priority objectives to prevent and deter conflict and prepare to defeat adversaries and succeed in a wide range of contingencies, and the key missions of deter and defeat aggression in anti-access environments; and prevent proliferation and counter weapons of mass destruction. It does so through the systematic identification and maturation of advanced technologies capable of defeating WMD agents or agent based processes, then integrating the technologies into the weapons and delivery systems most relevant to the COCOMs' WMD Defeat CONOPS for their Area of Responsibility (AOR). The primary focus of current efforts is defeating an adversary's WMD capability protected in the confines of hardened and protected bunker and tunnel facilities. Included in this program is the development of offensive defeat capabilities, WMD agent/agent-based process simulants, test infrastructure, and sampling capability required for effective development, testing, and evaluation of the next generation capability as well as the advanced modeling and simulation necessary for ensuring optimum weapon solutions are achieved based on this technology. The program addresses requirements documents, and COCOMs and Agency Priority Lists for lethal and non-lethal C-WMD capability. The efforts contained in the program further develop, mature, and demonstrate technology and weapon system concepts that greatly enhance the warfighters' capability to defeat the spectrum of weapons of mass destruction in hard and deeply buried targets (HDBTs) and elsewhere throughout the lifecycle functions from production to weaponization, storage, and employment.

The program's investment approach is based on a strategic top-down analysis of threat vulnerabilities and aligned with stated organizational core competencies and lines of operations aimed at the defeat of (1) the chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear (CBRN) threat materials, (2) the ability to deliver the same, and (3) the support networks, both physical and non-physical, enabling both. The program places a high priority on understanding, characterizing, and validating potential weapon effects within some mathematical confidence as it relates to the unintended release of hazardous threat materials. Our end-state is to provide COCOMs with accurate and timely WMD defeat expertise, tailored technologies, and customized solutions that provide offensive weapons and capabilities to combat WMD in any target while mitigating collateral contamination effects. Without these capabilities our nation cannot effectively hold at risk our adversaries' WMD capabilities thus giving them strategic advantage.

The decrease from FY 2012 to FY 2013 represents an efficiency reduction to contract support services as part of the DoD reform agenda to reduce reliance on service support contractors.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons	18.432	17.771	14.645

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Three	DATE: February 2012				
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJEC RG: Adva Weapons	anced Energe	etics & Count	er WMD
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<b>Description:</b> Project RG develops advanced technologies and weap weapon systems.	on concepts and validates their applicability as cour	nter WMD			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continued development and small-scale testing of new energetic m</li> <li>Continued maturing of advanced non-energetic WMD Defeat payloa</li> <li>Conducted scaled penetrator tests versus High Strength Concrete (characterize breakthrough penetrator technologies.</li> <li>Continued investigation of CWMD payloads capable of neutralizing</li> <li>Designed fuze well redundant data recorder for field and flight testir weapons.</li> <li>Initiated advanced testing of WMD Defeat sub-munitions (Kinetic Fi</li> <li>Made Kinetic Fireball design improvements to address target equipitesting.</li> <li>Designed low-cost layer and void sensing target detection device for</li> <li>Continued investigating thermite energetic materials to identify multidemonstrations that will inform how to best use thermite for WMD ag</li> <li>Designed miniature shock survivable fuze based on current manufa</li> <li>Continued development of a WMD process computer model useful it to specific CWMD targets.</li> <li>Performed flight test of operational Battle Damage Information (BDI demonstrating capability to transmit BDI data into an Air Operations (</li> <li>Explored integration of kinetic and non-kinetic capabilities into single.</li> <li>Performed laboratory and field testing of hardware demonstrating capability to transmit BDI data into an Air Operations (</li> <li>Explored integration of kinetic and non-kinetic capabilities into single.</li> <li>Performed laboratory and field testing of hardware demonstrating cashock environment.</li> <li>Conducted small-scale chemical and biological simulant defeat test</li> <li>Demonstrated data reception portion of infrastructure for long haul ocenters.</li> <li>Refined, validated, and transitioned an algorithm for improving the of WMD payloads.</li> <li>Conducted flight tests to support multi-hit weapon tactics and penet</li> </ul>	ad components. HSC) and steel-encased concrete targets to further large amounts of WMD agent. Ing of both legacy and developmental hard target def reball). Innent damage effectiveness and related small- and the r hard target defeat fuzes. i-effort research areas, trade studies, tests, and ent defeat. cturing technologies. for testing non-kinetic-based CWMD capabilities an 0) Link Advanced Demonstrator (BLADE) system Center (AOC). DAM) Micro Air Vehicle (MAV) system demonstrating lware. e CWMD payload. apability to record and transmit weapon data during ing using new materials. communication of BDI data from battlefield back to compatibility to conduct test and evaluation of non-kine	feat full-scale d applied g post- a harsh command			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat F	Reduction Agency		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	<b>PROJECT</b> RG: Advai Weapons		etics & Counte	er WMD
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Conducted kinetic and functional simulant neutralization experiments.</li> <li>Conducted additional detonations in a scaled complex tunnel facility in</li> <li>Initiated concept studies for BLU-119/B conversion using a safer, lower</li> <li>Conducted thermal evaluation of the Joint Multi-Effects Warhead Syste</li> <li>against WMD.</li> <li>Began development and testing of model improvements to Second-ord (SHAMRC) (those identified in the 2010 evaluation).</li> <li>Completed fabrication and installation of cluster molecule production er</li> <li>Began production of candidate cluster molecule energetic materials.</li> <li>Began characterization and evaluation of cluster molecule energetic m.</li> <li>Developed highly metalized explosive formulations optimized for n</li> <li>Conducted model code comparison evaluation exercise to identify mode.</li> <li>Evaluated Advanced Energetics best candidate concepts for enhanced and structural reactive cases.</li> <li>Completed development of explosive formulations using additive fuels for defear</li> <li>Began development of explosive formulations using additive fuels for defear</li> </ul>	r lifecycle cost payload fill. em (JMEWS) warhead and evaluated its potential ler Hydrodynamic Automatic Mesh Refinement C quipment. aterial candidates. MRC model guidance for maximized blast perfor naximum energy content. lel code capabilities and needs. I internal blast packet charges, metal-augmented it of chemical and biological agent threats.	for use ode mance.			
<ul> <li>Select the most promising and enhanced survivable energetic material</li> <li>Continue maturing advanced non-energetic WMD Defeat payload com</li> <li>Conduct subscale experiments to develop and verify prediction capabil</li> <li>Continue advanced testing of WMD Defeat sub-munitions.</li> <li>Develop and test fuze well redundant data recorder for field and flight t defeat weapons.</li> <li>Begin testing and demonstrations of CWMD weapons payloads for use</li> <li>Develop a low-cost layer and void sensing target detection device for h development.</li> <li>Continue to explore new energetic CWMD payloads by performing sub penetrator energetic material fill.</li> <li>Develop miniature shock survivable fuze and integrate low cost layer a</li> <li>Conduct flight testing of operational BLADE system, demonstrating cap infrastructure.</li> </ul>	ponents. ity for countermeasure effects on projectile pener esting of both legacy and developmental hard tar e against bulk chemical agent. ard target defeat fuze and transition hardware to -scale characterizations of the next generation su nd void sensing target detection device hardware ased CWMD and apply it to specific CWMD target	tration. get a fuze urvivable e. ets.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat F	Reduction Agency		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJEC RG: Adva Weapons	anced Energe	etics & Count	er WMD
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Continue to explore combining integration of kinetic and non-kinetic pay</li> <li>Demonstrate entire infrastructure for long haul communication of BDI d</li> <li>BDI flight tests.</li> <li>Begin testing and demonstrations of non-energetic CWMD payloads.</li> <li>Conduct full-scale test against target with penetration countermeasures</li> <li>Begin integration of WMD Defeat sub-munitions into a weapon warhead</li> <li>Determine and catalog the accuracy and precision of bio-aerosol samp</li> <li>Conduct the investigations necessary to develop a capability that can d</li> <li>released in an explosive plume while achieving acceptable accuracy and</li> <li>Complete testing with insensitive munitions and other High Energy fills of WMD agent.</li> <li>Begin reduced scale target testing of CWMD payloads and capabilities.</li> <li>Initiate testing for BLU-119/B conversion to safer, lower Life Cycle Cost</li> </ul>	ata from battlefield back to command centers leve d. ling equipment utilized in counter-WMD testing. letermine how much chemical or biological agent d precision. to determine how well they can neutralize large o	is			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Continue small-scale testing in support of BLU-121/B bomb development fills.</li> <li>Initiate warhead integration of enhanced survivable explosive material for Continue advanced testing of non-energetic WMD Defeat sub-munition</li> <li>Continue testing and demonstrations of CWMD payloads.</li> <li>Continue testing and demonstrations of payloads capable of neutralizin</li> <li>Determine and catalog the accuracy and precision of bio-aerosol samp</li> <li>Conduct large-scale target testing of functional and kinetic defeat technic</li> <li>Conduct flight tests of Hard Target Void Sensing Fuze.</li> <li>Conduct Next Generation AFX-757 Explosive Survivable Formulation the deeply buried targets.</li> <li>Conduct flight testing of Robust Fuzewell Instrumentation System (RFIS support high shock munitions testing.</li> <li>Develop robust forensic tools for an automated analysis of susceptibility.</li> <li>Demonstrate the capabilities of the JDAM tailkit BDI systems to provide warfighter.</li> <li>Demonstrate BDI system prototype.</li> </ul>	fill and inert simulant. Is. to single payload for counter-WMD testing. Ing large amounts of WMD agent. Ing equipment used in counter-WMD testing. It testing with acceptable accuracy and precision. hologies. hat demonstrates enhanced survivability against I S) prototype to fully demonstrate capability of RFI y of electronics to electromagnetic fields.	nard and S to			

		2013 Defen		eduction Age				1	DATE: Feb	ruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIN 0400: Research, Development, Tes 3A 2: Applied Research		Defense-W		<b>R-1 ITEM NC</b> PE 0602718		-	ologies	PROJEC RG: Adva Weapons	nced Energet	er WMD	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	ograms (\$ in N	<u>/lillions)</u>						ſ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Initiate potential WMD target acce</li> <li>Evaluate small new inventory wea</li> </ul>	ess denial or de	enial-of-use	•								
				Accon	nplishments	/Planned P	rograms S	ubtotals	18.432	17.771	14.64
C. Other Program Funding Summ	narv (\$ in Milli	ons)									
<u></u>	····· <b>,</b> (+ ······		<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>					Cost To	1
Line Item	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	Base	<u>000</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>			Complete	
• 28/0603160BR: Proliferation Prevention and Defeat	18.273	15.186	20.682		20.682	21.540	21.780	22.48	37 23.212	Continuing	Continuin
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable											
E. Performance Metrics Mature weapon system componer Readiness Level 2/3	ent technologies	s required fo	r developm	ent of at least	t one new ca	pability to co	ounter WM	D in tunne	s during the F	YDP, to Tec	hnology
	ent technologies	s required fo	r developm	ent of at least	t one new ca	pability to co	ounter WM	D in tunne	s during the F	YDP, to Tec	hnology
Mature weapon system component	ent technologies	s required fo	r developm	ent of at least	t one new ca	pability to co	ounter WM	D in tunne	s during the F	YDP, to Tec	hnology
Mature weapon system component	ent technologies	s required fo	r developm	ent of at least	t one new ca	pability to co	ounter WM	D in tunne	s during the F	YDP, to Tec	hnology
Mature weapon system component	ent technologies	s required fo	r developm	ent of at least	t one new ca	pability to co	ounter WM	D in tunne	s during the F	YDP, to Tec	hnology
Mature weapon system component	ent technologies	s required fo	r developm	ent of at least	t one new ca	pability to co	ounter WM	D in tunne	s during the F	YDP, to Tec	hnology
Mature weapon system component	ent technologies	s required fo	r developm	ent of at least	t one new ca	pability to co	ounter WM	D in tunne	s during the F	YDP, to Tec	hnology
Mature weapon system component	ent technologies	s required fo	r developm	ent of at leas	t one new ca	pability to co	ounter WM	D in tunne	s during the F	YDP, to Tec	hnology
Mature weapon system component	ent technologies	s required fo	r developm	ent of at least	t one new ca	pability to co	ounter WM	D in tunne	s during the F	YDP, to Tec	hnology

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	nse Threat F	Reduction Agency					DATE: February 2012			
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research				<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>				<b>PROJECT</b> RI: <i>Nuclear Survivability</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RI: Nuclear Survivability         18.525         17.503         18.81				-	18.810	18.965	20.142	21.428	21.490	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Nuclear Survivability project provides enabling technologies for Department of Defense (DoD) nuclear forces and their associated control and support systems and facilities in wartime to avoid, repel, or withstand attack or other hostile action, to the extent that essential functions can continue or be resumed after the onset of hostile action. Emphasis is on ionizing radiation effects. The Nuclear Survivability project provides Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Microelectronics and Nuclear Weapons Effects (NWE) experimentation research. Funding in this project also supports the expanding role of the Nuclear Test Personnel Review (NTPR) program into Science & Technology development for human survivability.

The NWE simulators are available to validate nuclear survivability requirements for DoD missile and space systems, conduct research in radiation effects, and validate computational models. The Nuclear Survivability Experimental Capabilities program is working with the National Nuclear Security Administration and the United Kingdom Atomic Weapons Establishment to jointly develop new, enabling technologies for improved NWE experimentation capabilities for x-rays, gamma rays and neutrons.

The Nuclear Technology Analysis Support provides support for the Joint Atomic Information Exchange Group (JAIEG) and the international Weapon Effects Steering Committee (WESC) that was called the NWE Users' Group. The WESC establishes standards for U.S. and U.K nuclear weapons effects simulation codes and models as defined and prioritized by the nuclear community, and serves as a forum for sharing information on nuclear technologies, gaps and plans.

The increase from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is predominately due to increased investment in nuclear weapons effects efforts as part of a redirection of the nuclear detection portfolio toward a more holistic nuclear THREAT detection portfolio that integrates both passive and active radiation detection into a comprehensive Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) solution.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RI: Nuclear Survivability	18.525	17.503	18.810
<b>Description:</b> Project RI provides the capability for DoD nuclear forces and their associated control and support systems and facilities in wartime to avoid, repel, or withstand attack or other hostile action, to the extent that essential functions can continue or be resumed after the onset of hostile action.			
FY 2011 Accomplishments:			
- Demonstrated a new circuit upset mechanism involving power transients.			
- Demonstrated Radiation-Hardened Designs for Data Conversion and timing stability.			
- Demonstrated radiation hardening by use of charge cancellation technique.			
- Conducted risk mitigation experiments for a high-temporal fidelity gamma experimentation capability.			

27

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense	Threat Re	eduction Age	ncy				DATE: Feb	ruary 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research		<b>R-1 ITEM NC</b> PE 0602718				PROJECT RI: Nuclear	Survivability	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)							FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Demonstrated advanced laser-driven x-ray sources on National capabilities.</li> <li>Demonstrated warm x-ray sources on Saturn to support certific</li> <li>Conducted a demonstration of lower energy x-ray test capability survivable satellites and missile defense interceptors.</li> </ul>	cation of su	urvivable Do	D systems.						
<ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Develop 45nm RadHard-By-Design mitigation techniques.</li> <li>Investigate 32nm technology Total Ionizing Dose mitigation me</li> <li>Demonstrate compatibility of 90nm RadHard by design library of</li> <li>Initiate fabrication of a high temporal fidelity prompt gamma sime</li> <li>Conduct laser-driven x-ray source demonstrations to support specification</li> <li>Investigate potential neutron sources for survivability certification</li> <li>Integrate fast-running urban radiation transport algorithms into the support specification.</li> </ul>	cells and r nulator for pace teles on on the 2	satellite elec scope subsys Z-machine a	ctronics cert stem surviva	fication. bility.		nents.			
<b>FY 2013 Plans:</b> - Demonstrate initial 45nm RadHard prototype circuits to develop - Continue development of Technology Computer-Aided Design - Characterization and mitigation of radiation effects in graphene - Implementation of human radiation induced performance decre - Perform a full-scale space interceptor telescope survivability tes - Initiate an investigation of advanced concepts to generate >102 system life extension programs in collaboration with the National	modeling devices. ement mod st on NIF X the exist	for 45nm cir del into opera in collaborat ting warm x-l	cuit devices ational code ion with the ray test capa	Missile Defe bility to sup					
		Accon	nplishment	/Planned P	rograms S	ubtotals	18.525	17.503	18.810
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)Line ItemFY 2011FY 2012• 28/0603160BR: Proliferation15.7026.985Prevention and DefeatPrevention6.985	FY 2013 Base 6.129	<u>FY 2013</u> <u>OCO</u>	FY 2013 Total 6.129	<u>FY 2014</u> 6.654	<u>FY 2015</u> 6.571	<u>FY 2016</u> 6.712		•	Total Cost Continuing
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable									
PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies		UNCLAS	SIFIED						

28

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Thre	eat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 18A 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	<b>PROJECT</b> RI: <i>Nuclear Survivability</i>
. Performance Metrics Reduce facility overhead costs by disposition of excess governmen	t-owned simulator hardware at the West Coast Fac	sility (WCF).
Development of cold and warm x-ray capabilities on the Saturn mad	chine at Sandia National Laboratory that meet or e	xceed the equivalent capabilities at the WCF.
Weapon Effects Steering Committee: Coordinate and integrate nucl defense communities and provide accreditation authority for all nucl		ms across the United States and United Kingdo
	-	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2013 Defer	nse Threat F	Reduction Agency					DATE: February 2012		
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research				<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>				<b>PROJECT</b> RL: <i>Nuclear &amp; Radiological Effects</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects 15.891 25.343 25.75				-	25.752	23.904	25.202	25.539	25.964	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Nuclear and Radiological Effects project develops nuclear and radiological assessment modeling tools to support military operational planning, weapon effects predictions, and strategic system design decisions; consolidate validated Defense Threat Reduction Agency modeling tools into net-centric environment for integrated functionality; predict system response to nuclear and radiological weapons producing electromagnetic, thermal, blast, shock and radiation environments - key systems include Nuclear Command and Control System, Global Information Grid, missiles, structures, humans and environment; provide detailed adversary nuclear infrastructure characterization to enhance counterforce operations and hazard effects; conduct analyses in support of nuclear and radiological Science and Technology and address the priority needs of the Combatant Commands and the Department of Defense, develop and provide electromagnetic pulse assessment capabilities to support national and military operational planning, weapon effects predictions, and national strategic systems designs; and develop foreign nuclear weapon outputs.

The increase from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is predominately due to increased investment in system vulnerability and assessment efforts as part of a redirection of the nuclear detection portfolio toward a more holistic nuclear THREAT detection portfolio that integrates both passive and active radiation detection into a comprehensive Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) solution.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<i>Title:</i> RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	15.891	25.343	25.752
<b>Description:</b> Project RL develops nuclear and radiological assessment modeling tools to support military operational planning, weapon effects predictions, and strategic system design decisions.			
FY 2011 Accomplishments:			
- Began Electro Magnetic Pulse (EMP) E1 physics-based code for better modeling/predictions of EMP effects.			
- Continued Effects Manual-1 (EM-1) development (3 chapters published); continued publication of Joint Radiation Effects			
documentation.			
- Continued to validate code for system response to High Altitude Nuclear Effects (HANE); validate and integrate Modeling and			
Simulation (M&S) capability to understand HANE; validate and integrate M&S capability.			
- Demonstrated prototype sensor visualization capability.			
- Completed an Electromagnetic Pulse (EMP) Survivability Test on a Maritime Ship (USS Makin Island).			
- Completed an EMP Survivability Test on a B2 Bomber and an E4 NAOC in accordance with military test standards.			
- Conducted Survivability Verification Tests on military satellite communication facilities.			
- Conducted an EMP Power Grid experiment at Idaho National Laboratory, to test survivability of power infrastructures against			
EMP from high-altitude nuclear bursts.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Three	eat Reduction Agency		DATE: Fe	oruary 2012		
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJECT RL: Nuclea	OJECT Nuclear & Radiological Effects			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
<ul> <li>Performed a High Altitude EMP (HEMP) assessment on the Emerg against EMP from high-altitude nuclear bursts.</li> </ul>	ency Ultra-High Frequency (UHF) network, to test su	urvivability				
FY 2012 Plans: - Standup of the Nuclear Weapons Effects Network (NWEN) plans a Model and code development, performing analyses at all computa Emphasize re-initiation of quality NWE science via balanced mode Focus initially on first-principles model development and Uncertain - Complete non-ideal Source Region Electromagnetic Pulse (SREMI - Complete new version of United States Strategic Command's (USS determine the probability of damage from nuclear weapon. - Update trapped radiation belt model. - Continue EM-1 development (3 chapters); continue publication of J database of foreign nuclear weapon outputs for DoD and the Service - Update Nuclear Weapons Effects Database (NWEDS) used by the	tional levels of fidelity and run times. ling and simulation and experimentation. hty Quantification. P) Study. STRATCOM) official strategic targeting code used to loint Radiation Effects documentation, continue to up es.					
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Prototype first principles urban effects model for nuclear detonation</li> <li>Deliver improved HANE model for better modeling/predictions of nu</li> <li>Complete three dimensional models of nuclear fallout for better modetonations.</li> <li>Begin component level EMP response model for better modeling/prediction of J database of foreign nuclear weapon outputs for DoD and the Service</li> <li>Deliver hazard source terms to the Chemical – Biological Defense I predict hazards associated with weapons of mass destruction.</li> <li>Complete and publish MIL-STD-423 review to provide improved EM</li> <li>Conduct Maritime EMP Standard Ship Test to provide improved text</li> </ul>	uclear effects from space detonations. deling/predictions of fallout from ground or low-altitud redictions of effects on electronic systems. loint Radiation Effects documentation, continue to up es. Program's Joint Effects Model Block II, enhancing ou MP protection for command and control facilities.	ograde ur ability to				
- Standard Ship Test to provide improved tec						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2013 Defens	se Threat F	Reduction Age	ency				DATE: Febr	uary 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Test</i> BA 2: <i>Applied Research</i>	<b>R-1 ITEM NC</b> PE 0602718			ologies	PROJECT RL: Nuclear	r & Radiologi	cal Effects				
C. Other Program Funding Summ	ary (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>									
			<u>FY 2013</u>	FY 2013	<u>FY 2013</u>					Cost To	
Line Item	FY 2011	FY 2012	Base	000	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015	<u>FY 2016</u>	FY 2017	<b>Complete</b>	Total Cost
• 28/0603160BR: Proliferation, Prevention, and Defeat	2.661	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	Continuing	Continuing
• 117/0605000BR: <i>WMD Defeat Capabilities</i>	7.826	5.888	5.749		5.749	5.995	6.077	8.359	8.541	Continuing	Continuing

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

Not Applicable

#### E. Performance Metrics

Complete transition of all hazard source terms to the Chemical and Biological (Chem-Bio) Defense Program's Joint Effects Model (JEM) Block II enhancing our ability to predict hazards associated with weapons of mass destruction.

Provide Department of Defense the ability to predict the survival and mission impact of military critical systems exposed to nuclear weapon environments within acceptability criteria defined during the model accreditation process.

Complete new version of United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) official strategic targeting code used to determine the probability of damage from nuclear weapons.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	nse Threat F	Reduction Agency					DATE: February 2012				
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research				<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>				<b>PROJECT</b> RM: <i>WMD Battle Management</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 FY 2012 Base				FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RM: WMD Battle Management         18.255         13.761         18.96				-	18.969	19.066	19.988	20.593	20.729	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) Battle Management project provides applied research to support full and sub-scale testing required to investigate countering WMD weapon effects, and sensor performance, weapon effects modeling algorithm development, and the set-up of the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) Experimentation Lab (DEL).

This project provides combatant commanders the prediction capability and the attack options to engage Hard & Deeply Buried Targets (HDBTs) as the proliferation and hardness of this class of targets increases. The project conducts weapon effects phenomenology (WEP) tests, analyzes data, conducts high performance computer simulations, and creates/modifies software to more accurately model cratering effects, fragmentation (both primary & secondary), internal air blast, equipment/container damage, structural response, and penetration. These efforts will lead to advanced modeling capability in the countering WMD tools, Integrated Munitions Effects Assessment (IMEA) weaponeering and Vulnerability Assessment and Protection Option (VAPO) force/structure protection. The Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons Program develops new novel energetic materials and weapon design technology for rapid, directed and enhanced energy release, providing new capability to defeat difficult WMD/HDB targets. The Advanced Energetics Program also develops new high energy systems well above chemical energy levels to defeat WMD targets beyond the reach of traditional high explosive blast/frag warhead technology.

The DTRA Experimentation Lab Capability is an Agency-wide capability that assures the timely acquisition, synchronization, correlation and delivery of Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear and Explosive (CBRNE) consequence management and mitigation data necessary in combating WMD. The DTRA Experimentation Lab will be the "key enabler" allowing the Agency to transform successfully into an interoperable DoD Science and Technology environment. Through the use of the DTRA Experimentation Lab, DTRA will be able to shape and improve military situational awareness independent of time or location, effectively shorten decision cycles in a CBRNE event, and extend DTRA's knowledge base externally through collaborative technologies.

The increase from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is predominately due to the reallocation of funds from infrastructure development in Project RR - Test Infrastructure to weapons effects and planning tools in Project RM – Battle Management to properly align mission responsibilities.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RM: WMD Battle Management	18.255	13.761	18.969
<b>Description:</b> Project RM provides (1) full-scale testing of counter WMD weapon effects, sensor performance, and weapon delivery optimization, (2) weapon effects modeling, and (3) the Defense Threat Reduction Agency Experimentation Lab.			
<b>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</b> - Conducted Ultra High Performance Concrete (UHPC) penetration tests and material analysis. Continued modeling and finalized evaluation of current models.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat	bit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency DATE: February 2012							
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJEC RM: WM	T D Battle Man	agement				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013			
<ul> <li>Delivered 15 additional validated equipment fragility models to support simulation for counter-WMD planning tools.</li> <li>Updated the WMD Agent Release database to support DoD need for a counter-WMD planning tools.</li> <li>Conducted blast door model testing and model modifications.</li> <li>Completed Phase 1 progressive collapse testing and model development tests were conducted in a full-scale 4-story concrete test structure.</li> <li>Completed five internal detonation tests for validation of Internal Detone bare explosives in conventional construction.</li> <li>Improved Second-order Hydrodynamic Automatic Mesh Refinement Coas well as very small sized particles.</li> <li>Demonstrated new production process for aluminum nanoparticles with Quantified Explosively Generated Plasma effects used for enhanced ta Designed high performance reactive cases for explosive payloads, maperformance.</li> <li>Prepared conceptual enhanced blast design for high performance miss - Continued to provide leading technological integration capabilities to the DTRA Experimentation Lab (DEL).</li> <li>Continued to support demonstrations and experimentation events for the Community of Interest (COI) to include participation in Noble Resolve, C Resolve, and efforts to prevent loose nukes experimentation campaigns - Continued facilitation of the internal Continuity of Operations Table Top FY 2012 Plans:</li> </ul>	accurate weapons effects modeling and simulation ent for concrete frame structures. Two column re- nation (quasi-static and dynamic pressure) models ode (SHAMRC) to model flow of densely packed h improved stability and safety. arget damage. de from pressed powders, to enhance weapon sile payload. he combating WMD mission through utilization of the Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (C- coalition Warrior Interoperability Demonstration, L	n for emoval s with particles the WMD)						
<ul> <li>Integrate first principle modeling codes into Graphical User Interface (C</li> <li>Facilitate Joint Concept Development &amp; Experimentation (JCDE) for th</li> <li>Investigate and explore developmental technologies, such as Virtual W</li> <li>Analyze, explore, and identify gaps and barriers associated with CWM</li> <li>Complete facilitation of the internal Continuity of Operations Table Top</li> <li>Plan, design, execute, and analyze warfighting experimentation in supp Combatant Commands, Defense agencies, and the interagency as appr</li> <li>Perform annual cycle of requirements collection, challenge proposals, Performance Computing.</li> </ul>	e C-WMD COI. /orlds. D warfighter challenges. Experiment through the DEL. port of DTRA, and in coordination with the Servic ropriate.							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat F	Reduction Agency		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>		nd ind ind ind ind ind ind ind ind ind i		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Support two DTRA DoD high performance computing challenge project Fluid Dynamics (CFD)" and "Computational Structural Mechanics (CSM)</li> <li>Provide interface between important CFD &amp; CSM codes to analysis soft Uncertainty Quantification.</li> <li>Develop capability to model equipment fragility for any generic equipmer</li> <li>Conduct testing and modeling improvements to the WMD Agent Release effects modeling and simulation for counter-WMD planning tools.</li> <li>Complete blast door model verification and validation.</li> <li>Conduct Phase 2 progressive collapse testing and begin modeling efforts and the propagation through failing bunker walls from the state of the technology transfer to cruise missile payload using DTRA-deverse Integrate enhanced blast explosives and reactive cases into designs for Study performance of payloads based on enhanced blast explosives and matter at high pressure, hydrogen isotope reactions, and high nitrogen efforts to develop novel energy storage capabilities based on an matter at high pressure, hydrogen isotope reactions, and high nitrogen efforts to develop novel energy storage capabilities based on an matter at high pressure.</li> </ul>	) codes to reduce time to solution." ftware to facilitate Validation, Sensitivity Studies, ent. se Model to support DoD need for accurate weap ort for steel frame structures. sure) model. om blast and fragmentation. MRC; compare the simulated results with test res veloped reactive case technology. or weapon payloads. nd reactive cases for agent defeat. timatter storage, super halogen chemistry, warm	and bons sults.			
<ul> <li>Fr 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Facilitate Joint Concept Development &amp; Experimentation (JCDE) for the Integrate virtual environments into DTRA wargaming activities.</li> <li>Analyze, explore, and identify gaps, and barriers associated with CWM and tabletop exercises.</li> <li>Perform annual cycle of requirements collection, challenge proposals, in Performance Computing.</li> <li>Submit two DTRA Challenge Proposals for improved quality of service high performance computers.</li> <li>Improve computational methods for prediction of progressive collapse.</li> <li>Complete blast through failing walls test series and provide new model</li> <li>Start delivery of validated high fidelity models for air blast in complex tu</li> <li>Start delivery of validated models for prediction of progressive collapse.</li> <li>Provide modeling support for the transfer of novel energetic concepts to Complete formulation testing, perform in-depth fragmentation test and provide test test test and provide test test test test test test test te</li></ul>	ID Warfighter Challenges through the use of warg resource allocation, and technical support throug in time limit, allowed job size, and job throughput for blast through failing walls from inventory wea unnels. ailing blast doors. o selected weapon systems.	h High t on DoD apons.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	stification: PB	2013 Defen	se Threat R	Reduction Age	ency				DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 2: Applied Research		Defense-W		R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE         PROJEC           PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies         RM: WML					CT ID Battle Management			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	ograms (\$ in N	<u>/lillions)</u>						ſ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
<ul> <li>Continue testing of agent defeat r</li> <li>Begin work to develop warhead e</li> <li>enhance target damage.</li> <li>Continue development of warm de</li> <li>Complete synthesis and lab tests</li> </ul>	energy release t	tailored to ta	arget enviror re; demons	nment and to	develop dire	ected blast e						
				Accor	nplishment	s/Planned P	Programs \$	Subtotals	18.255	13.761	18.969	
C. Other Program Funding Summ <u>Line Item</u> • 28/0603160BR: Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable <u>E. Performance Metrics</u> Confidence in engineering models	<u>FY 2011</u> 29.143	<u>FY 2012</u> 22.303	FY 2013 Base 22.503	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total 22.503	<u>FY 2014</u> 22.527	<u>FY 2015</u> 22.937			Cost To Complete Continuing	Total Cos	
Number of targets successfully pl Time required completing assess												
The DTRA Experimentation Lab (		ed by plann	ina or execu	ution efforts 7	75% of the ve	ear.						
	, , - F											

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	nse Threat F	Reduction Agency					DATE: February 2012				
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research			<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>				<b>PROJECT</b> RR: <i>Test Infrastructure</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RR: Test Infrastructure	13.509	21.941	13.782	-	13.782	14.135	14.414	15.005	15.610	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Test Infrastructure project provides a unique national test bed capability for simulated Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) facility characterization, weapon-target interaction, and WMD facility defeat testing to respond to operational needs by developing and maintaining test beds used by the Department of Defense (DoD), the Services, the Combatant Commanders, and other federal agencies to evaluate the implications of WMD, conventional, and other special weapon use against U.S. military or civilian systems and targets. It leverages fifty years of testing expertise to investigate weapons effects and target response across the spectrum of hostile environments that could be created by proliferate nations or terrorist organizations with access to advanced conventional weapons or WMD (nuclear, biological and chemical). The project maintains testing infrastructure to support the testing requirements of warfighters, other government agencies, and friendly foreign countries on a cost reimbursable basis. It creates testing strategies and a WMD Test Bed infrastructure focusing on the structural response of buildings and Hard & Deeply Buried Targets that house nuclear, biological, and chemical facilities. It provides support for full and sub-scale tests that focus on weapon-target interaction with fixed soft and hardened facilities to include aboveground facilities, cut-and-cover facilities, and deep underground tunnels. This capability does not exist anywhere else within the DoD and supports the counterproliferation pillar of the National Strategy to Combat WMD.

The decrease from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is predominately due to the reallocation of funds from infrastructure development in Project RR - Test Infrastructure to weapons effects and Planning tools in Project RM - Battle Management, and reduced investment in test infrastructure environment restoration support and the WMD National Test Bed (TB).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RR: Test Infrastructure	13.509	21.941	13.782
<b>Description:</b> Project RR provides a unique national test bed capability for simulated WMD facility characterization, weapon-target interaction, and WMD facility defeat testing to respond to operational needs by developing and maintaining test beds used by the DoD, the Services, the Combatant Commanders and other federal agencies to evaluate the implications of WMD, conventional, and other special weapon use against U.S. military or civilian systems and targets.			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Augmented funding of test articles, design and drawings, construction and tunnel operation for Massive Ordinance Penetrator (MOP) Quick Reaction Capability (QRC) testing at White Sands Missile Range (WSMR).</li> <li>Completed construction of add-on structures to Component Test Structure-3 to develop weapons effects and mitigation test data models for fire and blast in cooperation with the Singapore government. Test executed first quarter of FY 2011. Follow-on test construction scheduled to begin second quarter FY 2012, estimated test execution third quarter FY 2012.</li> <li>Conducted upgrade and integration of instrumented mobile wireless "Mesh" infrastructure capabilities and improvements in support of the Department of Homeland Security/Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DHS/DNDO) tests conducted at DTRA and</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threa	at Reduction Agency		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJEC	Γ		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	RR: Test I	nfrastructure	9	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>DHS/DNDO defined CONUS-wide sites for the DHS/DNDO Secure thand other functional tests.</li> <li>Conducted Interagency Biological Restoration Demonstration (IBRD and resources necessary to recover and restore wide urban areas, mbiological incident.</li> <li>Conducted testing on Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear arremote geological sensing, and battle management systems designed activities.</li> <li>Conducted WMD Aerial Collection System (WACS) testing that is de "all-in-one" Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear (CBRN) s Assessment) of suspected WMD facilities and mobile time-sensitive the Conducted nuclear detection and forensics testing to prevent weaporterritories, and Allied Nations.</li> <li>Conducted Weapons of Mass Destruction sensor testing at the Tech detect nuclear grade material from entering the U.S., U.S. territories, and (DPG), WSMR, and Kirtland Air Force Base (KAFB) in accord and Environmental guidelines.</li> <li>Developed Cost Analysis Tool for Test Sites database to develop Reas well as different test bed.</li> <li>Conducted tunnel work detection testing at NNSS for the Customs a along northern and southern borders of CONUS.</li> <li>Continued infrastructure and instrumentation upgrades to ensure test Partnered with the National Laboratories and conducted Source Phy Test Ban Treaty Initiatives, new START Warhead Verification.</li> <li>Completed installation of test instrumentation support systems at U1</li> <li>Obtained a Highly Enriched Uranium Sphere for use at the TEAMS, Finalized effort to transfer DECADE module II nuclear simulator from Huntsville, AL.</li> <li>Placed the Hard Target Defeat "Capitol Peak Tunnel Complex," WS</li> <li>Completed the deactivation of Detachment Two Test Support Division Documented, prioritized, and supported test infrastructure requirement from the storaget perfect test infrastructure requirement from the storaget perfect test infrastructure requirement from the storaget perfect test perfect test perfect test perfect test perfect</li></ul>	<ul> <li>a) testing in conjunction with DoD &amp; DHS to reduce ilitary installations, and critical infrastructure following the explosive (CBRNE) sensors, WMD countermeased for surveillance and tracking targets used for WM esigned to meet U.S. Forces Korea's requirement of ensor system for post-strike assessment (Battle Datargets.</li> <li>b) ons grade material/dirty bombs from entering the U.</li> <li>c) nnical Evaluation Assessment and Monitor Site (TE and Allied Nations through rail, ship, and air ports.</li> <li>c) ne Nevada National Security Site (NNSS), Dugway dance with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) ough Order of Magnitude estimates for different typ and Border Patrol to be able to detect tunnel work of st beds meet customers' advanced technology testitysics Experiment I and II at NNSS to support Compliant I and II at NNSS to Support I and II at NNSS to Support Compliant I and II at NNSS to Support I and II at NN</li></ul>	the time ng a sures, D of an amage S., U.S. AMS) to Proving o, Safety, es of tests or tunnels ing needs. rehensive			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat		DATE: February 2012			
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJEC1 RR: Test I	nfrastructure		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Conducted and evaluated field-level facility biological remediation stud (Bio Response Operational Test and Evaluation), jointly managed by Ef coordinating/execution lead.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Develop and implement prototype Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP) data, voice communications, video, etc., to support test program execute Modify existing test infrastructure or develop test infrastructure to supporting DTRA test programs.</li> <li>Make improvements to existing test infrastructure and test articles, or or Technology Program starting in first quarter FY 2012.</li> <li>Conduct testing in support of Treaty Verification Technologies Program Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty Initiatives, New START Warhead Verifi Chemical Weapons.</li> <li>Continue support of Weapons of Mass Destruction sensor testing at the (TEAMS) to detect and prevent nuclear grade material from entering the ship, and air ports.</li> <li>Continue Interagency Biological Restoration Demonstration (IBRD) test and resources necessary to recover and restore wide urban areas, milit biological incident.</li> <li>Continue testing Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and Expl sensing, and battle management systems designed for surveillance and Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear sensor system for post-suspected WMD facilities and mobile time-sensitive targets.</li> <li>Continue nuclear detection and forensics testing to prevent weapons or territories, and Allied Nations.</li> <li>Continue environmental remediation and compliance activities at the N Grounds (DPG), White Sands Missile Range (WSMR), and Kirtland Air Environmental guidelines throughout FY 2012.</li> <li>Continue development of a Cost Analysis Tool for Test Sites databased different types of tests as well as different test beds during FY 2012.</li> </ul>	ion starting first quarter FY 2012. bort revitalized Weapons Effects Phenomenology F construct new test articles to support DTRA Detect in and Source Physics Experiments to support fication, and detection and verification of Biologica re Technical Evaluation Assessment and Monitor S e U.S., U.S. Territories, and Allied Nations through sting in conjunction with DoD and DHS to reduce t ary installations, and critical infrastructure, followin osive sensors, WMD countermeasures, remote ge tracking targets used for WMD activities. bet U.S. Forces Korea's requirement of an "all-in-o strike assessment (Battle Damage Assessment) of grade material/dirty bombs from entering the U.S., al Evaluation Assessment and Monitor Site to dete and Allied Nations through rail, ship, and air ports. Nevada National Security Site (NNSS), Dugway Pr Force Base (KAFB) in accordance with EPA, Safe	Program tion I and Site rail, he time a eological ne" of U.S. ect and oving ty, and			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat	Reduction Agency		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJECT RR: Test II	nfrastructure		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Continue tunnel work detection testing at Nevada National Security Sit tunnel work or tunnels along northern and southern borders of CONUS.</li> <li>Continue infrastructure and instrumentation upgrades to ensure test be Document, prioritize, and support test infrastructure requirements.</li> </ul>					
FY 2013 Plans:					
<ul> <li>Complete Integrated Technology Demonstration (ITD) at NNSS to defet transition into several related projects/planned events through FY 2017.</li> <li>Begin Directorate ITD testing at WSMR prioritizing requirements to sup and construction of future CWMD test beds.</li> </ul>	oport reduced architectural and engineering desig	n efforts			
- Support development and demonstration of Transatlantic Collaboration to shape interagency approach to counter a wide area biological event i infrastructure.	mpacting U.S. and partner nations' key civilian/mi				
<ul> <li>Begin research of Biological Reaerolization in conjunction with DoD/DI technologies for residual biological pathogens reentering air after settlin</li> <li>Conduct intergovernmental test program between DTRA and Defence</li> </ul>	g.				
Agent Defeat testing.		ological			
<ul> <li>Begin testing in support of "Speed of Sound" nuclear forensic program</li> <li>Maintain current version of VOIP system that can transfer classified ar</li> </ul>		, etc. to			
support test program execution.					
- Maintain existing test infrastructure in current configuration to support		gram			
supporting DTRA test programs; make improvements through funding p - Improve existing test infrastructure and test articles or construct new te		у			
Program through funding provided by external program managers. - Conduct testing in support of Treaty Verification Technologies Program Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty Initiatives, New START Warhead Verif Chemical Weapons.	• • • • • • •	Il and			
- Continue support of Weapons of Mass Destruction sensor testing at the from entering the U.S., U.S. territories, and Allied Nations through rail, s program managers.					
<ul> <li>Continue IBRD testing in conjunction with DoD and DHS to reduce the wide urban areas, military installations, and critical infrastructure, follow</li> <li>Dependent on external program manager funding, continue testing CE</li> </ul>	ing a biological incident.				
sensing, and battle management systems designed for surveillance and	tracking targets used for WMD activities.				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	tification: PB	2013 Defens	se Threat Re	eduction Age	ency				DATE: Feb	oruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 2: Applied Research		Defense-W		<b>R-1 ITEM NC</b> PE 0602718I				PROJEC RR: Test	<b>T</b> Infrastructure		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	•	,						ſ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Complete WACS testing that is depost-strike assessment (Battle Dam - Continue nuclear detection and fo territories, and Allied Nations throug - Continue environmental remediati Safety, and Environmental guideling safely closed and sealed at minima - Maintain the current version of a C different types of tests as well as di - Continue tunnel work detection test along northern and southern border - Maintain current inventory of infrast test beds meet customers' advance - Document, prioritize, and support managers.</li> <li>Close the Large Blast Thermal Sir - Evaluate and determine courses of control of Test Support Division.</li> </ul>	nage Assessmirensics testing gh funding pro- on and compli- es. Defer majo I acceptable st Cost Analysis T fferent test beo sting at NNSS rs of CONUS. structure and i ed technology to test infrastruct mulator elimina	ent) of suspe- to prevent v vided by exte- ance activitie or demolition andards. Fool for Test ds. for the Cust nstrumentation cesting needs ure requirent ating ability to	ected WMD weapons gra ernal progra es at the NN and restora Sites databa oms and Bo ion, extendir s. nents; pass o execute te	facilities and ade material/ m managers ISS, DPG, W tion efforts of ase to develo rder Patrol to ng life-cycle of on test suppo st requireme	mobile time dirty bombs 'SMR, and K f major test a op Rough Or o be able to o of these item ort and exec	-sensitive tai from entering AFB in acco articles while detect tunnel is as long as ution costs to e nuclear effe	rgets. g the U.S., ordance with e ensuring the itude estim- l work or tun possible to possible to o external p ects.	U.S. n EPA, ney are ates for nnels ensure program			
				Accon	nplishments	s/Planned P	rograms S	ubtotals	13.509	21.941	13.782
C. Other Program Funding Summ	nary (\$ in Milli	ons)									
Line Item • 28/0603160BR: Proliferation, Prevention, and Defeat	<u>FY 2011</u> 1.790	<u>FY 2012</u> 0.000	<u>FY 2013</u> <u>Base</u> 0.000	<u>FY 2013</u> <u>OCO</u>	<u>FY 2013</u> <u>Total</u> 0.000	<u>FY 2014</u> 0.000	<u>FY 2015</u> 0.000	<u>FY 201</u> 0.00		Cost To Complete Continuing	Total Cost
<b>D. Acquisition Strategy</b> Not Applicable											
E. Performance Metrics Number of tests executed safely, FY11 – No safety issues/incidents				onal significa	nt damage c	of property.					
Number of tests that are evaluate	d through the r	nilestone rev	view proces	S.							
PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Techr	nologies			UNCLAS	SIFIED					[	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Three	eat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2012
<b>PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide A 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJECT RR: Test Infrastructure
100% of all tests completing scheduled milestones.		
Number of tests that undergo environmental assessment consisten All test executed undergo environmental review consistent with exis FY 11 - 123 Tests	nt with existing Environmental Impact Statements. sting Environmental Impact Statements.	
0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	UNCLASSIFIED	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency									DATE: February 2012		
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT</b> 0400: Research, Development, Te BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>				<b>PROJECT</b> RT: <i>Target</i>	: Target Assessment Technologies					
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RT: Target Assessment Technologies	0.845	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

For some hard and deeply buried targets, physical destruction is neither possible, nor practical, with current conventional weapons and employment techniques. It may be possible, however, to achieve target defeat objectives by denying or disrupting the mission or function of the target facility. Functional defeat, however, requires more information, more detailed analysis of the target. The functional defeat process includes finding and identifying a facility, characterizing its function and physical layout, determining its vulnerabilities to available weapons, planning and executing an attack, assessing damage, and if necessary, suppressing reconstitution efforts and re-attacking the facility. Target Assessment Technologies provides the Combatant Commands and the Intelligence Community with technologies and processes to find and characterize Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) targets located in underground facilities and then, in near-real-time, assess the results of attacks against those targets. Overall objectives are to develop new methodologies, processes and technologies for detecting, locating, identifying, physically and functionally characterizing, modeling, and assessing new and existing hard and deeply buried targets to support either physical or functional defeat. Extending this activity and applying these processes to Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) target characterization and threat analysis presents the next technical challenge. The Target Assessment Technologies project now consists of three subordinate and related activities: (1) Targeting and Intelligence Community Technology Development; (2) Find, Characterize, Assess Technology Development; and (3) Counter-WMD Analysis Cell (C-WAC) Technology Support. Follow-on funding for this project can be found in the Proliferation Prevention and Defeat; 0603160BR, budget exhibit.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RT - Target Assessment Technologies	0.845	-	-
<b>Description:</b> Project RT provides the Combatant Commands and the Intelligence Community with technologies and processes to find and characterize Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) targets located in underground facilities and then, in near-real-time, assess the results of attacks against those targets. Follow-on funding for this project can be found in the Proliferation Prevention and Defeat; 0603160BR, budget exhibit.			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Initiated development of additional universal rock models (URM) for specific types of rock for use in characterizing the geological properties associated with underground targets.</li> <li>Developed new Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for "Quicklooks" and characterizations of foreign WMD developments for use in support of crisis operations.</li> </ul>			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	0.845	-	-

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV		2010 Bolon	oc micati	Reduction Age				,	DATE: Febr	uary 2012	
400: Research, Development, Tes A 2: Applied Research		, Defense-W	lide	<b>R-1 ITEM NO</b> PE 0602718			ologies	PROJECT RT: Target A	ssessment	Technologie	s
. Other Program Funding Summ	ary (\$ in Milli	ions)						1			
<u>Line Item</u> • 28/0603160BR: Proliferation, Prevention, and Defeat	<u>FY 2011</u> 35.047	<u>FY 2012</u> 33.493	FY 2013 Base 31.298	000	FY 2013 Total 31.298	<u>FY 2014</u> 31.883	<u>FY 2015</u> 32.743			Cost To Complete Continuing	Total Co
<ul> <li>Acquisition Strategy</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>											
Performance Metrics											
Complete development of three a	dditional Unive	ersal Rock M	lodels (URI	Ms) for use in	Undergroun	d Targeting	and Analys	is System (U	TAS) target	characteriza	ations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency									DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 2: Applied Research		n, Defense-V	Vide	PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies RU				<b>PROJECT</b> RU: <i>Fundamental Research for Combating</i> <i>WMD</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	7.961	8.631	2.000	-	2.000	0.516	0.567	0.549	0.549	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Fundamental Research for Combating WMD project (1) conducts early applied science research with an emphasis on maturing emerging science into Counter WMD technologies; (2) Supports a partnership of six universities with connections to over 20 additional universities, and (3) conducts strategic studies in support of DoD Combating WMD issues. The advancement of technology and science into applied technology development effort focus upon increasing the stability and utility of mid-to-long term, moderate risk but high payoff science, and emerging technologies for transition to other Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) applied technology programs. This effort serves as the bridge between the bench scientist and the applied technologist. The university partnership provides innovative research, scientific experts, post-doctoral fellowships, and scholarships to US students directly supporting cutting edge science, international cooperation programs and the next generation workforce. The strategic studies address challenges in reducing the threat from WMD based on an assessment of the future national security environment. They also develop and maintain an evolving analytical vision of necessary and sufficient capabilities to protect the U.S. and allied forces and citizens from nuclear, biological, and chemical attack and identify gaps in these capabilities and initiate programs to fill them.

The decrease from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is predominately due to the elimination of University Strategic Partnerships activities, reduced efforts in Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction – Terrorism (CWMD-T), and the transfer of advanced systems concepts funding from project RU – Fundamental research for combating WMD to project RA – Systems Engineering and Innovation to perform strategic research and dialogues.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	7.961	8.631	2.000
<b>Description:</b> Project RU provides (1) strategic studies to support DoD, (2) Decision support tools and analysis to support combating WMD research and development investments, and (3) early applied research for technology development.			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Identified 38 of 112 basic science projects as candidate Science and Technology research and development projects to appropriate long-term sponsors for concept/design validation, prototype fabrication, testing, and fielding.</li> <li>Conducted eleven active research projects—Two major accomplishments.</li> <li>Developed and transitioned initial nuclear materials detection capabilities, one for land use and one for underwater unmanned vehicles—potential pre-detonation nuclear weapon detection systems.</li> <li>Developed new carbon-based transistor—potential as basis for next generation radiation-hardened electronics and for space sensors.</li> <li>Continued to exercise the test bed to assess promising technologies to quantify and mitigate large area nuclear effects on systems, networks and equipment.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2013 Defens	se Threat Re	eduction Age	ency				DATE: Fe	bruary 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 2: Applied Research									Fundamental Research for Combating			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	grams (\$ in N	<u>lillions)</u>						Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
<ul> <li>Continued "bridging" projects for e</li> <li>Continued to provide technical ex solicitation.</li> <li>Continued the mentoring, sponsor engineering expertise.</li> <li>Sponsored 17 U.S. student these supporting US government.</li> <li>Provided 6 Post-doctoral fellows to the set of the set</li></ul>	pertise and ac ship, and edu s this past yea	vice to gene cation of the r—historical	erate the new "Next Gene Iy about 60%	v basic resea eration" of mi % transition t	arch topics in ssion-critica o US goverr	l scientific, te	echnical and rate sector p	Ł				
<ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Initiate expanded Fundamental Recore DTRA capability, as current Ur</li> <li>Identify and transition all suitable term sponsors for concept/design va</li> <li>Identify and conduct strategic stude</li> <li>Continue "bridging" projects for ea</li> <li>Continue to provide technical exp solicitation.</li> <li>Continue the mentoring, sponsors engineering expertise.</li> </ul>	iversity Strate nvestigatory S alidation, proto lies addressin Irly applied de ertise and adv	gic Partners Science and otype fabrica g challenges velopment o ice to genera	hip (USP) co Technology tion, testing, s in reducing of combating ate the new	ontract come research an , and fielding the threat fr WMD techn basic resear	es to its mon d developm om WMD. ologies. rch topics in	etary close a ent projects f support of th	after 10 yea to appropria ne semi-ann	rs. ate long-				
<ul><li>FY 2013 Plans:</li><li>Initiate close out of the current Un</li><li>Close out the remainder of the electronic</li></ul>				ontract after	10 years of	activities.						
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms S	ubtotals	7.961	8.631	2.000	
C. Other Program Funding Summ <u>Line Item</u> • 1/0601000BR: DTRA Basic Research Initiative D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable	ary (\$ in Milli <u>FY 2011</u> 46.107	<u>ons)</u> <u>FY 2012</u> 47.737	<u>FY 2013</u> <u>Base</u> 45.071	<u>FY 2013</u> <u>OCO</u>	FY 2013 <u>Total</u> 45.071	<u>FY 2014</u> 45.493	<u>FY 2015</u> 45.925	<u>FY 201</u> 46.75		Cost To 7 Complete 2 Continuing	Total Cost	
PE 0602718BR: W/MD Defeat Techn	ologies				SIFIED							

46

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Thre	DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 3A 2: Applied Research	<b>PROJECT</b> RU: <i>Fundamental Research for Combating</i> <i>WMD</i>		
E. Performance Metrics			
Project performance is measured via a combination of statistics incle engineering supporting DoD's educational goals, number of researce Report "Best Colleges" list.			
Publication of an annual basic research technical and external prog	rammatic review report.		
Each study/project will commence within 3 months of customer requ	uest and results delivered within 3 months of comp	letion.	

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency								DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defea							t			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	301.571	283.073	275.022	-	275.022	280.713	283.738	290.132	296.378	Continuing	Continuing
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	4.815	13.641	7.455	-	7.455	8.448	9.215	9.771	9.946	Continuing	Continuing
RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies	116.668	113.681	110.657	-	110.657	111.798	111.964	113.728	115.998	Continuing	Continuing
RF: Detection Technology	77.472	77.784	76.298	-	76.298	77.863	78.528	80.321	81.651	Continuing	Continuing
RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons	18.273	15.186	20.682	-	20.682	21.540	21.780	22.487	23.212	Continuing	Continuing
RI: Nuclear Survivability	15.702	6.985	6.129	-	6.129	6.654	6.571	6.712	7.104	Continuing	Continuing
RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	2.661	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
RM: WMD Battle Management	29.143	22.303	22.503	-	22.503	22.527	22.937	23.700	24.328	Continuing	Continuing
RR: Test Infrastructure	1.790	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
RT: Target Assessment Technologies	35.047	33.493	31.298	-	31.298	31.883	32.743	33.413	34.139	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat program reduces Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) proliferation and enhances WMD defeat capabilities through advanced technology development. To accomplish this objective, seven project areas were developed: RA - Systems Engineering and Innovation, RE - Counter-Terrorism Technologies, RF - Detection Technology, RG - Counter WMD Weapons & Capabilities, RI - Nuclear Survivability, RM - WMD Battle Management, and RT - Target Assessment Technologies. This supports technology requirements in line with the Joint Functional Concepts (Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 3170.01). The missions and plans of these projects are described below and in the R-2a Budget Exhibits.

Project RA provides the research and development both for systems engineering and analysis support across all other projects and innovative counterproliferation research and technical reachback support.

Project RE provides research and development support to Joint U.S. Military Forces, specifically U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM), in the areas of Explosive Ordnance Disposal Device Defeat; counter-WMD technologies for warfighters; the USSOCOM Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction – Terrorism Support Program (SCSP); and oversight of counterproliferation (CP) research and development resources sent directly to USSOCOM for warfighter-unique CP technologies.

49

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Defense 7	Threat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: <i>Counterproliferation Initi</i>	iatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat
Project RF develops technologies, systems and procedures for pos strategic and improvised nuclear and radiological weapons, compor counterproliferation and nonproliferation, homeland defense, and in	nents, or materials in support of Department of	
Project RG develops advanced technologies and weapon concepts	and validates their applicability as counter W	/MD weapon systems.
Project RI provides the capability for DoD nuclear forces and their a other hostile action, to the extent that essential functions can contin		
Project RL develops nuclear and radiological assessment modeling design decisions. Related funding for this project can be found in the		
Project RM provides (1) full-scale testing of counter WMD weapon et the Defense Threat Reduction Agency Experimentation Lab.	effects, sensor performance, and weapon deli	ivery optimization, (2) weapon effects modeling, and (3
Project RR provides a unique national test bed capability for simular WMD facility defeat testing to respond to operational needs by deve Combatant Commanders, and other federal agencies to evaluate the systems and targets. Related funding for this project can be found	eloping and maintaining test beds used by the e implications of WMD, conventional, and oth	e Department of Defense (DoD), the Services, the ner special weapon use against U.S. military or civilian
Project RT provides the Combatant Commands and the Intelligence targets and then assess the results of attacks against those targets		s to find and characterize hard and deeply buried

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Defen	se Threat F	Reduction Agency		DATE: F	ebruary 2012
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)		1 ITEM NOMENCLA 0603160BR: Count	- Proliferation, Prevent	ion and Defeat	
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 201</u> 1	1 <u>FY 2012</u>	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
Previous President's Budget	295.163	3 283.073	278.100	-	278.100
Current President's Budget	301.571	1 283.073	275.022	-	275.022
Total Adjustments	6.408	3 -	-3.078	-	-3.078
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-11.950	) -			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	25.200	) -			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-5.026	- 6			
<ul> <li>FFRDC Reduction</li> </ul>	-0.315	5 -	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Economic Assumption</li> </ul>	-1.501	1 -	-	-	-
Realignment	-	-	0.238	-	0.238
<ul> <li>Programmatic - Fiscal Guidance Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-6.391	-	-6.391
Inflation	-	-	3.075	-	3.075

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The increase from the previous President's Budget submission in FY 2011 is the net effect of the Congressional Rescission, the \$25.2M FY 11-21R Prior Approval reprogramming action in support of higher priority Department needs, the Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC)/Economic Assumption reductions, and the Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) realignment. The decrease in FY 2013 from the previous President's Budget is predominately due to decreased investment for Counter WMD-Terrorism (CWMD-T) testing and defeat programs and the Counter-WMD Analysis Cell; and the realignment of Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Microelectronics and Information Operations Condition (INFOCON) 3 efforts from Program Element (PE) 0603160BR to PE 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of these programs.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency								DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT 0400: Research, Development, Te BA 3: Advanced Technology Deve	PE 0603160	OMENCLAT OBR: Counte	rproliferation	n Initiatives	PROJECT RA: System	A: Systems Engineering and Innovation					
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	4.815	13.641	7.455	-	7.455	8.448	9.215	9.771	9.946	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Systems Engineering and Innovation project provides (1) systems engineering and analysis support across all other Projects, (2) innovative counterproliferation research, and (3) technical advisory reachback support on Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) effects and consequences. The systems engineering effort provides research and development with requirements, technology, architecture analyses and proof-of-principle capability necessary for making decisions on strategic planning, research and development investments, new initiatives, cooperation, ventures with new customers, and accomplishment of high-level, short notice special projects. This includes analysis of National, Department of Defense (DoD) and other Federal agencies' strategic guidance and plans in the combating WMD, Combating Terrorism and Homeland Defense arenas through analytical political-military and technical studies, workshops and conferences. It also provides the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) on-site support to North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers, Europe (SHAPE) with a current primary focus on support to U.S. European Command (USEUCOM), NATO, and SHAPE in combating WMD and maintaining the NATO nuclear deterrent. A significant element of this project includes support to Command Elements and the warfighting Combatant Commands (COCOMs) on strategies for reducing/countering the WMD threat in the COCOMs Areas of Responsibility. This project also provides for the solution to the Secretary of Defense mandate for DTRA to account, maintain, report, and track the National Nuclear Weapons Stockpile & Nuclear Weapon-Related Materiel during peacetime, crisis, and wartime. In support of national requirements necessary to maintain a viable nuclear deterrent, the Defense Integration and Management of Nuclear Data Services provide a platform to ensure continued sustainability and viability of the nuclear weapon stockpile.

The FY 2012 to FY 2013 decrease is predominately due to the net effect of a one time increased investment for the Arms Control Enterprise System (ACES) in FY 2012 and a realignment of funding from Program Element (PE) 0603160BR to PE 0602718BR for information technology test and engineering program for Information Operations Condition (INFOCON) 3.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	4.815	13.641	7.455
<b>Description:</b> Project RA provides the research and development both for systems engineering and analysis support across all other projects and innovative counterproliferation research and technical reachback support.			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continued to conduct strategic analyses and assessments on emerging WMD threats.</li> <li>Continued to organize/conduct senior COCOM, Interagency, and International workshops, symposiums, and table top exercises to address key national/international strategies for reducing/combating the WMD threat.</li> <li>Continued to refine and enhance WMD lessons learned process with international staff and across the other COCOMs, incorporating lessons learned from partner activities.</li> </ul>			

PE 0603160BR: *Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, ...* Defense Threat Reduction Agency

52

Reduction Agency	DATE	: February 2012	
<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: <i>Counterproliferation Initiatives</i> <i>- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat</i>	PROJECT RA: Systems Eng	neering and Innc	ovation
		1 FY 2012	FY 2013
D mission across all theaters while balancing DTF international research and development collaborational research and development collaboration and developm	RA ation		
ream technologies to consolidate various DoD tra ability to account, maintain, report, and track NWF ational workshops, symposiums, and table top exe he WMD threat. remational staff and across the other COCOMs, GEF to further Combating WMD mission across a within the GEF. EUCOM in international research and development opment collaboration within the Pacific Region in WMD threats. In and integration of high performance computing over 1,800 requests for information. Is to increase the technical capacity of international w START Treaty (NST) Increment #2 mid FY12 pr onversion or Elimination plans and flight route not g prototypes, new equipment, demonstrations and capability (FOC) of ACES NST software upgrade.	cking RM ercises all nt and I roviding ification		
	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: <i>Counterproliferation Initiatives</i> - <i>Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat</i> cy (DTRA) Campaign Support Plan as directed in D mission across all theaters while balancing DTF international research and development collabora oration within the Pacific Region in accordance with odule in Defense Integration and Management of ream technologies to consolidate various DoD tra ability to account, maintain, report, and track NWF ational workshops, symposiums, and table top exe ne WMD threat. ernational staff and across the other COCOMs, GEF to further Combating WMD mission across a within the GEF. EUCOM in international research and development poment collaboration within the Pacific Region in WMD threats. In and integration of high performance computing over 1,800 requests for information. Is to increase the technical capacity of international w START Treaty (NST) Increment #2 mid FY12 p onversion or Elimination plans and flight route not g prototypes, new equipment, demonstrations and capability (FOC) of ACES NST software upgrade. es, including network dynamics and propagation o	R-1 ITEM OMENCLATURE       PROJECT         PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives       RA: Systems Engi         - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat       FY 201         cy (DTRA) Campaign Support Plan as directed in the D mission across all theaters while balancing DTRA international research and development collaboration pration within the Pacific Region in accordance with the       FY 201         odule in Defense Integration and Management of Nuclear ream technologies to consolidate various DoD tracking ability to account, maintain, report, and track NWRM       ational workshops, symposiums, and table top exercises he WMD threat.         ernational staff and across the other COCOMs,       GEF to further Combating WMD mission across all within the GEF.         EUCOM in international research and development ipment collaboration of high performance computing and over 1,800 requests for information.       ational maternational capacity of international workshops, new equipment, demonstrations and fight route notification go prototypes, new equipment, demonstrations and sapability (FOC) of ACES NST software upgrade.	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE       PROJECT         PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives       RA: Systems Engineering and Inno         - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat       FY 2011         PS 0503160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives       FY 2011         - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat       FY 2011         Systems Engineering and Inno       FY 2012         cy (DTRA) Campaign Support Plan as directed in the       FY 2011         D mission across all theaters while balancing DTRA       international research and development collaboration         oration within the Pacific Region in accordance with the       odule in Defense Integration and Management of Nuclear         ream technologies to consolidate various DoD tracking       ability to account, maintain, report, and track NWRM         ational workshops, symposiums, and table top exercises       he WMD threat.         ernational staff and across the other COCOMs,       GEF to further Combating WMD mission across all         within the GEF.       EUCOM in international research and development         upment collaboration within the Pacific Region in       WMD threats.         nt and integration of high performance computing and over 1,800 requests for information.       sto increase the technical capacity of international         w START Treaty (NST) Increment #2 mid FY12 providing onversion or Elimination plans and flight route notification       g prototypes, new equipment, demonstrat

PE 0603160BR: *Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, ...* Defense Threat Reduction Agency

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threa	t Reduction Agenc	су				DATE: Feb	oruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 ITEM NOM</b> PE 0603160BR - Proliferation, F	R: Counterp	roliferation	Initiatives	PROJEC RA: Syste	T ems Engineer	ing and Innov	vation
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)					ſ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Complete initial development and integration phase of agent based r instead of hours.</li> <li>Conduct Near Real Time Reachback demonstration with nuclear and selected secondary and tertiary effects and impact of certain courses of</li> </ul>	l biological scenario							
	Accompl	lishments/	Planned P	rograms S	ubtotals	4.815	13.641	7.455
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)Eine ItemFY 2011• 23/0602718BR: WMD DefeatFY 2011• 23/0602718BR: WMD Defeat44.923• 41.45633.33• TechnologiesFractional Statement Statem	se <u>OCO</u>	FY 2013 Total 33.396	<u>FY 2014</u> 31.924	<u>FY 2015</u> 32.454	<b>FY 20</b> 32.78		Cost To Complete Continuing	Total Cost
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable								
<b>E. Performance Metrics</b> Development of a DoD annex to the National Response plan for a pa	andemic flu and sub	bsequent n	ational-leve	l exercises	to test pla	an.		
Development of Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) Security	Cooperation Plans	s for all regi	ional Comb	atant Comr	mands (CC	OCOMs).		
Development of a DTRA gap analysis of Combating Weapons of Ma to provide way ahead for DTRA operational and research and develo		VMD) missi	on vice Hor	neland Def	ense and	Combating Te	errorism miss	ion areas
Robust lessons learned process that incorporates new, workable ope	erational and techn	nical solutio	ns into DoD	and with a	allies.			
Incorporation of at least three new technologies by FY 2013 as a res	ult of International	research a	nd developi	ment collab	oration.			
Number of strategic analyses and assessments conducted on emerge	ing WMD threats.							
Number of senior Combatant Commands (COCOMs), Interagency a strategies for reducing the WMD threat.	nd/or International	Workshops	s/Conferenc	es organiz	ed/conduc	cted to addres	s national/int	ernational
Manage the strategic weapons stockpile and Nuclear Weapon-Relat	ed Materiel; mainta	ain 100% ao	ccountability	/.				

hibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Three	eat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2012
<b>PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide .3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	<b>PROJECT</b> RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation
Support the Office of Secretary of Defense, Joint Staff, Combatant	Commands, Services, Nuclear Weapon Custodial Ur	its, and Department of Energy.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency								DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT 0400: Research, Development, Te BA 3: Advanced Technology Deve	PE 0603160	OMENCLA OBR: Counte	rproliferation		PROJECT RE: Counte	nter-Terrorism Technologies						
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies	116.668	113.681	110.657	-	110.657	111.798	111.964	113.728	115.998	Continuing	Continuing	

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Counter-Terrorism Technologies project is an over-arching project that develops and transitions a full spectrum of new technologies to counter emergent Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) thus enabling warfighters to improve their ability to detect, disable, interdict, neutralize, and destroy chemical, biological, nuclear production, storage, and weaponization facilities. This project supports Joint U.S. Military Forces, and in particular, the U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM). This research and development support to USSOCOM is one of the highest priority mission areas in the National Security Strategy, the National Strategy to Combat WMD, the National Military Strategy to Combat WMD, the National Strategy for Countering Biological Threats, the Quadrennial Defense Review, and the Guidance on the Employment of the Force, and therefore a top priority for the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA). The following efforts are included in this project:

Provide oversight for Counterproliferation (CP) research and development resources sent directly to USSOCOM that are used to develop warfighter-unique technologies in support of USSOCOM's Counterterrorism and Counterproliferation (CT/CP) mission. New CT/CP technologies are developed under USSOCOM management that provides warfighters with the operational capability to counter WMD threats.

The Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Device Defeat effort develops innovative technologies, energetic materials, and software programs to identify, defeat, contain, and mitigate WMD capable Improvised Explosive Devices (IEDs). DTRA has been delegated the responsibilities and the authority to act as Task Lead on behalf of the Department of Defense (DoD) to provide leadership, integration, development, and testing as the primary U.S. Government coordinator for the National Implementation Plan WMD-Terrorism Task 5.4.4. The EOD Device Defeat effort adds targeted rapid development of tools, techniques, and procedures for the access and advanced diagnostics and defeat of WMD systems and IEDs. The focus of the activity is prototype development and transition of promising technologies to the warfighters for procurement.

The USSOCOM Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction – Terrorism Support Program (SCSP) addresses Commander USSOCOM responsibilities under the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff (CJCS) Unified Command Plan (UCP) for integrating and synchronizing Defense-wide operations and activities to prevent terrorists from developing, acquiring, proliferating, or using WMD.

The Counter WMD-Terrorism (CWMD-T) technologies program builds upon collaborative efforts with the warfighter. One portion of this program involves a proof of concept and subsequent advancements in research, development, testing, and evaluation (RDT&E) and provides multi-mission capabilities that may be applied throughout the entire spectrum of warfare while significantly eliminating collateral damage. The CWMD-T technologies program is developing technologies to enable the warfighter to locate, identify, characterize, and access WMDs, their production and storage facilities, and associated enablers along multiple nodes concurrently or simultaneously within the terrorist pathway to disrupt, delay, degrade, destroy, or deny Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear (CBRN) WMDs while minimizing risk to U.S. forces in support of CT/CP offensive operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threa	t Reduction Agency		DATE: Fel	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	PROJEC RE: Cour	T nter-Terrorism	Technologie	s
The decrease from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is predominately due to decr	reased investment for CWMD-T testing and defeat	orograms.			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies			116.668	113.681	110.657
<ul> <li>Description: Project RE provides research and development support of Operations Command (USSOCOM), in the areas of Explosive Ordnand warfighters; the USSOCOM Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction counterproliferation (CP) research and development resources sent dia</li> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments: <ul> <li>Continued development and transitioned new counterproliferation (CI WMD, enabling warfighters to improve their ability to detect, disable, in nuclear production, storage, and weaponization facilities. Some of the mechanical, and alternative energies to improve the efficiencies and et operations against Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and Ex - Successfully conducted approximately 150 joint tests with military util (UHPC) to improve tactics, techniques, and procedures.</li> <li>Proceeded in multi-year classified development effort to deliver tools production and storage facilities, and associated enablers anywhere w</li> <li>Achieved successful progress per plan for successive multi-year efford Defeat program.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	ce Disposal Device Defeat; counter-WMD technolog – Terrorism Support Program (SCSP) ; and oversigned rectly to USSOCOM for warfighter-unique CP technologies for Joint U.S. Military Forces to counterdict, neutralize, and destroy chemical, biological rectiveness of joint U.S. military ground forces' offer rectiveness against Ultra High Performance Counter to enable the warfighter to combat against WMDs, rithin the terrorist pathway. rts to develop high fidelity test articles for EOD Dev	gies for ght of iologies. nter , and ergetic, msive oncrete their			
<ul> <li>SCSP established an initial capability to provide a dynamic picture of</li> <li>SCSP established an initial advanced IT infrastructure (Phase I).</li> <li>SCSP provided WMD data to COCOMs to support real-time continge</li> <li>Developed technologies and tools to characterize and identify the elements</li> </ul>	the global WMD-T operating environment.	fusing			
<ul> <li>systems.</li> <li>Developed barrier defeat tools that enhance defeat solutions to defeat using a range of defeating techniques, equipment, and material.</li> <li>Developed production defeat tools that enable ground forces to destribute to defeat t</li></ul>	· · ·				
WMD. - Provided structural defeat tools for the destruction of structures' key e					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat F	Reduction Agency		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	PROJEC RE: Coun		Technologie	s
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Proceeded with a 48-month classified development effort to deliver tool production and storage facilities, and associated enablers anywhere with 4-year effort will begin, so at the end of 4 years solutions will be delivered.</li> <li>Continued work on Knowledge Management Objectives begun in FY10 and initiate a study of the effects of Radio Frequency (RF) signals on explicit program to design and produce ultra-high fidelity test.</li> </ul>	hin the terrorist pathway. Each year of this progra d each year thereafter. ); continue to test the effects of RF signals on test plosives.	m a new			
FY 2012 Plans:					
<ul> <li>Continue development and then transition new technologies for Joint U specifically SOF, to improve their ability to detect, disable, interdict, neut production, storage, and weaponization facilities. These efforts use inno alternative energies to improve the efficiencies and effectiveness of Joint against CBRNE WMD production facilities.</li> <li>Develop and transition innovative counter-WMD tools designed to local production and storage facilities with minimal to no collateral damage or</li> <li>Continue funding three 48-month technology solutions that began in FY proliferation of WMD.</li> <li>SCSP will reach Full Operational Capability (FOC) and continue to sup</li> <li>Develop systemic operational plans for integrating diplomatic, military, or counter proliferation of WMD and acquisition by known terrorist organiza</li> <li>Begin development of next generation imaging capabilities to allow EO</li> <li>Continue work on Knowledge Management Objectives begun in FY10; and initiate a study of the effects of Radio Frequency (RF) signals on exp</li> </ul>	ralize, and destroy chemical, biological, and nucle ovative technologies utilizing energetic, mechanica t U.S. Military Ground Force's offensive operation te, identify, characterize, assess and attack WMD loss of life. (10 and manage their progress in countering the port COCOM planning efforts related to CWMD-T economic, financial, intelligence and law enforcen itions. D forces advanced diagnostic capabilities. continue to test the effects of RF signals on test of	ar al and s			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Continue other planned development and transition of new CP technologenabling warfighters to improve their ability to detect, disable, interdict, n production, storage, and weaponization facilities.</li> <li>Continue work on successive multi-year efforts to develop high fidelity to Build EOD Device Defeat test objects for characterization and testing.</li> <li>Continue work on Knowledge Management Objectives begun in FY10; and initiate a study of the effects of Radio Frequency (RF) signals on explosition in the CWMD-T global dynamic picture of the operating environm.</li> <li>Continue to support COCOM planning efforts related to CWMD-T.</li> </ul>	test articles for EOD Device Defeat program. continue to test the effects of RF signals on test of plosives.	uclear			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	stification: PB	2013 Defen	se Threat R	eduction Age	ency				DATE: Feb	oruary 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI</b>	VITY			R-1 ITEM NO	MENCLAT	URE		PROJECT			
0400: Research, Development, Tes	st & Evaluation,	Defense-W	lide	PE 0603160	BR: Counter	proliferation	Initiatives	RE: Counte	er-Terrorism	Technologie	s
BA 3: Advanced Technology Devel	opment (ATD)			- Proliferation	n, Preventior	n and Defeat					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pr	ograms (\$ in N	<u>/lillions)</u>							FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
- Establish a collaborative virtual w geographically separated COCOM	• •	ed to dynami	c SCSP da	ta sets/feeds)	that enable	s CWMD-T	planning by	/			
				Accon	nplishments	s/Planned P	rograms S	Subtotals	116.668	113.681	110.657
C. Other Program Funding Sumr	nary (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>									
	EV 0044	EX 0040	FY 2013	<u>FY 2013</u>	FY 2013				EV 0045	Cost To	
Line Item	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	Base	000	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>				Total Cost
• 23/0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	15.946	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	) Continuing	Continuing
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable											
E. Performance Metrics Number of technologies develope					•	•				•	

success and reduces the number of current gaps in SOF capabilities to counter weapons of mass destruction when conducting Overseas Contingency Operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency									DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: <i>Counterproliferation Initiatives</i> - <i>Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat</i>				PROJECT RF: Detection Technology							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
RF: Detection Technology	77.472	77.784	76.298	-	76.298	77.863	78.528	80.321	81.651	Continuing	Continuing	

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Detection Technology project develops technologies, systems and procedures to detect, identify, track, locate, monitor and interdict strategic and improvised nuclear and radiological weapons, components, or materials in support of Department of Defense requirements for combating terrorism, counterproliferation and nonproliferation, homeland defense, and international initiatives and agreements. This project researches, develops, demonstrates, and transitions advanced technologies to improve: operational capability to detect and identify nuclear and radiological weapons; and to support the attribution process through development, demonstration, and transition of improved post-detonation National Technical Nuclear Forensics (NTNF) capabilities. Efforts under this project also support international peacekeeping and nonproliferation objectives, on-site and aerial inspections and monitoring, on-site sampling and sample transport, and on- and off-site analysis to meet forensic, verification, monitoring and confidence-building requirements.

In FY11, the treaty and verification technology program was launched as a component of the detection technology project. This program develops technology to support nuclear arms reductions treaties and agreements, nuclear test monitoring, and on-site inspection.

The Detection Technology project under Weapons of Mass Destruction Proliferation Prevention and Defeat emphasizes the advanced technology development and engineering portion of the overall effort.

The decrease from FY 2012 to FY 2013 represents an efficiency reduction to contract support services as part of the DOD reform agenda to reduce reliance on service support contractors.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RF: Detection Technology	77.472	77.784	76.298
<b>Description:</b> Project RF develops technologies, systems and procedures for post-detonation nuclear forensics, and to detect, identify, track, tag, locate, monitor and interdict strategic and improvised nuclear and radiological weapons, components, or materials in support of Department of Defense (DoD) requirements for combating terrorism, counterproliferation and nonproliferation, homeland defense, and international initiatives and agreements.			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continued development of a fieldable standoff active interrogation system for standoff detection and warning of hidden and shielded nuclear material.</li> <li>Performed field demonstrations of new detector technologies for handheld detectors to improve the ability of fielded forces to detect, locate, and identify nuclear materials in the battle space.</li> </ul>			

PE 0603160BR: *Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, ...* Defense Threat Reduction Agency

60

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat I	Reduction Agency		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: <i>Counterproliferation Initiatives</i> <i>- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat</i>	PROJECT RF: Detec	T ction Technol	logy	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Improved performance of new detector materials, imaging and spectror rigorous field testing.</li> <li>Continued expanding the functionality of the Mobile Field Kit – Radiolog awareness and mission review to current and future suites of sensors.</li> <li>Continued transitioning multiple near term technologies to generate prof.</li> <li>Continued to develop fieldable and improved technical capabilities for plasmple analysis, modeling to support nuclear device reconstruction, and in technical nuclear forensics (TNF) conclusions.</li> <li>Combined all research and development prompt diagnostics projects undemonstrate and field prototypes of an integrated ground sensor capabilities in technical nuclear forensics (TNF) conclusions.</li> <li>Combined all research and development prompt diagnostics projects undemonstrate and field prototypes of an integrated ground sensor capabiliother prompt diagnostic capabilities. Includes continued development of reaction history post-event. Continued development, validation and transimprove yield accuracy.</li> <li>Continued execution, technical management and development of yield capabilities in support of the FY2010-initiated National Technical Nuclear Demonstration (JCTD).</li> <li>Began development of fieldable (integrated and deployable) enhanced capabilities and prototype novel technologies to shorten the analysis and - Continued to develop improved correlation tools, signature databases, increase confidence, decrease uncertainties and timelines, to better sup Fielded improved debris diagnostic codes; accelerate design signatures analysis capability.</li> <li>Provided enhanced technical support and analysis to the Nuclear Wea and Safety Committee and other high-level committees and senior decis infrastructure.</li> <li>Investigated alternative methods to detect fissions in nuclear materials.</li> <li>Started development of methods to rapidly determine nuclear weapon nuclear weapons effects on the environment.</li> <li>Continued development of contour mapping technol</li></ul>	gical (MFK-R) by increasing radiological situational post-detonation prompt and debris sample collection post-detonation prompt and debris sample collection inder DISCREET OCULUS and MINIKIN ECHO to lity to augment and enhance current yield estimation methods to rapidly determine nuclear weapon yield is the setimation of seismic/air blast/infrasound/craterology in estimation and airborne/ground debris collection in Forensics (NTNF) Joint Capability Technology /rapid separation, dissolution and analysis laborate d overall TNF process timeline. and modeling of device/production design space port production of consensus technical forensics in database development and base lining of weapon provements. Began development of enhanced a study to determine the benefits and feasibility of pons Council and Nuclear Weapons Council Stan sion-makers to transform the nuclear stockpile and from standoff ranges. yields post-event, by investigating alternative pror model to improve yield accuracy.	al users. on, nfidence of and elds and hodel to ory to results. n design of ding			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat F	Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2012						
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: <i>Counterproliferation Initiatives</i> <i>- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat</i>	PROJEC RF: Detec	T ction Techno	logy				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013			
<ul> <li>Continued Concept of Operations development &amp; Standard Operating F Continental United States (OCONUS) demonstrations for detection, and</li> <li>Continued cooperation and acceptance of DTRA developed detection t</li> <li>Continued transitioning multiple near term technologies to generate pro- improved capability.</li> <li>Continued development and testing of remote information awareness of for increased area of detection capability.</li> <li>Investigated capability gaps and opportunities for insertion of technolog</li> <li>Developed and conducted laboratory and field experiments to understa underground nuclear tests in various types of geology.</li> <li>Began to develop a manufacturing capability for boron and lithium base address He-3 shortage.</li> <li>Completed successful maritime demonstration of neutron sensitive pan</li> <li>Completed laboratory testing of cadmium zinc telluride (CZT) -based C fieldable prototype.</li> <li>Demonstrated the ability to scale up the production of novel and high er national security applications ensuring ability to deliver future capabilities</li> <li>Transitioned a state of the art technology to complete procurement for timproved capability.</li> <li>Completed Spiral One of the Arms Control Enterprise System which en requirements of the New START Treaty.</li> <li>Began the Arms Control Enterprise System Analysis of Alternatives wh approach to data bases and notifications for future treaties.</li> <li>In partnership with NNSA, conducted the first Source Physics Experime nuclear testing which provided an improved capability to detect undergrop provided a technology roadmap to support future treaties.</li> <li>Continued to evaluate ship search prototypes in support of CWMD mar</li> <li>Conducted a workshop with Department of State (DOS) on Technology provided a technology roadmap to support future treaties.</li> <li>Continue design and fabrication of a prototype passive interrogation sy material.</li> </ul>	collection capabilities. technologies for improved operational capability. botypes and design packages to provide ground f capability for radiation sensor systems and data in gy for treaty monitoring and verification. and the seismic effects of device de-coupling for ed replacements to helium based neutron detector hel detector. compton imaging spectrometer, allowing progress fficient material critical for use in nuclear detectors the Army Dosimeters, to replace aging technology habled efficient and timely compliance with the not ich will provide a flexible and affordable software ent to examine signatures from evasive and low y bund nuclear weapons testing. y Development for Strategic Arms Reductions whi ritime search operations. MD Urban Search Operations.	forces tegration rs to toward a s for y with tification ield ch						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threa	t Reduction Agency		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJEC	Г		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives	RF: Detec	tion Technol	logy	
BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
- Continue development of a rugged, mobile stand-off radiation detecti	on system to provide mid to long-range detection a	nd			
identification of nuclear materials in a field environment.					
- Complete development and testing of a small, light-weight, low-cost,					
single design for the Navy, Army, and Air Force. Continue developmer and neutron sensitivity.	nt on a real-time primary dosimeter providing beta, g	gamma,			
- Continue to develop and demonstrate alternative neutron detection te	echnologies for replacement of helium-3 neutron de	tectors.			
- Continue developing and improving high performing microelectronics	to determine the location of a radiological source.				
- Continue to develop, test, verify, assist with validation, and use addit	ions to the Joint Semi-Automated Forces (JSAF) to	ol			
intended to provide nuclear detection simulation capability into the JSA		ent where			
the Concept of Operations (CONOPS) and physics of nuclear detectio					
- Continue to develop, accelerate development where appropriate, der					
capabilities for prompt diagnostics (under DISCREET OCULUS and M		е			
analysis, and integration of design modeling and forensic data to supp	•				
- Continue development of fieldable (integrated and deployable) enhan		ratory			
capabilities and prototype novel technologies to shorten the analysis ti					
- Continue development of methods to rapidly determine post-event nu		ompt			
nuclear weapons effects, effects on the environment, and developing/					
- Complete execution of the National Technical Nuclear Forensics (NT		(ID) and			
begin Limited Operational Use / Employment and Follow-on Sustainme		:			
- Continue robotic air/ground sample collection improvements; comple		semi-			
autonomous ground and airborne debris collection capabilities in conju	•	d			
- Continue development of a fieldable standoff active interrogation sys shielded nuclear material.	tern for standon detection and warning of hidden ar	iu			
- Continue to perform field demonstrations of new detector technologie	es for handheld detectors, distributed sensors, and	vehicle			
mountable detector systems, to improve the ability of fielded forces to	detect, locate, and identify nuclear materials in the	battle			
space.					
- Continue to improve performance of new detector materials, imaging	and spectroscopy systems, and signals analysis m	ethods			
through rigorous laboratory and field testing.					
- Continue expanding the functionality of the Mobile Field Kit - Radiolo					
awareness and mission review to current and future suites of sensors.					
- Investigate capability gaps and opportunities for insertion of radiation					
- Continue transitioning multiple near term technologies to generate pr					
- Standoff Operational Exercise (SOX) Range will continue to support		ion and			
Threat Analysis System (PITAS), a Bremsstrahlung beam generating	system.				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Three		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT			
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	RF: Detect	ion Techno	logy	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Establish the Integrated Standoff Inspection System (ISIS) as an Are Continue development of a large standoff, directionally oriented, mascattering accelerator) source for integration with an active interrogare. Begin systems engineering approach for integration of technologies on to the New Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START).</li> <li>Demonstrate Spiral I of the Arms Control Enterprise System (ACES movements and inspection operations.</li> <li>Complete Spiral II of ACES that addresses production facilities and complete Phase I near source strong motion-small scale tests and yield and evasive testing.</li> <li>Complete The Analysis of Alternatives for the Arms Control Enterprive Initiate Phase I near source strong motion-small scale tests and hig conduct laboratory experiments with lasers to assess shock/seismitests.</li> <li>Begin exploring technologies for man portable detection and analyse Demonstrate field portable gamma ray and neutron detection system identification.</li> <li>Start experimental assessment of advanced concepts for warhead Initiate upgrade analysis system for radioactive noble gases to deter Complete operational characterization of the imaging and high spectationary radiological detectors.</li> <li>Begin operational characterization of the emerging radiological acti Continue development of the Force protection improvement for NIM Continue development of NIMBLE ELDER maritime detection capa - Continue development of NIMBLE ELDER maritime detection capa - Continue cooperation and acceptance of DTRA developed detection - Conduct NIMBLE ELDER evaluation exercises assessing radiological acti - Continue testing and evaluation nuclear forensics sample collectior - Conduct a "track 2" dialog between the US National Academy of So transparency measures for arms control.</li> </ul>	onoenergetic gamma (e.g. laser Wakefield/inverse Co tion system. s needed to enhance verification and monitoring of th S) that enhances the database for strategic bomber weapons transfers. high fidelity analysis for detection and identification of se System. In fidelity to address detection of deliberate evasive to ic and electromagnetic signatures from underground sis capability for the Fissile Material Cutoff Treaty. Im for New and Future START warhead counting and counting and assessment for Future START. ect underground nuclear explosions for CTBT. ctral resolution systems for man portable, vehicle bor k technologies. ve detection prototypes. //BLE ELDER detection equipment. bilities. In technologies for operational development. cal/nuclear detection technology at the Technology R d NIMBLE ELDER capability gaps. program of record. In procedures through demonstrations and exercises.	e follow- f low esting. nuclear			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Thre		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012		
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	PROJECT RF: Detect	ion Techno	logy	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Conduct an investigation of technology needs and international part Future Multilateral START treaty.</li> </ul>	nerships opportunities for technology development for	or a			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Plans: <ul> <li>Continue design and fabrication of prototype passive detection systematerial; test and characterize developmental prototype passive dete</li> <li>Continue to develop and demonstrate alternative neutron detection</li> <li>Continue to test, verify, assist with validation, and use additions to the provide nuclear detection simulation capability into the JSAF environ Concept of Operations (CONOPS) and physics of nuclear detection of continue to perform field demonstrations of new detector technolog mountable detector systems, to improve the ability of fielded forces to space.</li> <li>Continue development of a large standoff, directionally oriented, most scattering accelerator) source for integration with an active interrogate.</li> <li>Continue to develop, accelerate development where appropriate, decapabilities for post-detonation prompt diagnostics (under DISCREET collection, sample analysis, modeling to support nuclear device recorr confidence in technical nuclear forensics (TNF) conclusions. This incluse is store so significantly shorten the timeline from weeks to days.</li> <li>Continue development of methods to rapidly determine post-event r alternative prompt nuclear weapons effects, effects on the environmeter alternative prompt nuclear weapons effects, effects on the environmeter alternative prompt nuclear weapons effects.</li> <li>Continue expanding the functionality of the Mobile Field Kit – Radiol awareness and mission review to current and future suites of sensors.</li> <li>Continue transitioning multiple near term technologies to generate p.</li> <li>Demonstrate Spiral 3 of the Arms Control Enterprise System (ACES telemetry).</li> <li>Complete the software operations manual for ACES to enable trans.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	action systems	tectors. ed the vehicle battle ompton and and ncrease analysis e short- gating nethods			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threa	at Reduction Agency		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b>	PROJECT	•		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiative	s RF: Detec	tion Technol	logy	
BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
- Conduct a warhead imaging demonstration at an NNSA nuclear wea					
Conduct a field demonstration of production signatures for the fissile					
<ul> <li>Demonstrate the ability to simulate Underground Test (UGT) Electror</li> </ul>	magnetic Pulse (EMP) signatures in a field experi	ment in			
partnership with NNSA.					
- Continue development of the next generation NIMBLE ELDER netwo					
- Continue operational characterization of the emerging radiological ac					
- Continue development of the Force protection improvement for NIME					
- Continue development of NIMBLE ELDER maritime detection capabi					
- Conduct NIMBLE ELDER evaluation exercises assessing R/N detect	tion technology at the TRL 3, 4, 5, & 6 levels of de	evelopment			
against the approved NIMBLE ELDER capability gaps. - Accelerate the development of non-radiological detection S&T projection	a de la companya de l				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs	s Subtotals	77.472	77.784	76.29
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> <u>Line Item</u> <u>FY 2011</u> <u>FY 2012</u> <u>Bas</u> • 23/0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat</i> 43.697 49.677 44.99 <i>Technologies</i>	<u>se OCO Total FY 2014 FY 20</u>			Cost To <u>Complete</u> 0 Continuing	Total Cos
-					
D. Acquisition Strategy		-	· · · ·		
Continue to implement the approved CWMD SEARCH Modernization for rapid capability fielding.	n Strategy for the transition of S&I projects to DC	D programs o	of record at t	he Milestone	A decision
E. Performance Metrics					
Conduct/support end-to-end National Technical Nuclear Forensics ca	apabilities exercise and supporting demonstratior	ı(s).			
Successfully develop data integration capability with future interagen	icy comprehensive, all domain weapons of mass	destruction de	etection arch	itecture.	
Continue to develop upgraded technologies for sample collection, sa demonstrations; formulate program direction for advanced forensic s		or faster diagn	ostics based	d on technolo	уgy
Successful operational development and acceptance of transitional of	detection technologies.				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Three	eat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives	RF: Detection Technology
BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	
Transition of next-generation detection systems.		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency									DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITYR-1 ITEM NOMENCLATUREPROJECT0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-WidePE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation InitiativesRG: AdvanceBA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)Proliferation, Prevention and DefeatWeapons				ed Energeti	cs & Counter WMD						
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons	18.273	15.186	20.682	-	20.682	21.540	21.780	22.487	23.212	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Counter Weapon of Mass Destruction Hard Target Defeat (CWMD HTD) Weapons Development project develops, matures, and demonstrates innovative kinetic and non-kinetic weapon capability for the physical or functional defeat of WMD agents, processes, and support networks with a minimum of collateral effects from incidental release of agent. This is directly linked to the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) priority objectives to prevent and deter conflict and prepare to defeat adversaries and succeed in a wide range of contingencies, and the key missions of deter and defeat aggression in anti-access environments; and prevent proliferation and counter weapons of mass destruction. It does so through the systematic identification and maturation of advanced technologies capable of defeating WMD agents or agent based processes, then integrating those technologies into the weapons and delivery systems most relevant to the COCOMs' WMD Defeat CONOPS for their AOR. The primary focus of current efforts is defeating an adversary's WMD capability protected in the confines of hardened and protected bunker and tunnel facilities. Included in this program is the development of offensive defeat capabilities, WMD agent/agent-based process simulants, test infrastructure, and sampling capability required for effective development, testing, and evaluation of the next generation capability as well as the advanced modeling and simulation necessary for ensuring optimum weapon solutions are achieved based on this technology. The program addresses requirements delineated in the QDR and Strategic Planning Guidance as codified in Joint Capability Integrated Development (JCID) documents, Service requirements documents, and COCOMs and Agency Priority Lists for lethal and non-lethal C-WMD capability. The efforts contained in the program further develop, mature, and demonstrate technology and weapon system concepts that greatly enhance the warfighters' capability to defeat the spectrum of weapons of mass destruction (WMD) in hard and deeply

The program's investment approach is based on a strategic top-down analysis of threat vulnerabilities and aligned with stated organizational core competencies and lines of operations aimed at the defeat of (1) the chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear (CBRN) threat materials, (2) the ability to deliver the same, and (3) the support networks, both physical and non-physical, enabling both. The program places a high priority on understanding, characterizing, and validating potential weapon effects within some mathematical confidence as it relates to the unintended release of hazardous threat materials. Our end-state is to provide COCOMs with accurate and timely WMD defeat expertise, tailored technologies, and customized solutions that provide offensive weapons and capabilities to combat WMD in any target while mitigating collateral contamination effects. Without these capabilities our nation cannot effectively hold at risk our adversaries' WMD capabilities thus giving them strategic advantage.

The increase from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is predominately due to increased investment in Counter WMD Hard Target Defeat Weapons Development to mature and demonstrate innovative kinetic and non-kinetic weapon capability for the physical or functional defeat of the WMD structures, functions, and/or the agents themselves with a minimum of collateral effects from incidental release of agent.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons	18.273	15.186	20.682

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat	DATE: February 2012							
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	<b>PROJECT</b> RG: Advar Weapons		nergetics & Counter WMD				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013			
<b>Description:</b> Project RG develops advanced technologies and weapor weapon systems.	n concepts and validates their applicability as count	er WMD						
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Completed Integrated Precision Ordnance Delivery System (IPODS)</li> <li>Research Laboratory (AFRL) laser radar seeker technology risk reduct</li> <li>Evaluated IPODS proposals for tunnel defeat, selected contractors, a Component Test.</li> <li>Completed IPODS Phase IIA: Interim Design Review with both contrational continued work on improving the ability of computer models that show</li> </ul>	ion testing for IPODS. nd initiated Phase II: Preliminary Development and actors.							
<ul> <li>characteristics are built into those models; added other capabilities into that destroy WMD by means other than detonation.</li> <li>Initiated research and development of a capability that will allow the L while minimizing the spread of contamination.</li> <li>Finalized Modular Autonomous Countering WMD System (MACS) Comaturation efforts for complex tunnel defeat.</li> </ul>	b these weapons effects models, such as weapons J.S. to attack WMD in 'soft' targets like surface strue	ctures,						
<ul> <li>Advanced the development of a diagnostic tool that improves upon th WMD.</li> <li>Demonstrated MACS critical component technologies in preparation f</li> </ul>		at defeat						
<ul> <li>demonstrations.</li> <li>Conducted small-scale tests and used the data to improve computer is some other means.</li> <li>Continued development of weapons payloads that are capable of destruction.</li> </ul>								
agent. - Refined an advanced wireless sensor for use in Counter-WMD weapon environments, which will allow improved weapons development and tes - Conducted full-scale test to investigate the effects that high-explosive make WMD agents in order to better understand and develop weapons - Completed work on investigating the damage effects that high-power research and development of high-powered microwave weapons that of - Conducted Counter Electronics High Power Microwave Advanced Mis Demonstration (JCTD) ground effects testing against representative W	sting. e counter-WMD weapons have on the equipment us s to use against WMD production sites. ed microwaves have on electronics in order to guide can be used against WMD process equipment. ssile Project (CHAMP) Joint Concept Technology							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threa	at Reduction Agency		DATE: Feb	oruary 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY		PROJECT				
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	· · · · · ·					
BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	Weapons				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
- Provided support to the Air Force Massive Ordnance Penetrator (MC	OP) Quick Reaction Capability (QRC) efforts.					
FY 2012 Plans:						
- Develop IPODS preliminary Hardware Design and Software Archited	ture Design.					
- Continue work on improving the ability of computer models that show	v weapons effects so that the WMD agent defeat					
characteristics are built into those models.						
- Conduct computerized fit checks on F-15E, B-52, and B-2 aircraft ca	rriage platforms and perform scale model IPODS wi	nd				
tunnel testing. - Examine alternate payload candidates for potential integration into II	2005 baseline design					
- Further advance the development of a diagnostic tool that improves		ons that				
defeat WMD.						
- Initiate development of MACS system and concept of operation arch	itecture.					
- Begin development of a capability that will allow the US to attack WM	ID in 'soft' targets like surface structures, while mining	mizing				
the spread of contamination.	and the former de					
<ul> <li>Develop initial MACS prototype to demonstrate design concepts will</li> <li>Integrate Kinetic Fireball sub-munitions into warhead.</li> </ul>	meet requirements.					
- Conduct High Power Microwave disruption and forensics testing.						
<ul> <li>Complete Counter Electronics High Power Microwave Advanced Mis</li> </ul>	sile Proiect (CHAMP) Joint Concept Technology					
Demonstration (JCTD) Operational Utility Assessment against a WME						
FY 2013 Plans:						
- Continue improvements for defeat of WMD in soft targets.						
- Continue maturing diagnostic capability to meet emerging needs and	field improved capabilities for Agent Defeat.					
- Complete Heated And Mobile Munitions Employing Rockets (HAMM	,	apon				
design, critical component testing, and payload subscale bio defeat te						
<ul> <li>Conduct MACS Underground Communication proof-of-principle dem</li> <li>Complete IPODS Phase II Preliminary Design.</li> </ul>	onstration in a realistic environment.					
- Initiate IPODS Phase III, Detailed Development & System Level Tes	t					
- Issue MACS Phase III First Generation System Concept Request for						
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs S	ubtotals	18.273	15.186	20.68	
	,					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	tification: PB	2013 Defen	se Threat F	Reduction Age	ncy				DATE: Febr	uary 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	t & Evaluation,	, Defense-W	lide	R-1 ITEM NO PE 06031601 - Proliferation	BR: Counter	proliferation		<b>PROJECT</b> RG: Advanc Weapons	anced Energetics & Counter WM				
C. Other Program Funding Sumn	nary (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>											
			<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>					<u>Cost To</u>	<u> </u>		
Line Item	FY 2011	FY 2012	Base	000	Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Complete	Total Cos		
• 23/0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	18.432	17.771	14.645		14.645	14.750	13.595	13.521	14.004	Continuing	Continuin		
D. Acquisition Stratogy													

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

Not Applicable

#### E. Performance Metrics

Evaluate weapon system component technologies required for development of at least one new capability to counter WMD in tunnels during the FYDP to TRL 4/5.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2013 Defer	nse Threat F	Reduction Ag	lency				DATE: Febr	ruary 2012	
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV</b>	ΊΤΥ			R-1 ITEM N	OMENCLA	TURE		PROJECT			
0400: Research, Development, Test			Vide					RI: Nuclear	Survivability	,	
BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	pment (ATD)			- Proliferatio	on, Preventic	on and Defea	t				
COST (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2013	FY 2013					Cost To	
	FY 2011	FY 2012	Base	000	Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Complete	<b>Total Cost</b>
RI: Nuclear Survivability	15.702	6.985	6.129	-	6.129	6.654	6.571	6.712	7.104	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Nuclear Survivability project develops and demonstrates Radiation Hardened Microelectronics (RHM) for nuclear hardening and survivability of Department of Defense's (DoD) systems and provides for the execution of force-on-force evaluations and nuclear weapons surety efforts to enhance the protection of nuclear resources.

The RHM program responds to DoD space and missile system requirements for RHM and photonics technology to support mission needs. This program develops and demonstrates radiation-hardened, high performance prototype microelectronics to support the availability of RHM and photonics for DoD missions from both private sector and government organizations.

Mighty Guardian Force-on-Force Tests aid in satisfying requirements for the Services by providing denial of access to nuclear resources in all environments; operational, storage and in transit. The results of the evaluations identify security vulnerabilities to weapons systems that are then addressed through targeted application of research and development projects requested by the resource owners. These projects are designed to demonstrate, test, and evaluate security enhancement systems prior to service procurement.

Nuclear Weapons Surety, as tasked by the DoD Nuclear Weapon System Safety Program, provides Combatant Commands (COCOMs), Services, and Joint Chiefs of Staff with technical analyses, studies, research, and experimental data necessary to identify and quantify risks of plutonium dispersal and Loss of Assured Safety due to accidents, fires or natural causes during peacetime operations of the nation's nuclear weapon systems. Additionally, this will provide studies necessary to quantify the probability of success against targeted terrorist attacks on DoD facilities, while leveraging these risk assessment advances. It also provides new and innovative technologies for the protection of nuclear resources in support of COCOMs and Services.

The decrease from FY 2012 to FY 2013 represents an efficiency reduction to contract support services as part of the DOD reform agenda to reduce reliance on service support contractors.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RI: Nuclear Survivability	15.702	6.985	6.129
<b>Description:</b> Project RI provides the capability for DoD nuclear forces and their associated control and support systems and facilities in wartime to avoid, repel, or withstand attack or other hostile action, to the extent that essential functions can continue or be resumed after the onset of hostile action.			
FY 2011 Accomplishments: - Initiated 90nm Application Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) design process to qualify for recognized usage.			

PE 0603160BR: *Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, ...* Defense Threat Reduction Agency

72

	eat Reduction Agency		DATE: Fel	oruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY		PROJECT	r Survivability		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide		RI: Nuclea	r Survivabilit	t <b>y</b>	
BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Developed initial Technology Computer-Aided Design modeling for</li> <li>Conducted Mighty Guardian XIV Force-On-Force test to evaluate n</li> <li>Whiteman AFB, MO.</li> <li>Initiated planning for Mighty Guardian XV Force-on-Force test to evaluate planning for Mighty Guardian XV Force-on-Force test to evaluate plana</li> <li>Naval Base Kings Bay, GA.</li> <li>Conducted research, development, test, and evaluation on physica place stockpile as determined by the Services.</li> </ul>	uclear security policy as it applies to bomber generatio valuate nuclear security policy for waterfront restricted a	areas at			
FY 2012 Plans:					
<ul> <li>Develop 90nm Radiation Hardening By Design (RHBD) qualificatio</li> <li>Continue investigation of 45nm RHBD mitigation techniques on a te</li> <li>Demonstrate 45nm RHBD Test Circuit Vehicle.</li> <li>Demonstrate initial 90nm radiation hardened 64Mb Static Random</li> <li>Plan and conduct Mighty Guardian XV Force-on-Force test to evalu</li> <li>Naval Base Kings Bay, GA.</li> <li>Initiate planning for Mighty Guardian XVI Force-on-Force test to ev</li> <li>(PNAF) and On-Base Convoys at a location still to be determined.</li> <li>Conduct research, development, test, and evaluation on physical s nuclear stockpile as determined by the Services.</li> </ul>	echnology characterization vehicle. Access Memory (SRAM). uate nuclear security policy for waterfront restricted are aluate nuclear security policy for Prime Nuclear Airlift F	orces			
FY 2013 Plans: - Transition 90nm ASIC Qualified Manufacturer List radiation harden - Transition 90nm radiation hardened 64Mb Static Random Access N - Develop 45nm RHBD Product Demonstration Vehicle (PDV)	• •				
<ul> <li>Conduct engineering studies in support of and continue planning N security policy for Prime Nuclear Airlift Forces (PNAF) and On-Base</li> <li>Conduct research, development, test, and evaluation on physical s nuclear stockpile as determined by the Services.</li> </ul>	Convoys at a location still to be determined.				

		2010 Delen	se Threat F	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				DATE: Febr	uary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI 0400: Research, Development, Tes	st & Evaluation,	, Defense-W	lide	<b>R-1 ITEM NO</b> PE 06031608	BR: Counter	proliferation		<b>PROJECT</b> RI: <i>Nuclear</i>	Survivability	,	
3A 3: Advanced Technology Devel	opment (ATD)			- Proliferatior	n, Prevention	n and Defeat					
C. Other Program Funding Sumn	nary (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>									
			FY 2013	FY 2013	<u>FY 2013</u>					Cost To	
Line Item	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	Base	000	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015	<u>FY 2016</u>	<u>FY 2017</u>	<b>Complete</b>	Total Cos
• 23/0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	18.525	17.503	18.810		18.810	18.965	20.142	21.428	21.490	Continuing	Continuir
<u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> Not Applicable											
E. Performance Metrics Achieve Radiation Hardened and	Padiation Har	dened by De	sian (PHB		ication Spe	sific Integrate	ad Circuit d	asian flow ca	nability		
Achieve Radiation Hardened and	Radiation nar		Joight (I thind		ication oper	ine integrate		coigit now ca	pability.		
Successful completion of Mighty completed, execution of the exercise									riving when	required, tra	ining
Successful completion of researc within budget, all stated tasks in t	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					
•	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					
within budget, all stated tasks in t	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					
within budget, all stated tasks in t	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					
within budget, all stated tasks in t	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					
within budget, all stated tasks in t	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					
within budget, all stated tasks in t	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					
within budget, all stated tasks in t	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					
within budget, all stated tasks in t	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					
within budget, all stated tasks in t	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					
within budget, all stated tasks in t	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					
within budget, all stated tasks in t	he statement c	of work/object	tives being		•	•					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2013 Defei	nse Threat I	Reduction Ag	ency				DATE: Feb		
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV</b>	ΊΤΥ			R-1 ITEM N	OMENCLA	TURE		PROJECT			
0400: Research, Development, Test	& Evaluation	n, Defense-V	Vide	PE 0603160	BR: Counte	erproliferation	n Initiatives	RL: Nuclea	r & Radiolog	ical Effects	
BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	pment (ATD)	)		- Proliferatio	on, Preventic	on and Defea	at				
			FY 2013	FY 2013	FY 2013					Cost To	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	Base	000	Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Complete	<b>Total Cost</b>
RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	2.661	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Nuclear and Radiological Effects project develops nuclear and radiological assessment modeling tools to support military operational planning, weapon effects predictions, and strategic system design decisions; consolidate validated Defense Threat Reduction Agency modeling tools into net-centric environment for integrated functionality; predict system response to nuclear and radiological weapons producing electromagnetic, thermal, blast, shock and radiation environments - key systems include Nuclear Command and Control System, Global Information Grid, missiles, structures, humans and environment; provide detailed adversary nuclear infrastructure characterization to enhance counterforce operations and hazard effects; conduct analyses in support of nuclear and radiological Science and Technology and address the priority needs of the Combatant Commands and the Department of Defense, develop and provide electromagnetic pulse assessment capabilities to support national and military operational planning, weapon effects predictions, and national strategic systems designs; and develop foreign nuclear weapon outputs. Related funding for this project can be found in the WMD Defeat Technologies: 0602718BR, budget exhibit.

	ograms (\$ in N	<u>/IIIIIons)</u>							FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RL - Nuclear & Radiological I	Effects								2.661	-	-
<b>Description:</b> Project RL develops weapon effects predictions, and str Defeat Technologies: 0602718BR,	ategic system of	design decis		•		• •	•	•			
<b>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</b> - Updated Nuclear Weapon Effects Agency (USANCA).	·	,	<i>,</i> .		·	uclear and C	combating W	MD			
- Updated Nuclear Capabilities Ser	vices (NuCS) ir	n DTRA's ne	et-centric arc	hitecture.							
- Updated Probability of Damage C - Updated Nuclear Capabilities Ser - Published two volumes of Journa	vices (NuCS) ir	n DTRA's ne	et-centric arc	hitecture. gineering.		s/Planned P	rograms Su	btotals	2.661		
- Updated Nuclear Capabilities Ser - Published two volumes of Journa	vices (NuCS) ir of Radiation E	n DTRA's ne ffects Resea	et-centric arc	hitecture. gineering.		s/Planned P	rograms Su	btotals	2.661	-	-
- Updated Nuclear Capabilities Ser - Published two volumes of Journa C. Other Program Funding Sumn	vices (NuCS) ir l of Radiation E <b>nary (\$ in Millic</b>	n DTRA's ne iffects Resea ons)	et-centric arc arch and Eng <u>FY 2013</u>	hitecture. gineering. Accon	nplishments FY 2013					<u>Cost To</u>	=
- Updated Nuclear Capabilities Ser - Published two volumes of Journa	vices (NuCS) ir of Radiation E	n DTRA's ne ffects Resea	et-centric arc arch and Eng	hitecture. gineering. Accon	nplishments	5/Planned P <u>FY 2014</u> 23.904	rograms Su <u>FY 2015</u> 25.202	btotals FY 2010 25.539	6 <u>FY 201</u>	<u>Cost To</u>	Total Cos

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency       DATE: February 2012         APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY H400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 3A 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)       R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PP 00603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat       PROJECT RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects         2. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)       FY 2013 FY 2013 Ease       FY 2013 OCO       FY 2014 Total       FY 2015 S.749       FY 2014 5.995       FY 2015 8.359       FY 2017 EY 2016 8.359       Cost To Complete       Cost To Complete         2. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)       FY 2013 FY 2013 Capabilities       FY 2013 S.749       FY 2014 5.995       FY 2015 6.077       FY 2016 8.359       FY 2017 8.541       Continuing Continu Complete         2. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable       S. Advance terms to the Chemical and Biological (Chem-Bio) Defense Program's Joint Effects Model (JEM) Block II enhancing our ability predict hazards associated with weapons of mass destruction.       Provide Department of Defense the ability to predict the survival and mission impact of military critical systems exposed to nuclear weapon environments within acceptability criteria defined during the model accreditation process.         Complete new version of United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) official strategic targeting code used to determine the probability of damage from nuclear weapons.												
A400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide       PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives       RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects         SA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)       PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives       RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects         Cother Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)       FY 2013       FY 2013       FY 2013       Cost To         Line Item       FY 2011       FY 2012       Base       OCO       Total       FY 2014       FY 2016       FY 2017       Complete Total Ca         118/0605000BR: WMD Defeat       7.826       5.888       5.749       5.749       5.995       6.077       8.359       8.541       Continuing Continu         Capabilities       D. Acquisition Strategy       Not Applicable       S.749       5.995       6.077       8.359       8.541       Continuing our ability         Predict hazards associated with weapons of mass destruction.       Provide Defense Program's Joint Effects Model (JEM) Block II enhancing our ability       predict hazards associated with weapons of mass destruction.         Provide Department of Defense the ability to predict the survival and mission impact of military critical systems exposed to nuclear weapon environments within acceptability criteria defined during the model accreditation process.       Complete trageting code used to determine the probability of damage from nuclear	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2013 Defens	se Threat R	Reduction Age	ency				DATE: Febr	uary 2012	
Line ItemFY 2011FY 2012FY 2013FY 2013FY 2013FY 2014FY 2015FY 2016FY 2016FY 2017Cost To118/0605000BR: WMD Defeat7.8265.8885.7495.7495.9956.0778.3598.541ContinuingContinuingCapabilitiesAcquisition Strategy Not ApplicablePerformance MetricsComplete transition of all hazard source terms to the Chemical and Biological (Chem-Bio) Defense Program's Joint Effects Model (JEM) Block II enhancing our ability predict hazards associated with weapons of mass destruction.Provide Department of Defense the ability to predict the survival and mission impact of military critical systems exposed to nuclear weapon environments within acceptability criteria defined during the model accreditation process.Complete new version of United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) official strategic targeting code used to determine the probability of damage from nuclear	400: Research, Development, Test	& Evaluation,	Defense-W		PE 0603160	BR: Counter	proliferation			& Radiologi	ical Effects	
Line ItemFY 2011FY 2012BaseOCOTotalFY 2014FY 2015FY 2016FY 2016FY 2017CompleteTotal Co118/0605000BR: WMD Defeat7.8265.8885.7495.7495.9956.0778.3598.541ContinuingContinuingCapabilities <b>D. Acquisition Strategy</b> Not Applicable <b>E. Performance Metrics</b> Complete transition of all hazard source terms to the Chemical and Biological (Chem-Bio) Defense Program's Joint Effects Model (JEM) Block II enhancing our ability predict hazards associated with weapons of mass destruction.Provide Department of Defense the ability to predict the survival and mission impact of military critical systems exposed to nuclear weapon environments within acceptability criteria defined during the model accreditation process.Complete new version of United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) official strategic targeting code used to determine the probability of damage from nuclear	C. Other Program Funding Summa	ary (\$ in Milli	ons)	1					I			
<ul> <li>118/0605000BR: WMD Defeat 7.826 5.888 5.749 5.749 5.995 6.077 8.359 8.541 Continuing Continu Capabilities</li> <li>Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable</li> <li>Performance Metrics</li> <li>Complete transition of all hazard source terms to the Chemical and Biological (Chem-Bio) Defense Program's Joint Effects Model (JEM) Block II enhancing our ability predict hazards associated with weapons of mass destruction.</li> <li>Provide Department of Defense the ability to predict the survival and mission impact of military critical systems exposed to nuclear weapon environments within acceptability criteria defined during the model accreditation process.</li> <li>Complete new version of United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) official strategic targeting code used to determine the probability of damage from nuclear</li> </ul>				FY 2013	FY 2013	FY 2013					Cost To	
Not Applicable <u>E. Performance Metrics</u> Complete transition of all hazard source terms to the Chemical and Biological (Chem-Bio) Defense Program's Joint Effects Model (JEM) Block II enhancing our ability predict hazards associated with weapons of mass destruction. Provide Department of Defense the ability to predict the survival and mission impact of military critical systems exposed to nuclear weapon environments within acceptability criteria defined during the model accreditation process. Complete new version of United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) official strategic targeting code used to determine the probability of damage from nuclear	• 118/0605000BR: WMD Defeat				<u>000</u>							
Complete transition of all hazard source terms to the Chemical and Biological (Chem-Bio) Defense Program's Joint Effects Model (JEM) Block II enhancing our ability predict hazards associated with weapons of mass destruction. Provide Department of Defense the ability to predict the survival and mission impact of military critical systems exposed to nuclear weapon environments within acceptability criteria defined during the model accreditation process. Complete new version of United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) official strategic targeting code used to determine the probability of damage from nuclear												
	Provide Department of Defense the acceptability criteria defined during Complete new version of United St	e ability to pre the model ac	edict the surv ccreditation p	vival and mi process.		·		·				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2013 Defer	nse Threat F	Reduction Ag	lency				DATE: Febr	ruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV					OMENCLA	-		PROJECT			
0400: Research, Development, Test			Vide			erproliferatior		RM: WMD L	Battle Manag	gement	
BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	pment (ATD)			- Proliferatio	on, Preventic	on and Defea	t				
COST (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2013	FY 2013					Cost To	
	FY 2011	FY 2012	Base	000	Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Complete	<b>Total Cost</b>
RM: WMD Battle Management	29.143	22.303	22.503	-	22.503	22.527	22.937	23.700	24.328	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) Battle Management project develops, integrates, demonstrates and transitions emerging/innovative technologies to support the counter WMD Mission. This activity specifically focuses on two critical components in countering the WMD threat:

Develop end-to-end planning capabilities including weaponeering tools to aid the Combatant Commander's targeting and weapons officers in choosing the proper weapon, fuze, and employment parameters to optimize the defeat of WMD and related hard targets. Deliver modernized, validated and fast running attack planning tools and integrating software. Leverage attack planning tools to support force protection planners and vulnerability assessment teams.

Develop, integrate, demonstrate and transition emerging/innovative technologies to provide the warfighter with an enhanced near real-time combat and battle damage assessment capability. Capability is achieved through the development of Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS) and weapon-based sensors, platforms, taggants, seekers and other innovative technologies to; remotely sense, identify, track and target WMD-related threats; perform battle damage assessment/indication of strikes against these threats; and locate, track, collect, detect, selectively identify, and characterize Chemical Weapon and Biological Weapon aerosol agents released during these WMD counterforce strikes.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RM: WMD Battle Management	29.143	22.303	22.503
<b>Description:</b> Project RM provides (1) full-scale testing of counter WMD weapon effects, sensor performance, and weapon delivery optimization, (2) weapon effects modeling, and (3) the Defense Threat Reduction Agency Experimentation Lab.			
FY 2011 Accomplishments:			
- Conducted development testing of the WMD Aerial Collection System (WACS) on the SHADOW unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV).			
- Performed annual cycle of requirements collection, challenge proposals, resource allocation, and tech support through High			
Performance Computing (HPC) effort.			
- Supported Massive Ordinance Penetrator (MOP) program with provision of high priority, high performance computing service for			
reduced time to solution for time-critical calculations (~6,000,000 total computer hours).			
- Secured two of the 14 DoD Challenge Proposals for improved quality of service in time limit, allowable job size, and job			
throughput on DoD high performance computers for DTRA research and development (R&D) efforts.			
- Provided 23 Targeting and Weaponeering Analysis Cell (TWAC) academic sessions, built 200+ targeting recommendation			
packages (TRPs) supporting Combatant Command (COCOM) requirements, and provided optimized dual delivery (ODD)			
weaponeering support.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threa	at Reduction Agency		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	PROJEC RM: WMI	T D Battle Man	agement	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
<ul> <li>Delivered a specialized Integrated Munitions Effects Assessment (IM to support the fielding and operational planning of MOP.</li> <li>Delivered Vulnerability Assessment Protection Option (VAPO) version vulnerability analysis, nuclear contouring, and suicide bomber modeline.</li> <li>Enhanced Wide Area Aerial Surveillance technology to produce personal threats from Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear and Explosive.</li> <li>Demonstrated the capability to integrate sensor data into the Airborn CBRN detection capability on a wide-area surveillance platform.</li> <li>Developed and integrated miniaturized chemical and radiological set.</li> <li>Developed Counter-WMD Persistent Intelligence, Surveillance, and of data from multiple sources that provide activity-based intelligence.</li> <li>Continued development of a near real-time Battle Damage Assessment assessment testing of the BDA system sensor canisters.</li> </ul>	on 5.0 with critical infrastructure protection modeling ng. sistent coverage of WMD targets to predict and cour es (CBRNE). he Persistent Imagery eXploitation (APIX) Viewer to nsors with radio frequency tags. Reconnaissance (P-ISR) integration framework for t	and hter provide the fusion			
<ul> <li>FY 2012 Plans:</li> <li>Continue to support the Combatant Commands with the further refinitechnologies that will enhance the capability of rapid response in regative conduct demonstration of the WMD Aerial Collection System (WACS and to confirm that WACS fulfills CBRN requirements for the Shadow - Initiate the design of WACS prototypes for the U.S. Army that will mee - Develop and demonstrate novel tag technologies for C-WMD Tag, T - Conduct an operationally representative flight test of a near real-time strikes.</li> <li>Deliver Integrated Munitions Effects Assessment 2012 with site-leve - Provide Targeting and Weaponeering Analysis Cell academic session Combatant Command (COCOM) requirements.</li> <li>Begin the effort to integrate first principle nuclear fallout modeling corprediction models.</li> <li>FY 2013 Plans:</li> <li>Continue to support the Combatant Commands with the further refinitechnologies that will enhance the capability of rapid response in regative - Continue the effort to integrate first principle nuclear fallout modeling corprediction models.</li> </ul>	rds to next generational reach back capabilities. S) to support technology assessment of system ope Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS). Set the Army's end-state, fully integrated WACS cap rack and Locate Program. Se Battle Damage Assessment (BDA) system for com- l attack capability. Sons and targeting recommendation packages support des into Graphic User Interface (GUI) based hazard ement and development of operation center critical rds to next generational reach back capabilities. I codes into GUI-based hazard prediction models.	ability. ventional rting			

PE 0603160BR: *Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, ...* Defense Threat Reduction Agency

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2013 Defens	se Threat Re	eduction Age	ency				DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	ITY			R-1 ITEM NO	OMENCLAT	URE		PROJECT	ſ		
0400: Research, Development, Test		Defense-W				rproliferation		rm: <i>WMD</i>	Battle Mana	agement	
BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	oment (ATD)			- Proliferatio	n, Preventio	n and Defeat					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	grams (\$ in I	<u>/lillions)</u>							FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
- Deliver VAPO version 6.0 with imp											
collapse, and infrastructure modeling			C's Human I	njury Predic	tion code; ar	nd new forwa	rd operating	base			
modeling capability to support comb								_			
- Demonstrate miniaturized chemica			with radio f	requency tag	gs designed	to enhance of	counter-WM	D			
persistent surveillance, intelligence				votomo to inc			atia Nivalaa	r and			
- Complete system assessment of the Seismic sensor capabilities, mesh n											
display on a warfighter interface.			e nubs, anu		A uala via a	iong naui (sa	itenite) interi	ace and			
- Complete the Autonomous Reconr	naissance Infr	ared Electro	-optical Loit	ering (ARIFI	) vehicle fin	al design in	support of				
combating WMD long range sensor					_) vonioio iiii	ar doorgin, in	cappoirtoi				
- Complete WACS (U.S. Navy variat											
- Develop DTRA Spiral Sensors for			ocate (TTL) I	Program.							
			. ,	Accor	mplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Su	ubtotals	29.143	22.303	22.50
	···· / ··· •	\						I			
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ary (\$ in Milli	<u>ons)</u>	FY 2013	FY 2013	FY 2013					Cost To	<b>`</b>
Line Item	FY 2011	FY 2012	Base	0CO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 201	6 FY 201	7 Complete	
• 23/0602718BR: WMD Defeat	18.255	13.761	18.969	<u></u>	18.969	19.066	19.988	20.59		9 Continuing	
Technologies								_0.00			,
·											
D. Acquisition Strategy											
Not Applicable											
E. Performance Metrics											
Standoff detection range of Weapo	ons of Mass D	estruction (\	NMD) recon	naissance s	vstem.						
		(	,		<b>y</b>						
Number of new capabilities deliver	ed to Combat	ant Comma	nds (COCOI	Ms).							
Number of weaponeering solutions	delivered to	COCOMs.									
Increase automation of the analytic for Combating WMD.	c process use	d by Defens	e Threat Re	duction Age	ncy Reachb	ack, DTRA C	perations C	enter and	the U.S. Stra	ategic Comm	and Center

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2013 Defer	nse Threat F	Reduction Ag	ency				DATE: Feb	ruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test	& Evaluation		Vide		BR: Counte	erproliferation		PROJECT RR: Test Int	frastructure		
BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	pment (ATD)			- Proliferatio	on, Preventio	on and Defea	at				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RR: Test Infrastructure	1.790	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Test Infrastructure project provides a unique national test bed capability for simulated Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) facility characterization, weapon-target interaction, and WMD facility defeat testing to respond to operational needs by developing and maintaining test beds used by the Department of Defense (DoD), the Services, the Combatant Commanders, and other federal agencies to evaluate the implications of WMD, conventional, and other special weapon use against U.S. military or civilian systems and targets. It leverages fifty years of testing expertise to investigate weapons effects and target response across the spectrum of hostile environments that could be created by proliferant nations or terrorist organizations with access to advanced conventional weapons or WMD (nuclear, biological and chemical). The project maintains testing infrastructure to support the testing requirements of warfighters, other government agencies, and friendly foreign countries on a cost reimbursable basis. It creates testing strategies and a WMD Test Bed infrastructure focusing on the structural response of buildings and Hard & Deeply Buried Targets that house nuclear, biological, and chemical facilities. It provides support for full and sub-scale tests that focus on weapon-target interaction with fixed soft and hardened facilities to include aboveground facilities, cut-and-cover facilities, and deep underground tunnels. This capability does not exist anywhere else within the DoD and supports the counterproliferation pillar of the National Strategy to Combat WMD. Related funding for this project can be found in the WMD Defeat Technologies; 0602718BR, budget exhibit.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RR - Test Infrastructure	1.790	-	-
<b>Description:</b> Project RR provides a unique national test bed capability for simulated Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) facility characterization, weapon-target interaction, and WMD facility defeat testing to respond to operational needs by developing and maintaining test beds used by the Department of Defense (DoD), the Services, the Combatant Commanders, and other federal agencies to evaluate the implications of WMD, conventional, and other special weapon use against U.S. military or civilian systems and targets. Related funding for this project can be found in the WMD Defeat Technologies: 0602718BR, budget exhibit.			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Identified and purchased data acquisition systems in support of the tunnel U12u effort at Nevada National Security Site, NV.</li> <li>Performed test site remediation at various test beds and test articles on Chestnut Test Site, Kirtland AFB and White Sands Missile Range, NM.</li> <li>Procured instrumentation systems for DISTINCT DOLPHIN 2; structural and column collapse testing.</li> <li>Provided construction effort for DISTINCT FOX 2; steep slope attack testing.</li> <li>Invested in data acquisition systems and optics systems in support of DTRA RDT&amp;E test programs.</li> <li>Purchased Chemical/Biological sampler detector devices to support RDT&amp;E Chemical/Biological programs.</li> <li>Acquired instrumentation sequencer and timing and firing equipment to support DTRA RDT&amp;E test programs.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat R	Reduction Agency		DATE: February 2012					
	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferati - Proliferation, Prevention and Def		CT t Infrastructure					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013			
- Procured instrumentation for weapons effects phenomenology testing.								
	Accomplishments/Planned	l Programs Subtotals	1.790	-	-			
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) FY 2013	FY 2013 FY 2013			Cost To				
Line Item         FY 2011         FY 2012         Base           • 23/0602718BR: WMD Defeat         13.509         21.941         13.782           Technologies         13.509         21.941         13.782	OCO Total FY 201			7 <u>Complete</u> Continuing	Total Cost			
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A								
<ul> <li>E. Performance Metrics <ul> <li>Number of tests executed safely, i.e., no loss of life or limb, no unintenti</li> <li>FY11 – No safety issues/incidents during scheduled test events.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Number of tests that are evaluated through the milestone review proces 100% of all tests completing scheduled milestones.</li> <li>Number of tests that undergo environmental assessment consistent with All test executed undergo environmental review consistent with existing FY 10 - 125 Tests</li> <li>FY 11 - 123 Tests</li> </ul>	ss. th existing Environmental Impact St	atements.						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	hibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency										
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 3: Advanced Technology Devel	st & Evaluation		- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat					Technologie	S		
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RT: Target Assessment Technologies	35.047	33.493	31.298	-	31.298	31.883	32.743	33.413	34.139	Continuing	Continuing

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

For some hard and deeply buried targets, physical destruction is neither possible, nor practical, with current conventional weapons and employment techniques. It may be possible, however, to achieve target defeat objectives by denying or disrupting the mission or function of the target facility. Functional defeat, however, requires more information, more detailed analysis of the target. The functional defeat process includes finding and identifying a facility, characterizing its function and physical layout, determining its vulnerabilities to available weapons, planning and executing an attack, assessing damage, and if necessary, suppressing reconstitution efforts and re-attacking the facility. Target Assessment Technologies provides the Combatant Commands and the Intelligence Community with technologies and processes to find and characterize Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) targets located in underground facilities and then, in near-real-time, assess the results of attacks against those targets. Overall objectives are to develop new methodologies, processes and technologies for detecting, locating, identifying, physically and functionally characterizing, modeling, and assessing new and existing hard and deeply buried targets to support physical or functional defeat. Extending this activity and applying these processes to WMD time-dependent target characterization and threat analysis presents the next technical challenge. The Target Assessment Technologies project consists of three subordinate and related activities: (1) Targeting and Intelligence Community Technology Development; (2) Find, Characterize, Assess Technology Development; and (3) Counter-WMD Analysis Cell (C-WAC) Technology Support.

The decrease from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is predominately due to decreased investment in Counter-WMD Analysis Cell collaboration with the National Counterproliferation Center (NCPC) and the Intelligence Community.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RT: Target Assessment Technologies	35.047	33.493	31.298
<b>Description:</b> Project RT provides the Combatant Commands and the Intelligence Community with technologies and processes to find and characterize hard and deeply buried targets and then assess the results of attacks against those targets.			
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Added WMD systems and process characterization modeling and assessment capabilities to the Underground Targeting and Analysis System (UTAS) functionality for support of the COCOMs and Intelligence Community targeting and weaponeering requirements.</li> <li>Fully integrated models for analysis and assessment of weapons effects on WMD related equipment and systems into UTAS for use by the Intelligence Community.</li> <li>Continued target characterization training for the Underground Facility (UGF) and WMD target defeat communities.</li> <li>Designed, developed and tested on-node data fusion to enhance Integrated Sensor System (ISS) surveillance capabilities for support of Combatant Commands (COCOMs) and Intelligence Community target characterization and assessment needs.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Thr	eat Reduction Ager	псу				DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 3A 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 ITEM NO</b> PE 0603160B - Proliferation	R: Counterp	oroliferation I		PROJECT RT: Target A	Assessment	ent Technologies		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)					F	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
<ul> <li>Demonstrated Counter-WMD Analysis Cell (C-WAC) initial capabil development processes in response to COCOMs and Intelligence C</li> <li>Completed development of the fifth (of eleven planned) universal re properties associated with underground targets.</li> </ul>	community counter	WMD require	ements.		cal				
FY 2012 Plans: - Demonstrate Integrated Sensor System (ISS) sensor mission plant USNORTHCOM Rapid Reaction Tunnel Detection (R2TD) Joint Cor - Demonstrate Integrated Sensor System (ISS) sensor mission plant WMD Technologies Directorate's Integrated Technology Demonstrat - Develop and demonstrate C-WAC capability to perform strategic le Intelligence Community (IC) and COCOM. - Develop and demonstrate an UTAS version that combines building (COP) for support of IC and COCOM target analysis. - Demonstrate a UTAS version that integrates analysis of facilities a characterization of WMD targets. - Continue target characterization training for the UGF and WMD tar	ncept Technology D ning and data fusio ation 1 (ITD-1). evel analysis of advo gs, bunkers and tun nd WMD functional	Demonstration n capabilities ersary WMD nels into a c process mo	on (JĊTD). s as part of t programs ir ommon oper	ne DTRA C support of ating pictur	the e				
FY 2013 Plans: - Demonstrate the initial version of the ISS software suite in realistic - Validate C-WAC Nuclear Fuel Cycle model for support of COCOM - Demonstrate an intermediate analytical tool for the characterization of biological weapons (BW) by potential adversaries. - Deliver UTAS modeling capability for support of IC and COCOM ta - Continue target characterization technical training for the UGF and	and IC counter-WM n of dual-use techno arget network system	MD analysis. ologies relat ms analysis	ed to the pos and characte		opment				
	Accom	plishments	/Planned Pr	ograms Su	ıbtotals	35.047	33.493	31.29	
Line Item         FY 2011         FY 2012         E           • 23/0602718BR: WMD Defeat         0.845         0.000         0           Technologies         D. Acquisition Strategy         0         0	2013         FY 2013           Base         OCO           0.000         000	FY 2013 Total 0.000	<u>FY 2014</u> 0.000	<u>FY 2015</u> 0.000	<u>FY 2016</u> 0.000		Cost To Complete Continuing	Total Cos	
Not Applicable PE 0603160BR: <i>Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation,</i>	UNCLAS								

83

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat	Reduction Agency		DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT				
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	RT: Target	t Assessment Technologies			
E. Performance Metrics						
By the end of FY 2013, increase WMD target characterization capabilities assessment capabilities into the UTAS functionality.	lity through successful incorporation of WMD syste	ms and proc	ess characterization modeling and			
By the end of FY 2013, demonstrate capability to remotely determine	target geotechnical properties to within 35 percent	for use in U	TAS calculations.			
By the end of FY 2013, improve UTAS analysis of weapons effects or broader range of WMD-related equipment.	n WMD targets through integration of models for ar	alysis and a	essessment of weapons effects on			
By the end of FY 2013, demonstrate improved ISS on-node data fusion	on capability.					
By the end of FY 2013, improve WMD development analysis capability	ty through C-WAC modeling and analysis.					

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item J	ustification	: PB 2013 D	efense Thre	at Reduction	n Agency				DATE: Feb	uary 2012	
•	OPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY         Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Widdle         Development & Demonstration (SDD)         COST (\$ in Millions)         FY 2011       FY 2012         rogram Element       7.826       5.888         clear & Radiological Effects       7.826       5.888         sion Description and Budget Item Justification         Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) Toolset is the rea         A) chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and high eight ion support capabilities. The framework is the only oper services, and stand-alone mobile deployments which are         gram Change Summary (\$ in Millions)         Previous President's Budget         Current President's Budget         Total Adjustments         • Congressional General Reductions         • Congressional Directed Reductions				IOMENCLAT 0BR: <i>WMD L</i>						
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cos
Total Program Element	7.826	5.888	5.749	-	5.749	5.995	6.077	8.359	8.541	Continuing	Continuin
RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	7.826	5.888	5.749	-	5.749	5.995	6.077	8.359	8.541	Continuing	Continuin
web services, and stand-alone mo	bile deploym			and accred			y Internation		State, and		ies.
	•		7	.307	5.888		5.749		-	5.	749
•			7	826	5.888		5.749		-	5.	749
Total Adjustments			0.	519	-		-		-		-
Congressional Gen	eral Reducti	ons		-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Dire</li> </ul>	cted Reduct	ions		-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Res</li> </ul>			-0.	.603	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Add</li> </ul>				-	-						
Congressional Dire	cted Transfe	ers		-	-						
Reprogrammings				.330	-						
SBIR/STTR Transf	er			.163	-						
FFRDC Reduction	ion Doductio			.008	-		-		-		-
<ul> <li>Economic Assumption</li> </ul>		///	-0.	.037	-		-		-		

### **Change Summary Explanation**

The increase from the previous President's Budget submission in FY 2011 the net effect of the Congressional Rescission, the Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) reduction, the Economic Assumption reduction, and a transfer of funding from WMD Defeat Technologies; 0602718BR for increased investment in the Joint Collaborative Analysis Module of the Integrated Weapons of Mass Destruction Toolset (IWMDT).

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2013 Defer	nse Threat F	Reduction Ag	jency				DATE: February 2012			
0400: Research, Development, Test	APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 3A 5: Development & Demonstration (SDD)				IOMENCLAT 0BR: WMD L	-	PROJECT RL: Nuclear	JECT luclear & Radiological Effects				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	5.749	-	5.749	5.995	6.077	8.359	8.541	Continuing	Continuing			
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Net-Centric Architecture includes three functional areas: 1) Integrated Weapons of Mass Destruction Toolset (IWMDT), 2) IWMDT Codes, and 3) Software Assurance and Certification and Accreditation. The IWMDT functional area develops the architecture, defines and implements the standards to consolidate validated Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) tools, and through this architecture, enables rapid access for planning, emergency response, and assessment capabilities. These capabilities are used by a wide range of planners, managers, and operational and technical personnel facing the full spectrum of chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and high-yield explosives threats. The IWMDT Codes functional area develops analysis and simulation codes, and then integrates the codes into the IWMDT architecture. These efforts are unique to this effort across the Department of Defense (DoD) and directly supports analysis capabilities in the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Studies and Analysis Group, and Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (OSD CAPE), US Pacific Command and United States Forces Korea offices, Republic of Korea Ministry of Defense, Ministry of Defense Taiwan, as well as providing unique simulation capabilities to US Joint Forces Command and the Air Force Distributed Mission Operation Center. This sub-project extends research and development to system development and demonstration.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	7.826	5.888	5.749
<ul> <li>FY 2011 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Deployed IWMDT 3.2 as a common nuclear assessment capability to U.S. Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM), United Kingdom Ministry Of Defence (UK MOD) and Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE), providing the first true collaborative Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and High-yield Explosives (CBRNE) environment between the US and UK in accordance with 1959 International Memorandum Of Understanding.</li> <li>Enhanced implementation of Net Centric Enterprise Services messaging and collaboration for use across exercise and operational deployments.</li> <li>Enhanced the two primary capabilities in IWMDT 3.3 by integrating Hazard Prediction Assessment Capability (HPAC) 5.0 SP1 Maintenance build within the Consequence Assessment, and Integrated Munitions Effects Assessment (IMEA) 2010 within the Target Support area.</li> <li>Integrated IWMDT-SIM and Joint Collaborative Analysis Model (JCAM) into IWMDT 3.3 expanding the IWMDT capabilities areas through external systems integration using the web-services capabilities. Each new capability extends the DTRA legacy CBRNE tools to new training and operational user communities.</li> <li>Upgraded COE/NUCS STRATCOM nuclear data sets across the IWMDT framework providing more accurate and scaleable assessments for the nuclear community.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	tification: PB	2013 Defen	se Threat Re	eduction Age	ency				DATE: Feb	ruary 2012						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIN 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 5: Development & Demonstratio	t & Evaluation,	, Defense-W		<b>R-1 ITEM NO</b> PE 06050001			ilities	PROJECT RL: Nucle	<b>OJECT</b> : Nuclear & Radiological Effects							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	• •	•		(0040 1)					FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013					
<ul> <li>Migrated NUCS nuclear capabilitie planning and assessment tools.</li> </ul>	es into ivvivid i	3.2 and 3.3	enabling Fi	r 2012 depio	syment of the	e net-centric	based nucl	ear								
FY 2012 Plans: - Develop and provide an initial cyb - Integrate advanced capabilities w - Complete and release IWMDT fra	ithin the Net-C	entric Archite														
<b>FY 2013 Plans:</b> - Leverage the 4th Qtr FY11 and F <sup>*</sup> primary CBRNE assessment capat CBRNE capability across DTRA, S	oility within the	DTRA Read	hback and e	enabling it to	become the	single integ	rated asses									
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms S	ubtotals	7.826	5.888	5.74					
C. Other Program Funding Sumn	nary (\$ in Milli	ons)														
	•	<i>.</i>	<u>FY 2013</u>	FY 2013	<u>FY 2013</u>					<u>Cost To</u>						
Line Item	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	<b>Base</b>	000	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	-	6 <u>FY 2017</u>	Complete	Total Cos					
• 23/0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	15.891	25.343	25.752		25.752	23.904	25.202	25.53	9 25.964	Continuing	Continuin					
• 28/0603160BR: Proliferation, Prevention, and Defeat	2.661	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00	0 0.000	Continuing	Continuin					
D Acquisition Strategy																

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

The program for IWMDT is executed through a competed Cost Plus Fixed-Fee contract. This contract is a 3-year effort for software development, test, and integration. Follow-on contracts will be competed for award to continue any out-year activities.

#### E. Performance Metrics

Demonstrate and provide over 80% of the customer-required CBRNE modeling and simulation capabilities over networks, e.g. Department of Defense Global Information Grid.

Transform 100% of the validated mission-required legacy Defense Threat Reduction Agency CBRNE codes to a net-centric implementation in a process-controlled Verification, Validation, and Accreditation standards-based method.

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Pro	oject Cost	Analysis: PB 2013 [	Defense Th	reat Redu	ction Agen	су				DAT	E: Februar	y 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDO 0400: Research, Develop BA 5: Development & De	oment, Tes	t & Evaluation, Defen	se-Wide		<b>ITEM NON</b> 0605000BI		<b>URE</b> Defeat Capa	abilities	<b>PROJ</b> RL: Νι	ECT uclear & Ra	adiological	Effects	
Product Development (	\$ in Millio	ns)	ſ	FY 2	2012		2013 Ise	FY 20 OC		FY 2013 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
System Development - IWMDT	C/CPAF	SAIC:San Diego, CA	17.109	3.100	Jan 2012	-		-		-	14.510	34.719	37.949
System Development - NuCS	C/CPFF	Applied Research Associates:Raliegh, NC	4.930	-		-		-		-	0.000	4.930	6.300
System Development - COE	C/CPFF	Titan:Kingstowne, VA	5.535	-		-		-		-	0.000	5.535	7.100
System Development - Component Contracts	C/Various	Various:Various	5.073	-		-		-		-	0.000	5.073	6.800
		Subtotal	32.647	3.100		-		-		-	14.510	50.257	58.149
Support (\$ in Millions)	Contract		Total Drive	FY 2	2012		2013 Ise	FY 20 OC		FY 2013 Total			Terret
	Contract Method	Performing	Total Prior Years	FY 2	2012 Award	Ba	Award	OC	O Award	Total	Cost To		Target Value of
Cost Category Item	& Type	Activity & Location	Cost	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Date	Cost	Complete	Total Cost	Contract
Configuration Management	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	0.146	0.060	Jan 2012	0.095	Mar 2013	-		0.095	1.353	1.654	2.074
Software Integration	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	3.100	0.200	Jan 2012	2.510	Mar 2013	-		2.510	1.100	6.910	6.910
Technical Data	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	0.050	0.573	Jan 2012	0.050	Mar 2013	-		0.050	0.938	1.611	2.300
Engineering Services	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	1.464	0.503	Jan 2012	0.908	Mar 2013	-		0.908	0.786	3.661	3.727
Accreditation & Certification	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	0.146	0.420	Jan 2012	0.509	Mar 2013	-		0.509	0.983	2.058	2.058
		Subtotal	4.906	1.756		4.072		-		4.072	5.160	15.894	17.069
PE 0605000BR: <i>WMD De</i>	efeat Capa	bilities		U	NCLASS	IFIED							
Defense Threat Reduction					Page 4 c	of 7		R-1 Li	ine #121				88

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Pro	ject Cost	Analysis: PB 2013 D	efense Thr	eat Redu	ction Agen	су			DATE: February 2012				
APPROPRIATION/BUDO 0400: Research, Develop BA 5: Development & De	oment, Tes	t & Evaluation, Defen	se-Wide		<b>ITEM NON</b> 0605000BF		<b>URE</b> efeat Capa		<b>PROJECT</b> RL: <i>Nuclear &amp; Radiological Effects</i>				
Test and Evaluation (\$ i	in Millions	)		FY 2	2012	FY 2013 Base		FY 201 OCO		FY 2013 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	1.555	0.350	Jan 2012	0.505	Mar 2013	-		0.505	1.300	3.710	5.228
Operational Test & Evaluation	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	1.555	0.070	Jan 2012	0.398	Mar 2013	-		0.398	0.925	2.948	4.456
		Subtotal	3.110	0.420		0.903		-		0.903	2.225	6.658	9.684
Management Services (	Management Services (\$ in Millions)			FY 2	FY 2012		2013 se	FY 2013 OCO		FY 2013 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Management	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	2.296	0.132	Jan 2012	0.234	Mar 2013	-		0.234	2.100	4.762	5.278
Travel	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	1.070	0.240	Jan 2012	0.270	Mar 2013	-		0.270	1.300	2.880	3.530
Overhead	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	2.293	0.240	Jan 2012	0.270	Mar 2013	-		0.270	1.600	4.403	4.403
		Subtotal	5.659	0.612		0.774		-		0.774	5.000	12.045	13.211
	Т		Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2012	FY 2 Ba		FY 2 OC		FY 2013 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
		Project Cost Totals	46.322	5.888		5.749		-		5.749	26.895	84.854	98.113

#### Remarks

All "PY Costs" costs and activities for Integrated Weapons of Mass Destruction Toolset (IWMDT), Nuclear Capability Server (NuCS), and Consequence of Execution (COE) were assigned under Project BD of PE 0602716BR. IWMDT was funded in 2004 by a competitive CPAF contract for \$12.425M over a 3-year period. At end of FY 2006, its follow-on contract was awarded with an initial \$.300M increment. IWMDT program efforts have continued into FY 2011 with \$28.962M now applied. Likewise, the NuCS program was funded under a competitive CPFF contract over a 3-year period with funding of \$5.913M applied through FY 2008; a follow-on contract has now been awarded with initial funding to date of \$2.356M to continue program efforts, this effort is not funded past FY11 under this line. COE was funded under a competitive CPFF contract with increments to date of \$6.566M total. NUCS and COE will no longer be funded under this line. In CY 2012 IWMDT will be openly competed under the new DTRA ID/IQ for approx \$24.000M for FY2014-16.

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency									D	DATE: February 2012																									
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: Development & Demonstration (SDD)									<b>PROJECT</b> RL: <i>Nuclear &amp; Radiological Effects</i>																										
	FY 2011		FY 2011		FY 2011		FY 2011		FY 2011		FY 2011		FY 2011 F		Y 2011		FY 2012		Y 2012		FY 2013		FY 2014			FY 2015			FY 2016				FY 2017		,
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4							
IWMDT - System Development, Test, and Integration - Phase 2												·																							
IWMDT - System Development, Test, and Integration - Phase 3/4																																			
COE Integration - Phase 2																																			
NuCS - Spiral 2 Development																																			

hibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2013 Defense Threat R	eduction Agency			DATE: Febr	uary 2012
<b>PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 5: Development & Demonstration (SDD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCL PE 0605000BR: WML		ies RL: A	IECT luclear & Radiologi	cal Effects
	Schedule Details	5			
	Γ	Sta	rt		End
Events		Sta Quarter	rt Year	Quarter	End Year
<b>Events</b> IWMDT - System Development, Test, and Integration - Phase 2		r			1
	4	r	Year	Quarter	Year
IWMDT - System Development, Test, and Integration - Phase 2	 1	Quarter 1	<b>Year</b> 2011	Quarter 2	<b>Year</b> 2011

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency										DATE: February 2012			
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support					I <b>OMENCLA</b> 2BR: ` <i>Small</i>								
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
Total Program Element	7.888	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	7.888	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		

#### Note

\* Funding is not allocated until the year of execution. Program Element 0605502BR "Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR)" is used in reporting year-end actual expenses only.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The SBIR program provides the means for stimulating technological innovation in the private sector, strengthens the role of small business in meeting Department of Defense (DoD) research and development needs; fosters and encourages participation of minority and disadvantaged businesses in technological innovation; and increases the commercial application of DoD supported research and development results. These efforts are responsive to Public Law 106-554.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2011</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	-	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	7.888	-	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	7.888	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	7.888	-			

### **Change Summary Explanation**

Funding for the FY 2011 SBIR Program has been consolidated in this program element for execution.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat Reduction Agency DATE: February 2012												
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support					IOMENCLA 2BR: `Small	TURE Business In	PROJECT RA: Systen	ET ST Semiclearing and Innovation				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	7.888	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles												
Note												

\* Funding is not allocated until the year of execution. Program Element 0605502BR "Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR)" is used in reporting year-end actual expenses only.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project provides the means for stimulating technological innovation in the private sector, strengthens the role of small business in meeting the Department of Defense (DoD) research and development needs; fosters and encourages participation of minority and disadvantaged businesses in technological innovation; and increases the commercial application of the DoD supported research and development results. These efforts are responsive to Public Law 106-554.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	7.888	-	-
<b>Description:</b> This project provides the means for stimulating technological innovation in the private sector, strengthens the role of small business in meeting the Department of Defense (DoD) research and development needs; fosters and encourages participation of minority and disadvantaged businesses in technological innovation; and increases the commercial application of the DoD supported research and development results. These efforts are responsive to Public Law 106-554.			
FY 2011 Accomplishments: *** PLEASE ENTER TEXT ***			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotal	7.888	-	-
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable			
E. Performance Metrics Number of Phase I awards supporting innovative technology development.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Defense Threat I	DATE: February 2012					
<b>APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE</b> PE 0605502BR: `Small Business Innovation Research	<b>PROJECT</b> RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation				
Number of Phase II and III awards leading to technology transition.						

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK