

# Compressed Reapproval Process (CRP) or Corporate Facility Onsite Process (C-FOP) Evaluation Report

Company Name City, State

# **Onsite Evaluation Date**

Month – Start/End Dates, 20XX

### **VPP Evaluation Team**

Name, Title

Name, Title

Name, Title

Name, Title

Name, Title

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### I. Purpose and Scope of Review

An onsite review was conducted from **<Date>**, at the **<Organization>** in **<City, State>**. The purpose of the evaluation was to determine the site's **<eligibility or continued eligibility>** for site-based participation in the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's (OSHA) Voluntary Protection Programs (VPP). **<NOTE: If C-FOP add the following language "This onsite review was conducted using the Corporate Facility Onsite Process (C-FOP)".> The VPP Evaluation Team consisted of:** 

Name, Title, Office, City, State Name, Title, Office, City, State

#### II. Methods of Data Collection

The information for this report was obtained from the site's VPP Application, documentation reviewed onsite, interviews with employees, annual evaluations, and site walk-throughs of the facility.

#### III. Employees at the Worksite

There are **XXX**> employees working on site. In addition, there are **XXX**> contract employees onsite performing maintenance, capital projects, guard services, janitorial services, etc. Employees at the site are represented by the **cinsert union name(s) and local(s)**>. OR **Employees at the site are not represented by a collective bargaining agent.**> Formal interviews were conducted with **XXX** > site employees and **XXX**> contract employees. Informal interviews were conducted with **XXX**> site employees and **XXX**> contract employees.

#### IV. The Worksite

The site is properly classified under North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) code <XXXXXX>. Provide a description of the site, e.g., size, location, operation, buildings, etc. Describe the site's processes, productions, and applications. Housekeeping at the facility was considered by the OSHA VPP team to be please select one: poor, fair, good or excellent>.

#### V. Worksite Hazards

The hazards at the site include, but are not limited to <state hazards>. The site <does or does not> use chemicals considered to be highly hazardous and in sufficient quantity to place the site under the Process Safety Management (PSM) Standard.

#### VI. Injury and Illness Rates

The three-year Total Case Incidence Rate (TCIR) and Days Away/Restricted/Transferred Case Incidence Rate (DART) for the period <20XX-20XX> are <XX> and <XX>, respectively. The site TCIR is <XX%> <above/below>, and the DART is <XX%> <above/below> the 20XX BLS industry average for NAICS code <XXXXXXX> for 20XX.

**Team leader must verify** that a comparison has been conducted against the site's injury and illness rates reviewed during the evaluation and the site's injury and illness rates reported in their annual self evaluation.

Year	Hours	Total # of Cases	TCIR Rate	Number of Cases Involving Days Away from Work, Restricted Activity or Job Transfer	DART Rate
20xx					
20xx					
20xx					
Total					
Three-Year Rate	(20xx-2	0xx)			
BLS National Average for 20xx					
(NAICS XXXXXX					
20xx YTD					

#### VII. OSHA Activity

There has been no OSHA inspection activity or fatalities at this site within the past **<XX>** years. The site maintains an excellent relationship with their local OSHA Area and Regional offices.

## VIII. Elements of the VPP Review/Program Changes

Bullet summary information of VPP Elements with a reminder that all aspects of the safety and health management system meet the VPP requirements.

- ▶ Management Leadership, and Employee Involvement
- ▶ Worksite Analysis
- ▶ Hazard Prevention and Control
- ▶ Safety & Health Training

**For Reapproval evaluations>**, discuss significant program or site changes since the last visit. A bulleted list is acceptable.

#### IX. Areas of Excellence

All elements of the site's safety and health management system met the high quality expected of VPP participants (or describe the program requirements that you considered an area of excellence).

## X. Recommendation for Participation

The OSHA VPP Review Team recommends **<Site name**, **City**, **State>** be approved for participation in the OSHA VPP **<Star or Merit>** Program.

#### XI. Goals

- Merit goals (if relevant)
  1-Year Conditional goals (if relevant)
  Rate-reduction plan (if relevant)



# Corporate Facility Onsite Process (C-FOP) or Compressed Reapproval Process (CRP) Site Worksheet

Company Name City, State

**Onsite Evaluation Date** 

Month - Start/End Dates, 20xx

		A	How Assesse	
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
A. Written Safety & Health Management System				
A1. Is the written safety and health management system at least minimally effective to address the scope and complexity of worksite hazards? If not, please explain. MRO				
•				

		Hov Asse	v essed	
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
B. Management Commitment & Leadership				
B1. Does management overall demonstrate at least minimally effective, visible leadership with respect to the safety and health management system (as per FRN, VOL. 74, NO. 6, 01/09/09 page 936, IV. A.5. a-h)? Provide examples. MRO				

		Hov Asse	v essed	
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
C. Planning				
C1. For site-based construction sites, is safety included in the planning phase of each project? MRO  •				

		Hov	v	
		Asse	essed	
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement		Interview	Observation	Doc Review
D. Authority and Line Accountability			_	
D1. Does top management accept ultimate responsibility for safety and health? (Top management acknowledges ultimate responsibility even if some safety and health functions are delegated to others.) If not, please explain. MR $\otimes$				
•				
D2. Do the individuals assigned responsibility for safety and health have the authority to ensure that hazards are corrected or necessary changes to the safety and health management system are made? If not, please explain. MRO				
•				
D3. Are adequate resources (equipment, budget, or experts) dedicated to ensuring workplace safety and health? Provide examples. MRS				
•				
		How Asse		
	Yes or	iew	Observation	Ooc Review
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement	No	Interview	Obser	Doc R
E. Contract Employees				
E1. Does the site's contractor program cover the prompt correction and control of hazards in the event that the contractor/sub-contractor fails to correct or control such hazards? Provide examples. MRO  •				
E2. Based on your answers to the above item, is the contract oversight minimally effective for the nature of the site? (Inadequate oversight is indicated by significant hazards created by the contractor, employees exposed to hazards, or a lack of host audits.) If not, please explain. MRO				

			low ssess	sed	
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement	Yes or No	nterview		Observation	Doc Review
F. Employee Involvement			1		I
F1. Do employees support the site's participation in the VPP? MRO					
•				1	
F2. Do employees feel free to participate in the safety and health management system without fear of discrimination or reprisal? If so, please explain. MRO					
•					
			Hov Ass	w essed	
	Y	es	We	ation	Review
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement	N		Interview	Observation	Doc Re
G. Safety and Health Management System Evaluation					
G1. Does the annual evaluation cover the aspects of the safety and health management system, including the elements described in the <b>Federal Register</b> ? If not, please explain. <b>MR</b> $\otimes$					

<b>Section I: Man</b>	agement Leadership & Employee Involvement
Merit Goals:	(Include cross- reference to section, subsection, and question, e.g., I.B2)
1.	
2.	
90-Day Items:	(Delete this section for final transmittal to National Office)
70-Day Items.	(Betele this section for final transmittal to National Office)
1.	
2.	
Best Practices:	
1.	
1.	
2.	
<b>Comments including Recor</b>	nmendations (optional)
1.	
2.	
Δ.	
Documents Referenced, Pro	ograms Reviewed (optional):
2 ocumento referencea, i i	Brains rectioned (opinomis)
1.	
2.	

	How Assessed			
Section II: Worksite Analysis	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
A. Baseline Hazard Analysis				
A1. Has the site been at least minimally effective at identifying and documenting the common safety and health hazards associated with the site (such as those found in OSHA regulations, building standards, etc., and for which existing controls are well known)? If not, please explain. MRO				
•				
A2. Does the site have a documented sampling strategy used to identify health hazards and assess employees' exposure (including duration, route, and frequency of exposure), and the number of exposed employees? If not, please explain. MRO				
•				
		How Asse		
	Yes	W	ation	view
Section II: Worksite Analysis	or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
B. Hazard Analysis of Routine Activities				
B1. Is there at least a minimally effective hazard analysis system in place for routine operations and activities? MRO •				

		How Asse		
Section II: Worksite Analysis	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
C. Routine Inspections				
C1. Does the site have a minimally effective system for performing safety and health inspections (i.e., a minimally effective system identifies hazards associated with normal operations)? If not, please explain. MRO				
C2. Are routine safety and health inspections conducted monthly, with the entire site covered at least quarterly (construction sites: entire site weekly)? MRO				
C3. For site-based construction sites, are employees required to conduct inspections as often as necessary, but not less than weekly, of their workplace/area and of equipment? MRO				

		How Asse		
Section II: Worksite Analysis	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
D. Hazard Reporting				
D1. Is there a minimally effective means for employees to report hazards and have them addressed? If not, please explain. MRO				

		How Asse	essed	
Section II: Worksite Analysis	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
E. Hazard Tracking				
E1. Does a minimally effective hazard tracking system exist that result in hazards being controlled?  If not, please explain. MRO  •				
		How Asse		
Section II: Worksite Analysis	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
F. Accident/Incident Investigations				
F1. Is there a minimally effective system for conducting accident/incident investigations, including near-misses? If not, please explain. MRO  •				
		How Asse		
Section II: Worksite Analysis	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
G. Trend Analysis				
G1. Does the site have a minimally effective means for identifying and assessing trends? MRO •				

	Section II: Worksite Analysis				
Merit Goals	(Include cross- reference to section, subsection, and question, e.g., II.B2)				
1.					
2.					
2.					
90-Day Items	(Delete this section for final transmittal to National Office)				
1.					
2.					
Best Practices					
1.					
2.					
Comments including Re	ecommendations (optional)				
1.					
2.					
<b>Documents Referenced</b>	, Programs Reviewed (optional)				
1.					
2					

		How Assessed		
Section III: Hazard Prevention and Control	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
A. Hazard Prevention and Control				
A1. Does the site select at least minimally effective controls to prevent exposing employees to hazards? MRO				
A2. Does the site have minimally effective written procedures for emergencies? MRO  •				
A3. Is the site covered by the Process Safety Management standard (29 CFR 1910.119)? If yes, please answer questions A4-A7 below. Additionally, please complete either the onsite evaluation supplement A or B, and onsite evaluation supplement C. If not, skip to section B. MR $\otimes$				
• A4. Which chemicals that trigger the Process Safety Management (PSM) standard are present? MRO				
• A5. Which process(es) were followed from beginning to end and used to verify answers to the questions asked in the PSM application supplement, the PSM Questionnaire, and/or the Dynamic Inspection Priority Lists? MR© •				
A6. Verify that contractor employees who perform maintenance, repair, turnaround, major renovation or specialty work on or adjacent to a covered process have received adequate training and demonstrate appropriate knowledge of hazards associated with PSM, such as non-routine tasks, process hazards, hot work, emergency evacuation procedures, etc.? Please explain. MRO  •				
A7. Is the PSM program adequate in that it addresses the elements of the PSM standard and the PSM directive? Please explain. MRS				

			How ssesse	
Section III: Hazard Prevention and Control	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
B. Recordkeeping				
B1. Are OSHA required recordkeeping forms being maintained properly in terms of accuracy, form completion, etc.? If not, please explain. MR©				
B2. Is the recordkeeper knowledgeable of 29 CFR 1904, OSHA's recordkeeping standard? MRO				
C. Do the injury and illness rates accurately reflect work performed by contractors/sub-contractors at the site evaluated? MRO				

Se	ection III: Hazard Prevention and Control
Merit Goals	(Include cross reference to section, subsection, and question, e.g., I.B2)
1.	
2.	
90-Day Items	(Delete this section for final transmittal to National Office)
1.	
2.	
<b>Best Practices</b>	
1.	
1.	
2.	
Comments including R	ecommendations (optional)
1.	
2.	
Documents Referenced	, Programs Reviewed (optional)
	(
1.	
2	

		How Asse	v essed	
	Yes or No	nterview	bservation	oc Review
Section IV: Safety and Health Training		Int	o	Doc
A. Safety and Health Training				
A1. Does the training provided to managers, supervisors, and non-supervisory employees (including contract employees) adequately address safety and health hazards? MRO	ш			
• A2 D 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1 ' 1	ļ.,		Ι	
A2. Does the site provide minimally effective training to educate supervisors and employees (including contract employees) regarding the known hazards of the site and their controls? If not, please explain.  MRO				
•				

	Section IV: Safety and Health Training
Merit Goals	(Include cross reference to section, subsection, and question, e.g., I.B2)
1.	
2	
2.	
90-Day Items	(Delete this section for final transmittal to National Office)
o Day Items	(Deter in section for final transmitter to francolar Office)
1.	
2.	
<b>Best Practices</b>	
1.	
2.	
۷.	
<b>Comments including R</b>	Recommendations (optional)
1.	
2.	
۷.	
<b>Documents Referenced</b>	l, Programs Reviewed (optional)
2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2	
1.	

# **VPP Participant and Onsite Evaluation Team Data Sheet**

VPP Participant Information:							
Company Name:							
Site Address:							
Mailing Address:							
Site Manager Name:			-				
Site Manager Phone:			Site Manager E-mail Address:				
Small Employer (<250 employees	onsite Al	ND <500 employees co	orporate-wide: Yes No				
NAICS Code:		No. of site employees	ees: No. of site contract employees:				
Union Information							
Union Name & Local No.:							
Site Representative:							
Mailing Address:							
Telephone Number:							
Union Information							
Union Name & Local No.:							
Site Representative:							
Mailing Address:							
Telephone Number:							
Onsite Evaluation Team Information:							
Evaluation Start Date:			Evaluation	n End I	Date:		
Type of Visit: Initial Approval: Reevaluation:			Participation: Site-based: Mobile Workforce: Corporate:				
MAO Requested: Yes No MAO Rec'd Before Yes No				Date	MAO Rec'd:		
90/30 Day Items: Yes No			Date 90/30 Day Items Completed:				
Team Members			Discipline of Members				
Team Leader (TL): Back-Up Team Leader: Team Member 2: Team Member 3: Team Member 4: Team Member 5:			Team Leader: Back-Up Team Leader: Team Member 2/SGE: Team Member 3/SGE: Team Member 4/SGE: Team Member 5/SGE:				

# PARTICIPANT AREAS OF EXCELLENCE/BEST PRACTICES CHECKLIST

Ergo Program		Confined Space Program		LO/TO Program					
PSM		Hazard Analysis		Contractor Program					
Medical Program		Self-Inspections		Accountability					
Industrial Hygiene		Employee Involvement		Tracking of Hazards					
Pre-Job Analysis		Other:		•					
STRATEGIC PLAN									
		<b>High Hazard Industries</b>							
Landscaping – 078		Oil/Gas – 138		Fruits/Vegetables 203					
Concrete/Gypsum/Plaster – 327		Blast Furnace/Steel Production – 331		Ship/Boat Building/Repair - 373					
Wholesale Storage – 422									
		Hazards							
Ergo		Lead		Silica					
Amputations – Construction		Amputations – General Industry		•					

# VPP CORPORATE TRACKING

	Application Review	Onsite Prep	Onsite	Report Writing	Total
Team Hours Spent (Est)					