



Bureau of Justice Statistics

# Bulletin

December 2010, NCJ 231674

## Probation and Parole in the United States, 2009

Lauren E. Glaze and Thomas P. Bonczar  
BJS Statisticians  
Fan Zhang, BJS Intern

During 2009, the number of offenders under community supervision declined 0.9%, from 5,064,975 to 5,018,855 (figure 1; appendix table 1). This was the first decline observed in the community supervision population, including adults on probation or parole, since the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) Annual Probation Survey and Annual Parole Survey began in 1980.<sup>1</sup>


Probation is a court-ordered period of correctional supervision in the community, generally as an alternative to incarceration. In some cases, probation can be a combined sentence of incarceration followed by a period of community supervision. Parole is a period of conditional supervised release in the community following a prison term. It includes supervision following a discretionary or mandatory release from prison and other types of post-custody conditional supervision, such as a term of supervised release.

<sup>1</sup>See *Methodology* for a discussion of the probation and parole statistical series before 1980.

### Highlights

- During 2009, the number of offenders on probation or parole—community supervision population—declined (down 0.9%) for the first time since the BJS began its Annual Probation Survey and Annual Parole Survey in 1980.
- The probation population decreased by 0.9% during 2009 as probation entries declined (down 2.4%) and the number of probation exits exceeded entries by 33,900.
- The percentage of probationers who completed the terms of their supervision or were discharged early increased between 2008 (63%) and 2009 (65%), contributing to the decrease observed in the probation population.
- During 2009, the total parole population decreased by 0.7%. While the federal parole population increased by 5,232 during

**Figure 1.**  
Total adults under community supervision and on probation or parole, 2000-2009



Note: The scale along the vertical axis has been adjusted and the parole population is represented as 5 times its size to illustrate the change in each group over time. The reporting methods for some probation and parole agencies changed over time. See *Methodology*.

The data discussed in this report and additional 2009 data are available by jurisdiction in the appendix tables, following *Methodology*.

- 2009, this increase was offset by a decline of 10,758 in the state parole population.
- Parole entries decreased (down 1.2%) during 2009 and the number of parole exits exceeded entries by 5,200, leading to a decline in the total parole population.
- The percentage of parolees who completed the terms of their supervision or were discharged early rose between 2008 (49%) and 2009 (51%), contributing to the decrease observed in the total parole population.
- The rate of return to incarceration—based on all parolees who were at risk of violating the conditions of their supervision—declined between 2006 (15%) and 2009 (14%).

The decrease in the community supervision population resulted from decreases in both the probation (down 0.9%) and parole (down 0.7%) populations during the year. At yearend 2009 about 1 in every 47 adults in the United States were under community supervision, a decrease from about 1 in every 45 adults observed since 2004.

Most (87%) of the decrease (down 46,120) in the community supervision population during 2009 was attributed to the decline in the probation population. The parole population represented a smaller share (12%) of the decrease in the community supervision population.<sup>2</sup>

### Decline in probation population observed during 2009 as exits from probation exceeded entries

The probation population decreased by 40,079 probationers during 2009, from 4,244,046 to 4,203,967 (table 1; appendix table 2). Twenty-nine states reported decreases in their probation population in 2009, with a combined total decrease of 79,801. Washington (down 13,899), California (down 13,023), and Florida (down 11,319) reported decreases of 10,000 or more probationers during the year. These three states accounted for almost half of the total decrease in the probation population. The decline in the probation population during the year in those 29 states was partially offset by a combined total increase of 39,722 probationers in 21 states, the District of Columbia, and the federal system.

<sup>2</sup>A small number (less than 1%) of the community supervision population was known to be on both probation and parole, and the total community supervision population was adjusted to account for offenders with a dual supervision status. For this reason the amount of the decrease represented by probationers (87%) and parolees (12%) does not sum to 100%

Large decreases in some states were consistent with recent legislation passed, as in Washington, and court-ordered mandates, as in California, to address current budgetary constraints by reducing community supervision populations. Washington and California were required to reduce their community supervision populations, including both the probation and parole populations, by concentrating resources primarily on high-risk, violent offenders and reducing the number of nonviolent, low-risk offenders supervised.

The number of entries to probation declined for the second consecutive year. Between 2007 and 2008, entries declined by 23,000 (down 1.0%). The decline in entries was larger between 2008 and 2009 (down 55,700 or 2.4%). The decline in entries during 2009 contributed to the decrease in the probation population, as the number of exits from probation (2,347,500) exceeded the number of entries (2,313,600) for the first time since the Annual Probation Survey began in 1980 (table 2).<sup>3</sup>

### Rate at which probationers completed supervision rose during 2009, consistent with a trend observed since 2006

The exit rate of the at-risk probation population is defined as the ratio of the number of probationers who exited supervision during the year to the number of probationers who could have exited supervision at any point during the year (i.e., at-risk probation population).<sup>4</sup> The probation exit rate is a

<sup>3</sup>See *Methodology* for a discussion on entries and exits to probation and parole and changes in the number of offenders in these populations.

<sup>4</sup>The at-risk probation population is defined as the number of offenders on probation at some point during the year referenced, which is equivalent to the number under supervision at the start of the year (on January 1) plus the number that entered supervision during the year. See table 2 for the calculation of the exit rate for the at-risk probation population.

**TABLE 1.**  
Change in the number of probationers in selected jurisdictions, 2009

	Change in number	Percent of total change	Number of jurisdictions
<b>Total change</b>	-40,079	100 %	52
<b>Total change in jurisdictions with increases*</b>	39,722	100 %	23
<b>Total change in jurisdictions with decrease</b>	-79,801	100 %	29
Jurisdictions with decreases of 10,000 or more	-38,241	47.9	3
Washington	-13,899	17.4	1
California	-13,023	16.3	1
Florida	-11,319	14.2	1
Other jurisdictions with decreases	-41,560	52.1	26

Note: See appendix table 2 for the change in the number of probationers in all 52 jurisdictions.

\*Includes the District of Columbia and the federal system.

measure of how quickly the population turns over. A small increase in the exit rate of the at-risk probation population was observed between 2006 (34 per 100 probationers at risk of exiting) and 2009 (36 per 100).

The small increase in the exit rate was not related to an increase in the percentage of probationers who

were incarcerated because the percentage of probationers incarcerated declined between 2006 (18%) and 2009 (16%) (table 3). The increase in the exit rate between 2006 and 2009 was associated with an increase in the percentage of probationers who completed the terms of their supervision, through either completion of their full-term sentence or an early discharge (58% in 2006; 65% in 2009).

**TABLE 2.**  
**Estimated at-risk probation population, number of entries and exits, and exit rate per 100 probationers at risk of exiting, 2000-2009**

Year	At-risk probation population <sup>a</sup>	Probation entries	Probation exits	Exit rate per 100 probationers at risk of exiting <sup>b</sup>
2000	5,961,600	2,181,700	2,123,700	36
2001	5,965,200	2,139,000	2,025,600	34
2002	6,089,200	2,157,500	2,092,900	34
2003	6,282,200	2,258,100	2,208,200	35
2004	6,365,800	2,245,800	2,224,100	35
2005	6,400,300	2,256,500	2,238,300	35
2006	6,467,500	2,300,700	2,230,200	34
2007	6,607,700	2,392,300	2,315,800	35
2008	6,603,800	2,369,300	2,340,800	35
2009	6,557,600	2,313,600	2,347,500	36
Average annual percent change, 2000-08	:	1.0 %	1.2 %	:
Percent change, 2008-09	:	-2.4	0.3	:

Note: See *Methodology in Probation and Parole in the United States, 2008*, BJS Web, 8 December 2009 for a discussion about changes in estimating probation entries and exits from 2000-2008.

: Not calculated.

<sup>a</sup>Number of offenders on probation at some point during the year, which is equivalent to the number under supervision at the start of the year (on January 1) plus the number that entered supervision during the year.

<sup>b</sup>Calculated by dividing the number of estimated probation exits by the at-risk probation population and multiplying by 100.

**TABLE 3.**  
**Percent and estimated number of probationers who exited supervision, by type of exit, 2006-2009**

Type of exit	2006	2007	2008	2009
<b>Total</b>	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %
Completion	58 %	62 %	63 %	65 %
Incarceration <sup>a</sup>	18	16	17	16
Absconder	4	3	4	3
Discharged to custody, detainer, or warrant	1	1	1	1
Other unsatisfactory <sup>b</sup>	13	11	10	10
Transferred to another probation agency	1	1	1	--
Death	1	1	1	1
Other <sup>c</sup>	5	5	4	4
Estimated number	2,230,200	2,315,800	2,340,800	2,347,500

Note: Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. Distributions are based on probationers for which type of exit was known. For 2009 data by jurisdiction, see appendix table 4. See *Methodology in Probation and Parole in the United States, 2008*, BJS Web, 8 December 2009 for a discussion about changes in estimating probation exits from 2000-2008.

-- Less than 0.5%.

<sup>a</sup>Includes probationers who were incarcerated for a new offense, those who had their current probation sentence revoked (e.g. violating a condition of their sentence), and those incarcerated from unspecified reasons.

<sup>b</sup>Includes probationers discharged from supervision who failed to meet all conditions of supervision, including some with only financial conditions remaining, some who had their probation sentence revoked but were not incarcerated because their sentence was immediately reinstated, and other types of unsatisfactory exits; includes some early terminations and expirations of sentence.

<sup>c</sup>Includes probationers discharged through a legislative mandate, because they were deported or transferred to the jurisdiction of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), transferred to another state through an interstate compact agreement, had their sentence dismissed or overturned by the court through an appeal, had their sentence closed administratively, deferred, or terminated by the court, were awaiting a hearing, were released on bond, some who elected jail time in lieu of probation, and other types of exits.

To measure the rate at which all offenders on probation during the year could be incarcerated, the rate of incarceration of the at-risk population is defined as the ratio of the number of probationers who were discharged during the year as the result of incarceration to the number of probationers who could have been incarcerated at any point during the year (i.e., at risk of incarceration).<sup>5</sup> Since 2006, the rate of incarceration, including incarceration for a new offense, a revocation, or other reasons, of the at-risk probation population remained relatively stable (6.1% in 2006; 5.8% in 2009) (figure 2).

### Felony probation population increased between 2008 and 2009, reversing a declining trend observed over the first 8 years of the decade

Between 2008 and 2009 the number and percentage of probationers supervised for a felony increased. In 2008 an estimated 2,111,800 (49%) of probationers were supervised for a felony (appendix table 5). As the probation population declined during 2009, the estimated number (2,138,700) of felons on probation increased and accounted for a larger portion (51%) of the probation population at yearend 2009. The increase in the felony probation population observed between 2008 and 2009 reversed a declining trend observed between 2000 (52%) and 2008 (49%).

Consistent with the increase in the felony probation population between 2008 and 2009 was a small decrease in the percentage of probationers supervised for a misdemeanor (48% in 2008; 47% in 2009), reversing an increasing trend observed since 2000 (46%).


<sup>5</sup>See *Methodology* for a discussion of the at-risk measure of incarceration that is reported in figure 2 and the differences between this measure and the outcome measures, including the completion and incarcerated measures, based on the cohort exiting probation during each year, that are reported in table 3.

Another change in the composition of the probation population between 2008 (29%) and 2009 (26%) was a decrease in the percentage of drug offenders supervised on probation. Small increases were observed among property offenders between 2008 (25%) and 2009 (26%) and public-order offenders (17% in 2008; 18% in 2009). The percentage of violent offenders on probation remained unchanged between 2008 and 2009 (19% for both years).

### Decline in parole population observed in 2009 resulted from a decrease in state parole

The total parole population decreased (down 5,526) from 824,834 to 819,308 during 2009. The state parole population decreased (down 10,758) during 2009 and accounted for all of the decrease in the U.S. parole population (table 4). This was the second year in a row that the state parole population declined. The decrease in the state parole population was partially offset by an increase (up 5,232) in the federal parole population. This was the second consecutive year that the federal system reported the largest increase in the nation.

**Figure 2.**  
Estimated percent of the at-risk probation population incarcerated, 2000-2009



Note: See *Methodology* for a discussion about the at-risk measure of incarceration, including the method of estimation.

In 2009, 19 states reported decreases in their parole population, accounting for a total decrease of 29,488 parolees. California (down 19,923) and Washington (down 5,205) reported the largest decreases in the nation. These two states accounted for more than two-thirds of the total decrease in parolees, with California alone accounting for half of the total decrease. The decreases in the parole populations in California and Washington were consistent with the declines in the probation populations observed in these two states during the year and the recent court-ordered mandates and legislative changes discussed in the section *Decline in probation population observed during 2009 as exits from probation exceeded entries* on page 2.

More jurisdictions (33), including the federal system, reported increases in their parole population than decreases (19) during 2009. However, the combined total decrease (down 29,488) in the 19 jurisdictions that reported declines exceeded the combined total increase (up 18,730) in the 33 jurisdictions that reported increases, and the parole population decreased for the first time.

### Exits from parole exceeded entries during 2009, resulting in a decline in the parole population

The number of entries to parole declined by 7,100 during 2009, and the number of parole exits (579,100) exceeded entries (573,900), resulting in the decrease in the parole population during the last year (table 5). The decline in parole entries during 2009 was consistent with the decrease observed in the number of prisoners released from state or federal jurisdiction during the year, including a decrease in the number of prisoners conditionally released to community supervision. The decrease in the number of prisoners released during 2009 was the first decline observed in prison releases since 2000. (See *Prisoners in 2009*, BJS Web, December 2010.)

### Parole completion rate rose during 2009, continuing a trend observed since 2006

The exit rate of the at-risk parole population is defined as the ratio of the number of parolees who exited supervision during the year to the number of parolees who could have exited supervision at any point during the year (i.e., at-risk parole population).<sup>6</sup> Between 2008 and 2009 the exit rate of the

<sup>6</sup>The at-risk parole population is defined as the number of offenders on parole at some point during the year referenced, which is equivalent to the number under supervision at the start of the year (on January 1) plus the number that entered supervision during the year. See table 5 for the calculation of the exit rate for the at-risk parole population.

**TABLE 4.**  
Change in the number of parolees in selected jurisdictions, 2009

	Change in number	Percent of total change	Number of jurisdictions
<b>Total change</b>	-5,526	100 %	52
<b>Federal</b>	5,232	-94.7	1
<b>State*</b>	-10,758	194.7	51
<b>Total change in jurisdictions with increases*</b>	18,730	100 %	32
Jurisdictions with increases of 2,000 or more	6,687	35.7	3
Mississippi	2,504	13.4	1
Pennsylvania	2,161	11.5	1
Texas	2,022	10.8	1
Other jurisdictions with increases	12,043	64.3	29
<b>Total change in jurisdictions with decreases</b>	-29,488	100 %	19
Jurisdictions with decreases of 5,000 or more	-19,923	67.6	2
California	-14,718	49.9	1
Washington	-5,205	17.7	1
Other jurisdictions with decreases	-9,565	32.4	17

Note: See appendix table 12 for the change in the number of parolees in all 52 jurisdictions.

\*Includes the District of Columbia.

**TABLE 5.**  
Estimated at-risk parole population, number of entries and exits, and exit rate per 100 parolees at risk of exiting, 2000-2009

Year	At-risk parole population <sup>a</sup>	Parole entries	Parole exits	Exit rate per 100 parolees at risk of exiting <sup>b</sup>
2000	1,199,300	484,800	473,900	40
2001	1,212,000	488,100	479,200	40
2002	1,215,200	482,900	462,500	38
2003	1,258,000	507,100	486,100	39
2004	1,291,500	521,600	515,700	40
2005	1,302,300	530,400	517,900	40
2006	1,329,700	549,100	532,200	40
2007	1,368,900	569,000	543,600	40
2008	1,402,200	581,000	574,000	41
2009	1,398,700	573,900	579,100	41
Average annual percent change, 2000-08	:	2.3 %	2.4 %	:
Percent change, 2008-09	:	-1.2	0.9	:

Note: See *Methodology in Probation and Parole in the United States, 2008*, BJS Web, 8 December 2009 for a discussion about changes in estimating parole exits from 2000-2008.

: Not calculated.

<sup>a</sup>Number of offenders on parole at some point during the year, which is equivalent to the number under supervision at the start of the year (on January 1) plus the number that entered supervision during the year.

<sup>b</sup>Calculated by dividing the number of estimated parole exits by the at-risk parole population and multiplying by 100.

at-risk parole population remained stable (41 per 100 for both years).


While the parole exit rate remained stable during 2009, the percentage of parolees who completed supervision through either completion of their full-term sentence or an early discharge increased from 49% in 2008 to 51% in 2009 (table 6). The increase in the parole completion rate during 2009 continued a trend observed since 2006 (45%).

Since 2006 the rate of return to incarceration among all parolees who were at risk of violating the conditions of their supervision and being incarcerated declined from 15.4% in 2006 to 14.0% in 2009 (figure 3). The overall decline in the rate of return to incarceration among the at-risk parole population was attributed to small decreases in each of the types of return to incarceration between 2006 and 2009. The rate at which parolees were incarcerated as the result of a revocation (10.4% in 2006; 9.9% in 2009) and for a new sentence (4.4% in 2006; 3.6% in 2009) decreased by less than 1.0% (not shown).<sup>7</sup>

As the parole population declined during 2009, most of the characteristics of the parole population remained stable (appendix table 15). One change observed in the population during the last

<sup>7</sup>Details do not sum to the total rate of return to incarceration because parolees were also returned to incarceration for other reasons in both years (about 0.7% in 2006; 0.5% in 2009). See *Methodology* for a discussion of the at-risk measure of incarceration that is reported in figure 3 and the differences between this measure and the outcome measures, including the completion and incarcerated measures, based on the cohort exiting parole during each year, that are reported in table 6.

**Figure 3.**  
Estimated percent of the at-risk parole population returned to incarceration, 2000-2009



Note: See *Methodology* for a discussion about the at-risk measure of returned incarceration, including the method of estimation.

year was a small increase in the percentage of parolees supervised for a violent offense in 2009 (27%) compared to 2008 (26%). Drug offenders represented a slightly smaller percentage of the parole population in 2009 (36%) compared to 2008 (37%), while other offense types remained relatively unchanged.

**TABLE 6.**  
Percent and estimated number of parolees who exited supervision, by type of exit, 2006-2009

Type of exit	2006	2007	2008	2009
<b>Total</b>	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %
Completion	45 %	46 %	49 %	51 %
Incarcerated	38	38	36	34
With new sentence	11	10	9	9
With revocation	26	27	25	24
Other/unknown	2	1	1	1
Absconder	11	11	11	9
Other unsatisfactory <sup>a</sup>	2	2	2	2
Transferred to another state	1	1	1	1
Death	1	1	1	1
Other <sup>b</sup>	3	2	1	3
Estimated number	532,200	543,600	574,000	579,100

Note: Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. Distributions are based on parolees for which type of exit was known. For 2009 data by jurisdiction, see appendix table 14. See *Methodology* in *Probation and Parole in the United States, 2008*, BJS Web, 8 December 2009 for a discussion about changes in estimating parole exits from 2000-2008.

<sup>a</sup>Includes parolees discharged from supervision who failed to meet all conditions of supervision, had their parole sentence rescinded, or had their parole sentence revoked but were not returned to incarceration because their sentence was immediately reinstated, and other types of unsatisfactory exits; includes some early terminations and expirations of sentence.

<sup>b</sup>Includes parolees who were deported or transferred to the jurisdiction of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), had their sentence terminated by the court through an appeal, were transferred to another state through an interstate compact agreement or discharged to probation supervision, and other types of exits.

---

## Methodology

The Bureau of Justice Statistics's (BJS) Annual Probation Survey and Annual Parole Survey began in 1980. The National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, BJS's predecessor agency, began a statistical series on parole in 1976 and probation in 1979.

The two surveys collect data on the total number of adults supervised in the community on January 1 and December 31 each year and data on the number of adults who enter and exit supervision during each year. Both surveys cover all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the federal system. BJS depends entirely on the voluntary participation of state central reporters and separate state, county, and court agencies for its annual data on probation and parole.

In 2009 the U.S. Census Bureau served as BJS's collection agent for the 50 states and the District of Columbia. Data for the federal system were provided directly to BJS through the BJS Federal Justice Statistics Program, which obtained data directly from the Office of Probation and Pretrial Services, Administrative Office of the United States Courts.

### *Probation*

The 2009 Annual Probation Survey was sent to 466 respondents: 33 central state reporters; 431 separate state, county, or court agencies; the District of Columbia; and the federal system. States with multiple reporters were Alabama (3), Arizona (2), Colorado (8), Florida (41), Georgia (2), Idaho (2), Kentucky (3), Michigan (134), Missouri (2), Montana (4), New Mexico (2), Ohio (187), Oklahoma (3), Pennsylvania (2), Tennessee (3), Washington (31), and West Virginia (2). One local probation agency in Washington closed during 2009.

### *Parole*

The 2009 Annual Parole Survey was sent to 55 respondents: 50 central state reporters, the California Youth Authority; one municipal agency in Alabama; the state agency in Pennsylvania, which also provided county data; and the federal system. States with multiple reporters were Alabama (2), California (2), and Pennsylvania (2).

Federal parole (as defined here) includes a term of supervised release from prison, mandatory release, parole, military parole, and special parole. Definitional differences exist between parole reported here and in other BJS data series.

Additional information about the data collection instruments is available on the BJS Website at <<http://bjs.ojp.usdoj.gov>>.

### *Updating probation and parole population counts each year*

Some states update their probation and parole population counts for different reasons after submitting their data to BJS. Updated population counts usually include data that were not entered into the information system before the survey was submitted or data that were not fully processed by yearend. For these reasons, the population counts on December 31 for years ending 2000 to 2008 are based on the January 1 counts for the next reporting year. Population counts for yearend 2009 are based on December 31, 2009, data.

### *Changes in reporting methods among probation agencies within certain jurisdictions from 2000 to 2009*

Ten reporting agencies in separate jurisdictions changed their methods of reporting probation data between 2000 and 2009. These changes included administrative changes, such as consolidating databases or implementing new information systems, resulting in data review and cleanup; reconciling probationer records; reclassifying offenders, including those on probation to parole and offenders on dual community supervision statuses; and including certain probation populations that were not previously reported.

Combined, changes in population and changes due to new reporting methods for these 10 jurisdictions accounted for about 220,100 additional probationers between 2000 and 2009, representing approximately 58% of the total change (377,800) in the nation's probation population during this period. Based on the information provided, BJS could not break out precisely the amount of change in the probation population attributable to a change in the population itself versus a change in reporting methods.

See *Explanatory notes* for a discussion about the reporting changes since 2000 in the following ten jurisdictions: Alabama, Colorado, District of Columbia, Georgia, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Mexico, New York, Pennsylvania, and Washington.

---

*Changes in reporting methods among parole agencies within certain jurisdictions from 2000 to 2009*

Reporting agencies in seven jurisdictions changed their methods of reporting parole data between 2000 and 2009. The reasons for changing their methods of reporting parole data were the same as for probation data—administrative changes, reclassification of offenders, and the addition of certain parole populations not previously reported, which can result from new, enhanced information systems that improve the tracking of all types of parolees.

Combined, changes in population and changes due to new reporting methods in these seven states accounted for about 4,900 additional parolees between 2000 and 2009, representing approximately 5% of the total increase (95,410) in the nation's parole population during this period.

See *Explanatory notes* for a discussion about the reporting changes since 2000 in the following seven jurisdictions: Alabama, Alaska, Montana, New Mexico, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and Washington.

*Imputing entries and exits for nonreporting agencies in 2009*

BJS used one of four methods to impute probation entries for nonreporting agencies, based on the availability of data, and a single method to impute exits.

The first method was used to estimate entries and exits for probation agencies that were unable to report these data in 2009 but were able to report these data in 2008. BJS estimated probation entries in 2009 by using the ratio of entries in 2008 to the agency's probation population on January 1, 2008 and applying that ratio to the agency's January 1, 2009 population. BJS estimated exits from probation by adding the agency's estimated probation entries in 2009 to the agency's probation population on January 1, 2009, and subtracting that estimate from the probation population on December 31, 2009. These methods were used to estimate probation entries and exits in nonreporting county and district agencies in Florida, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, and Washington.

A second method was used to estimate probation entries for agencies that were unable to report entries and exits in both 2008 and 2009. The ratio of 2009 entries to the January 1, 2009 population among reporting agencies in the same state was used to estimate the number of entries for nonreporting agencies with similar numbers of probationers. To estimate probation exits for these agencies, BJS used the same estimation method as described in the previous paragraph. These methods were used to estimate probation entries and

exits for nonreporting county and district agencies in Colorado, Florida, Michigan, Ohio, and Washington.

A third method was used to estimate probation entries for one state agency in West Virginia, which only reported interstate compact data. BJS estimated the number of entries for this agency by using the ratio of 2009 entries to the January 1, 2009 probation population among reporting agencies within the same region (South). To estimate probation exits for this agency, BJS used the same estimation method as described above.

Fourth, to estimate entries to and exits from probation and parole supervision in Pennsylvania counties, BJS used additional data from Pennsylvania's *County Adult Probation and Parole, Annual Statistical Report, 2009*, including the number of combined county probation and parole entries and exits by county. Using this additional information, the 2009 probation and parole entries and exits in Pennsylvania counties were estimated in two steps and the methodology was provided to the Pennsylvania respondent for review.

Sixty of the sixty-five counties in Pennsylvania were able to provide combined probation and parole entries and exits to the Pennsylvania county respondent during 2009. In the first estimation step, data for the five nonreporting counties were estimated; the method that was used depended on the availability of data.

To estimate the 2009 data for two of the nonreporting counties, the first method discussed in this section was applied to either the 2007 or 2008 data provided by those counties, depending on the availability of data. For the fourth nonreporting county, which also could not provide 2008 data, exits were estimated based on the ratio of 2007 exits to this county's December 31, 2007 community supervision population and was applied to the county's December 31, 2009 population to estimate exits during 2009. Using the ratio of 2007 entries to the county's January 1, 2007 population and applying it to the county's January 1, 2009 population would have yielded a negative number of entries given the increase (52% or 50 additional offenders) in this county's community supervision population during 2009. To estimate entries in this county, the county's total community supervision population on December 31, 2009 was added to the estimated number of exits, then the county's total community supervision population on January 1, 2009 was subtracted from that sum. For the last nonreporting county, entries and exits were estimated based on data provided by other counties in Pennsylvania that had a similar number of probationers and parolees and also had a similar increase in their



combined probation and parole population during 2009. The estimates of probation and parole entries and exits for these counties were added to the combined probation and parole entries and exits for the other 60 counties, yielding a total number of probation and parole entries and exits for all 65 counties.

In the second estimation step, the total number of probation and parole entries and exits for all 65 counties were estimated separately. The Pennsylvania respondent was able to provide separate January 1, 2009 and December 31, 2009 counts of county probationers and county parolees. The percentage of the total combined probation and parole population on January 1, 2009 attributable to probation only was applied to the total number of combined probation and parole entries in the 65 counties during 2009 to estimate the number of entries to probation. The residual was used to estimate the number of entries to parole during 2009. Probation exits were estimated by adding the estimated 2009 county probation entries to the January 1, 2009 county probation population and subtracting the December 31, 2009 county probation population. County parole exits were estimated using the same method.

#### *Changes in estimating Pennsylvania county and national entries and exits from 2000 to 2007*

See *Methodology in Probation and Parole in the United States, 2008*, BJS Web, 8 December 2009, for a discussion of the changes in estimating probation and parole entries and exits from 2000 through 2007 that were implemented in 2008. The estimation method changed in 2008 because the Pennsylvania county respondent was able to provide BJS with additional information to impute probation and parole entries and exits for Pennsylvania counties. Consequently, in 2008, the national estimates of probation and parole entries and exits from 2000 to 2007 were re-estimated to account for the change in the Pennsylvania estimation method and to ensure that the 2000 through 2007 national estimates were comparable with the 2008 estimates. The 2009 national and Pennsylvania county estimates of probation and parole entries and exits are comparable to the estimates published in *Probation and Parole in the United States, 2008*, BJS Web, 8 December 2009.

#### *Community supervision outcome measures*

**Outcome measures based on exiting cohort.** Historically, BJS has reported the percentage of offenders who completed supervision and the percentage of offenders who were incarcerated, among all offenders who exited supervision during the year, as the community supervision outcome measures.

Because these outcome measures are based on the number of offenders exiting supervision (i.e., the exiting cohort) within the reference year, they are based on a cohort that comprises different types of offenders, including those who completed the terms of their supervision or received an early discharge; were incarcerated again either for a new offense, a revocation, or other reasons; died; or were discharged for other reasons.

The percentage of offenders who completed supervision is defined as the number of offenders that completed supervision during the year and were discharged, among all offenders who were discharged from supervision during the year. The formula used to calculate this outcome measure is  $C_{(t)}/D_{(t)}$ , where  $D_{(t)} = C_{(t)} + I_{(t)} + O_{(t)}$ . Within this formula,  $t$  equals the year referenced,  $C_{(t)}$  equals the number of offenders who were discharged from supervision during the year after completing their terms or who received an early discharge, and  $D_{(t)}$  equals the total number of offenders discharged from supervision during the year.  $D_{(t)}$  includes  $C_{(t)}$ , the number of offenders who completed supervision;  $I_{(t)}$ , the number who were incarcerated during the year; and  $O_{(t)}$ , the number who were discharged during the year for other reasons.

The percentage of offenders incarcerated is defined as the number of offenders who were discharged from supervision during the year as the result of being incarcerated, among all offenders who were discharged during the year. The formula used to calculate this outcome measure is  $I_{(t)}/D_{(t)}$ , where  $D_{(t)} = C_{(t)} + I_{(t)} + O_{(t)}$ . Within this formula,  $t$  equals the reference year;  $I_{(t)}$  equals the number of offenders that were discharged during the year as the result of an incarceration for a new offense, a revocation, or other reasons; and  $D_{(t)}$  equals the total number of offenders that were discharged from supervision during the year defined as in the paragraph above.

#### **Outcome measure based on at-risk population.**

The rate of incarceration (for parolees this is also referred to as the “rate of return to incarceration”) based on the at-risk offender population is defined as the ratio of the number of offenders that were discharged from supervision during the year because they were incarcerated for a new offense, a revocation, or other reasons, to the number of all offenders at risk of being incarcerated during the year. The at-risk population is defined as the number of offenders under supervision at the start of the year (on January 1) plus all offenders who entered supervision during the year. All of these offenders could be incarcerated at any time during the year; hence, they were at risk of incarceration. The for-

mula used to calculate this outcome measure is  $I_{(t)}/(P_{(t-1)} + E_{(t)})$ , where  $t$  equals the year referenced,  $P_{(t-1)}$  equals the start of the year population, and  $E_{(t)}$  equals the number of offenders that entered supervision during the year.

There are distinct differences between the rate of incarceration measure based on the at-risk population and the discharge-based outcome measures. First, because both the discharge-based completion and incarcerated outcome measures are based on the exiting cohort, the two measures include a population (i.e., denominator) that has different risk periods. For example, the exiting cohort includes offenders who exited after completing their supervision, which can only be achieved after a certain period of time (i.e., after an offender serves a specified amount of time under supervision and/or fulfills specific conditions of their supervision), as well as offenders who were incarcerated during the year, which can occur at any point while an offender is under supervision. The at-risk measure of incarceration accounts for all offenders under supervision during the year (i.e., offenders who were under supervision on January 1 plus those who entered during the year), who are the offenders “at risk” of being incarcerated; this measure is not limited to only offenders who were discharged during the year. Second, specifically in comparison to the discharge-based completion rate, the at-risk measure of incarceration allows that each offender can be incarcerated at any time during the year.

A nonincarceration measure, which can also be interpreted as a nonfailure measure, based on the at-risk population can be calculated using the formula  $1 - [I_{(t)}/(P_{(t-1)} + E_{(t)})]$ , where  $I_{(t)}/(P_{(t-1)} + E_{(t)})$ . This is the rate of incarceration among the at-risk population subtracted from 1. The nonincarceration rate includes offenders who were still under supervision at the end of the year (i.e., did not fail as the result of an incarceration) and offenders who were discharged during the year for reasons other than incarceration, including offenders who completed the terms of their supervision or received an early discharge.

*Estimating the national total of offenders under community supervision incarcerated annually to calculate the national rate of incarceration among the at-risk population*

BJS defines the rate of incarceration for probationers and parolees as the ratio of the number of offenders who were discharged from supervision during the year because they were incarcerated to the number of offenders at-risk of incarceration. The number at-risk of incarceration is the sum of the number of offenders on probation or parole at

the start of the year plus the number that entered supervision during the year. See the section *Community supervision outcome measures, Outcome measure based on at-risk population* above for more details.

To generate estimates for the numerator of this ratio, post-stratification weighting methods were used to weight reporting jurisdictions’ data on type of exit (i.e., incarceration). The first weight was defined as the ratio of each jurisdiction’s proportionate contribution to the national total of known reported exits, which included all types of exits except those reported as unknown type, to the jurisdiction’s contribution to the national total of all reported exits, which included all types of exits including those reported as unknown type. This weighted total was then weighted up to the BJS total of imputed exits; total exits were estimated for jurisdictions, or any reporting agency within a jurisdiction, that were not able to report total exits. See the section *Imputing entries and exits for nonreporting agencies in 2009* for more details. The second weight was defined as the ratio of each jurisdiction’s weighted total of known reported exits to the jurisdiction’s total imputed exits, which was equal to the number of total reported exits within the jurisdiction if total exits were not missing.

The denominator of the rate of incarceration ratio included estimates generated by BJS for jurisdictions, or any reporting agency within a jurisdiction, that were not able to report total entries during the year. The method used to generate the estimates is described in this methodology in the section *Imputing entries and exits for nonreporting agencies in 2009*.

*Estimating national change in entries and exits and the nation’s probation and parole populations*

Technically, the change in the probation and parole populations from the beginning of the year to the end of the year should equal the difference between entries and exits during the year. However, those numbers may not be equal. Some probation and parole information systems track the number of cases that enter and exit community supervision, not the number of offenders. This means that entries and exits may include case counts as opposed to counts of offenders, while the beginning and yearend population counts represent individuals. Additionally, all the data on entries and exits may not have been logged into the information systems or the information systems may not have fully processed all of the data before the data were submitted to BJS.

---

*Estimating 2007 and 2008 community supervision and prison data for nonreporting jurisdictions*

In 2007 Oklahoma could not provide community supervision data. Community supervision data for Oklahoma were estimated by BJS. See *Probation and Parole in the United States, 2007—Statistical Tables*, BJS Web, 11 December 2008. Nevada could not provide prison data for 2007, so BJS estimated prison data for Nevada. See *Prisoners in 2007*, BJS Web, 11 December 2008. Virginia could not provide parole data for January 1, 2008, although Virginia did provide parole data for December 31, 2008. BJS estimated Virginia's January 1, 2008 parole population. See *Probation and Parole in the United States, 2008*, BJS Web, 8 December 2009.

*Estimating the adult resident population*

The U.S. Census Bureau provided BJS with preliminary estimates of the adult resident population in each state on January 1, 2010.

*Other available information*

Detailed information for 2009 is available in appendix tables 1 to 22. The 2009 appendix tables are in alphabetical order; region totals appear at the bottom of the appendix tables. Specific jurisdictions per region are listed below:

**Northeast**—Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont.

**Midwest**—Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin.

**South**—Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia.

**West**—Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

*Explanatory notes* for probation and parole are also available and appear after the appendix tables.

## Appendix Tables

### *Community supervision*

**Appendix Table 1.** Adults under community supervision, 2009

### *Probation*

**Appendix Table 2.** Adults on probation, 2009

**Appendix Table 3.** Adults entering probation, by type of sentence, 2009

**Appendix Table 4.** Adults exiting probation, by type of exit, 2009

**Appendix Table 5.** Characteristics of adults on probation, 2000, 2008–2009

**Appendix Table 6.** Adults on probation, by sex, 2009

**Appendix Table 7.** Adults on probation, by race and Hispanic or Latino origin, 2009

**Appendix Table 8.** Adults on probation, by status of supervision, 2009

**Appendix Table 9.** Adults on probation, by type of offense, 2009

**Appendix Table 10.** Adults on probation, by most serious offense, 2009

**Appendix Table 11.** Adults on probation, 2009: number tracked by a Global Positioning System (GPS), number on parole, or number incarcerated,

### *Parole*

**Appendix Table 12.** Adults on parole, 2009

**Appendix Table 13.** Adults entering parole, by type of sentence, 2009

**Appendix Table 14.** Adults exiting parole, by type of exit, 2009

**Appendix Table 15.** Characteristics of adults on parole, 2000, 2008–2009

**Appendix Table 16.** Adults on parole, by sex, 2009

**Appendix Table 17.** Adults on parole, by race and Hispanic or Latino origin, 2009

**Appendix Table 18.** Adults on parole, by status of supervision, 2009

**Appendix Table 19.** Adults on parole, by maximum sentence to incarceration, 2009

**Appendix Table 20.** Adults on parole, by most serious offense, 2009

**Appendix Table 21.** Adults on parole, by type of release from prison, 2009

**Appendix Table 22.** Adults on parole, 2009: number tracked by a Global Positioning System (GPS), number on probation, or number incarcerated,

## Probation: Explanatory notes

**Federal**—data for the federal system were provided to BJS through the BJS Federal Justice Statistics Program (FJSP), which obtained data directly from the Office of Probation and Pretrial Services, administrative Office of the United States Courts.

**Alabama**—has three reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 90% of Alabama's total probation population, and two local agencies. Alabama's total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 2,483 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Alabama's state agency changed its method of reporting probation data beginning with its January 1, 2006 population by including certain probationers in the population whose status had been classified as other than a probationer in prior years. The reporting change resulted in a difference of about 9,600 additional probationers in Alabama's total population reported between December 31, 2005 (38,995) and January 1, 2006 (48,607). The total change in Alabama's probation population was an increase of about 9,800 probationers between 2000 and 2009.

**Alaska**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 excludes an unknown number of probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8).

**Arizona**—has two reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 97% of Arizona's total probation population, and one local agency. Arizona's total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional estimated 1,316 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

---

**Colorado**—has eight reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 87% of Colorado’s total probation population, and seven local agencies. Due to changes in reporting, probation data reported by Colorado’s state agency in 2009 may not be comparable to data reported by this agency in previous years (appendix table 2). See *Reporting changes since 2000* below.

Colorado’s total probation population on December 31, 2009 excludes 35 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an additional estimated 1,066 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Colorado’s state agency changed its method of reporting probation data beginning with its January 1, 2009 population. This reporting change resulted from the reconciliation of probation records, including converting case records to individual records for some newly admitted probationers, and eliminating records for some probationers who had their supervision terminated through a drug court. The reporting change resulted in a reduction of about 14,789 probationers in Colorado’s total population reported between December 31, 2008 (88,912) and January 1, 2009 (74,123). The total change in Colorado’s probation population was about 27,700 additional probationers between 2000 and 2009.

**Connecticut**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an estimated additional 1,023 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Delaware**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 755 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**District of Columbia**—some of the increase (up 16.2% or 1,249 probationers) in the District of Columbia’s probation population during 2009 was associated with a slowing rate of discharge. For example, more probationers had their term extended due to non-compliant behavior, such as not fulfilling all sentence conditions. This resulted in fewer probationers discharged compared to the number that entered supervision during the year, which contributed to the increase during 2009 (appendix table 2).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—District of Columbia changed its method of reporting probationers

beginning with its January 1, 2008 population, because probationers who were on active supervision and awaiting approval for a transfer through an interstate compact agreement were excluded from the prior years’ data. The reporting change resulted in a difference of nearly 1,600 additional probationers between the December 31, 2007 (6,485) and January 1, 2008 (8,073) populations reported by the District of Columbia. The total change in the District of Columbia’s probation population between 2000 and 2009 was a decline of about 1,700 probationers.

**Florida**—has 41 reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 66% of Florida’s total probation population, and 40 local agencies. Florida’s total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 378 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Georgia**—has two state reporting agencies. One agency reported probationers under the jurisdiction of the state, representing 39% of Georgia’s total probation population. The second agency reported probationers under the jurisdiction of the counties, including county probationers who were under supervision for a misdemeanor and supervised by private probation agencies. The county probation population represented 61% of Georgia’s total probation population.

Because the agency that reports the county data has the capacity to report probation cases and not the number of individuals under supervision, the counts may overstate the number of individuals under probation supervision in Georgia. Probationers with multiple sentences could potentially have one or more cases with one or more private probation agencies in one jurisdiction and/or one or more private probation agencies within another jurisdiction.

Additionally, as part of continued effort to enhance reporting methods, this Georgia agency changed its method of reporting probation data in 2009. See *Reporting changes since 2000* below. For this reason, data are not comparable to the data reported by Georgia in prior years (appendix table 2).

Georgia’s total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional unknown number of probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Georgia’s state agency that provides misdemeanor data for proba-

tioners supervised by private agencies changed its reporting methods beginning with its January 1, 2007 population, when it expanded coverage. The reporting change resulted in a difference of about 9,600 additional probationers in Georgia's total population reported between December 31, 2006 (422,790) and January 1, 2007 (432,436).

The same agency experienced another reporting change beginning with its January 1, 2008 population when it excluded probationers under supervision for a minor traffic citation. This reporting change resulted in a decline of nearly 56,200 probationers in Georgia's total population reported between December 31, 2007 (435,361) and January 1, 2008 (379,204).

In 2009, as part of continued effort to enhance reporting methods, this state agency experienced another reporting change for reasons similar to those explained for the 2008 change. The 2009 reporting change resulted in a decline of 7,100 probationers in Georgia's total probation population reported between December 31, 2008 (397,081) and January 1, 2009 (389,901). The total change in Georgia's probation population was about 71,300 additional probationers between 2000 and 2009.

**Hawaii**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 174 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Idaho**—has two state reporting agencies. One agency reported probationers under the jurisdiction of the state, representing 24% of Idaho's total probation population. The second agency reported probationers under the jurisdiction of the counties and under supervision for a misdemeanor. The county probation population represented 76% of Idaho's total probation population.

Additionally, this Idaho agency only has the capacity to report the number of probationers who entered county supervision for a misdemeanor during 2009. The respondent was able to provide an estimate of time served on misdemeanor probation within the counties, which was estimated at one year or less. With this additional information and through additional correspondence with the respondent, the December 31, 2009 population was estimated based on the total number of probationers who entered county supervision for a misdemeanor during 2008. Exits from county misdemeanor probation during 2009 were based on the January 1, 2009 population or the number of probationers who entered county supervision for a mis-

demeanor during 2008 (appendix table 2). Idaho's total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 441 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

About 55% of the probationers who were under county supervision for a misdemeanor were on inactive supervision and were not required to regularly report to a probation authority in person, by mail, or by telephone (appendix table 8).

**Illinois**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional unknown number of probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Indiana**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 excludes an unknown number of probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2, 8).

**Iowa**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 excludes 744 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8).

**Kansas**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 400 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Kentucky**—has three reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 56% of Kentucky's total probation population, and two local agencies. Kentucky's total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 1,779 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Louisiana**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 1,638 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Maine**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 233 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Maryland**—changes in reporting related to the classification of certain types of offenders and limited access to information systems occurred during 2009. For these reasons, probation data may not be comparable to data reported by Maryland in previous years (appendix tables 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Maryland changed its method of reporting probationers beginning

---

with its January 1, 2007 population, when it expanded the scope of its probation population to include certain DWI offenders who had previously been excluded. The reporting change resulted in a difference of about 18,400 additional probationers between the December 31, 2006 (75,698) and January 1, 2007 (94,100) populations reported by the state. The total change in Maryland's probation population was approximately 23,000 additional probationers between 2000 and 2009.

**Massachusetts**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 excludes 1,483 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Massachusetts changed its method of reporting probationers beginning with its January 1, 2003 population when it classified certain types of offenders, who had been previously excluded from the state's probation data, as probationers based on new guidelines. The reporting change resulted in a difference of about 87,300 additional probationers between the December 31, 2002 (44,013) and January 1, 2003 (131,319) populations reported by Massachusetts.

The state experienced a similar change in reporting methods beginning with its January 1, 2004 population. This reporting change resulted in a difference of approximately 39,300 additional probationers between the December 31, 2003 (127,135) and January 1, 2004 (166,464) populations reported by the state. The total change in Massachusetts's probation population between 2000 and 2009 was an increase of about 129,900.

**Michigan**—has 134 reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 34% of Michigan's total probation population, and 133 local agencies. Michigan's total probation population on December 31, 2009 excludes 171 probationers on warrant status in addition to an unknown number of probationers on warrant status (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an additional 1,620 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Minnesota**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 1,064 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2). Minnesota classifies Hispanic or Latino as an ethnicity rather than a race. There were 6,170 Hispanic or Latino probationers under supervision on December 31, 2009, but they were reported among the other racial categories (appendix table 7).

**Missouri**—has two reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 99% of Missouri's total probation population, and one local agency (appendix table 2).

**Montana**—has four reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 95% of Montana's total probation population, and three local agencies (appendix table 2).

**New Hampshire**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 476 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**New Mexico**—has two reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 74% of New Mexico's total probation population, and one local agency. New Mexico's total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional unknown number of probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—New Mexico's state agency changed its method of reporting probation data beginning with its January 1, 2003 population when its information system was modified to include certain probationers who had been previously excluded from its population. The reporting change resulted in a difference of approximately 4,700 additional probationers in New Mexico's total population reported between December 31, 2002 (11,626) and January 1, 2003 (16,287).

The state agency experienced another reporting change beginning with its January 1, 2006 population because the agency's information system did not have the capacity to report probationers on statuses other than active supervision. This reporting change resulted in a decline of about 3,700 in New Mexico's total probation population reported between December 31, 2005 (18,706) and January 1, 2006 (14,982).

The state agency changed its method of reporting probationers again beginning with its January 1, 2007 population, when its capacity to report data, including probationers on different types of supervision statuses, was enhanced. The reporting change resulted in a difference of nearly 1,400 additional probationers in New Mexico's total population reported between December 31, 2006 (16,493) and January 1, 2007 (17,878). The total change in

New Mexico's probation population was an increase of about 9,600 between 2000 and 2009.

**New York**—*Reporting changes since 2000*—changed its method of reporting probation data, beginning with its January 1, 2003 population, for two different reasons. First, the state reconciled the status of certain probationers in its information system based on new guidelines. Second, the probation data reported prior to January 1, 2003 were case counts, not counts of individuals. The reporting change resulted in a decrease of nearly 65,100 probationers between the December 31, 2002 (198,042) and January 1, 2003 (132,966) populations reported by New York. The total change in New York's probation population was a decrease of about 67,300 between 2000 and 2009.

**Ohio**—has 187 reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 7% of Ohio's total probation population, and 186 local agencies. One local probation agency did not provide data for 2009. The December 31, 2008 probation population reported by this agency in 2008 was used as an estimate of this agency's January 1, 2009 and December 31, 2009 probation populations.

Ohio's total probation population on December 31, 2009 excludes an estimate of at least 16 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement and an unspecified number of probationers on an inactive status (appendix tables 2, 8). Ohio's total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an estimate of at least 73 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Oklahoma**—has three reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 85% of Oklahoma's total probation population, and two local agencies (appendix table 2).

**Pennsylvania**—technically, Pennsylvania has one reporting agency, which is the state agency. The state agency reports both state and county data. However, the county data are reported separately from the state data. The state probation population represented 3% of Pennsylvania's total probation population on December 31, 2009, while the county probation population represented 97% (appendix table 2).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Pennsylvania changed its method of reporting county probation data, starting with the December 31, 2004 population, by reconciling the status of certain offenders who were previously classified as being on a dual probation and parole status. The reporting change resulted in a difference of nearly 30,000 additional probationers in Pennsylvania's total population reported between January 1, 2004 (137,206) and

December 31, 2004 (167,180). The total change in Pennsylvania's probation population was an increase of approximately 71,100 probationers between 2000 and 2009.

**Rhode Island**—Rhode Island's information system classifies Hispanic or Latino as a race rather than an ethnicity; therefore, parolees reported in *Hispanic or Latino* may also be of another race. In addition, parolees reported among other racial categories may also be Hispanic or Latino. Rhode Island's information system does not include a racial category for *Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander or two or more races* (appendix table 7). *Active* includes an unknown number of probationers in *residential/other treatment program* because they could not be reported separately. *Inactive* includes an unknown number of probationers who were an *absconder* because they could not be reported separately, and 2,397 probationers incarcerated in state or federal prison (appendix table 8). See *incarcerated—prison* in appendix table 11.

**Tennessee**—has three reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 85% of Tennessee's total probation population, and two local agencies. The population includes an additional 3,010 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Texas**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 6,039 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

**Vermont**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 excludes an estimated 17 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an estimated 22 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2). Vermont's information system does not include a racial category for *Hispanic or Latino* and does not collect any ethnicity data; therefore, the number of Hispanic or Latino probationers could not be reported and whether or not other racial categories include Hispanic or Latino probationers could not be determined. Vermont's information system also does not include a racial category for *Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander or two or more races* (appendix table 7).

**Virginia**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional unknown number of probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2). *Location tracked by GPS—total* includes an unknown number of parolees tracked by GPS because the number of probationers could not be reported separately (appendix table 11).



**Washington**—has 31 reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 14% of Washington’s total probation population, and 30 local agencies. One local probation agency in Washington closed during 2009. All of the decrease in Washington’s total probation population (down 12.6% or down 13,899 probationers) during 2009 resulted from a decline in the state agency’s probation population (down 50.9% or down 14,408 probationers). The decrease in the state agency’s probation population was associated with legislation passed in 2009 that changed sentencing and supervision laws in order to reduce caseloads to address budgetary constraints. The legislation resulted in focusing resources primarily on high-risk, violent offenders; the number of offenders supervised by the state agency for misdemeanors and non-violent offenses was reduced significantly (appendix table 2).

Washington’s total probation population on December 31, 2009 excludes 3,096 probationers on warrant status and an estimated 49 probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 2, 8). The population includes an additional 10 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Washington’s state agency changed its method of reporting probation data beginning with its January 1, 2004 population when the agency reclassified certain offenders on supervised release following a prison term from probationers to parolees. The change resulted in a decrease of nearly 25,100 in Washington’s total probation population reported between December 31, 2003 (172,814) and January 1, 2004 (147,741). The total change in Washington’s probation population was a decrease of about 53,300 probationers between 2000 and 2009.

**West Virginia**—has two state reporting agencies. One state agency represented 97% of West Virginia’s total probation population and reported all probationers under the jurisdiction of the state except some probationers supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement. This agency does not have jurisdiction over those probationers. The second state agency has jurisdiction over probationers supervised out of state through an interstate compact agreement, and this agency only reported those probationers (appendix table 2). *On parole* includes probationers who were also on parole and under home incarceration (appendix table 11).

**Wisconsin**—*Asian* includes an unknown number of parolees who were Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander because *Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific*

*Islander* could not be reported separately (appendix table 7).

**Wyoming**—total probation population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 246 probationers supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 2).

### Parole: Explanatory notes

**Federal**—data for the federal system were provided to BJS through the BJS Federal Justice Statistics Program (FJSP), which obtained data directly from the Office of Probation and Pretrial Services, Administrative Office of the United States Courts. Federal parole (as defined here) includes a term of supervised release from prison, mandatory release, parole, military parole, and special parole. Definitional differences exist between parole reported here and in other BJS data series.

**Alabama**—has two reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 100% of Alabama’s total parole population, and one local agency. Alabama’s total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 618 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Alabama’s state agency changed its method of reporting parole data beginning with the January 1, 2006 population by including certain offenders whose status had been classified as other than a parolee in prior years. The reporting change resulted in a difference of approximately 500 additional parolees in Alabama’s total parole population reported between December 31, 2005 (7,252) and January 1, 2006 (7,795).

The state agency changed its reporting method again beginning with the January 1, 2007 population when it consolidated data sources. The change resulted in a decline of about 1,200 parolees in Alabama’s total parole population reported between December 31, 2006 (8,685) and January 1, 2007 (7,508). The total change in Alabama’s parole population was an increase of about 2,900 between 2000 and 2009.

**Alaska**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional unknown number of parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Alaska made improvements to its method of reporting parole data starting with its January 1, 2007 population. The reporting change resulted in a difference of nearly 500 additional parolees between the December 31, 2006 (1,044) and January 1, 2007 (1,527) populations reported by Alaska. The total change in

Alaska's parole population was an increase of about 1,400 parolees between 2000 and 2009.

**Arizona**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional estimated 521 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**California**—has two reporting agencies—one state agency, representing 99.9% of California's total parole population, and the California Youth Authority (CYA). California's total parole population on December 31, 2009 excludes 916 parolees supervised out of state through an interstate compact agreement and 15,633 absconders (appendix tables 12, 18). The population includes an additional 1,466 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12). *Asian* excludes an unknown number of Filipino parolees because they were classified as Pacific Islander and therefore reported in *Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander* (appendix table 17). *Mandatory* includes a small, unknown number of parolees who received a *discretionary* release from prison (appendix table 21).

**Colorado**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 313 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12). The 2009 reporting methods and classification of types of entry to parole were enhanced compared to 2005 through 2008; therefore, the 2009 data report for *discretionary* and *mandatory* entries to parole may not be comparable to the 2005 through 2008 data reported (appendix table 13). The 2,150 parolees reported in *supervised out of state* includes an unknown number of parolees released to a detainer for other charges and some who were detained by the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) agency for deportation (appendix table 18).

**Connecticut**—in September of 2007, Connecticut's Governor mandated immediate changes to the parole hearing policies used by Connecticut's Board of Pardons and Paroles in response to a tragic crime that occurred in July of 2007. The mandated changes resulted in an immediate decrease in the parole population, but since that time the parole population increased steadily. The increase (up 23.4% or 545 additional parolees) in Connecticut's parole population during 2009 resulted from additional staff that have addressed hearing backlogs and expedited the hearing process (appendix table 12). *More than one year* includes parolees with a maximum sentence to incarceration of more than two years because Connecticut statute stipulates that parole eligible sentences are sentences of more than two years (appendix table 19).

**Delaware**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 150 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**District of Columbia**—some of the increase (up 9.6% or 552 parolees) in the District of Columbia's parole population during 2009 was associated with a slowing rate of discharge. For example, more parolees who had their parole term extended due to non-compliant behavior. This resulted in fewer parolees discharged compared to the number that entered supervision during the year, which contributed to the increase during 2009 (appendix table 12).

**Florida**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 27 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**Georgia**—all parolees reported in *reinstatement* were originally released from prison through a discretionary release (appendix table 13). Number of parolees reported in *death* is an underestimate of the number of parolees who died while on parole during 2009. Parolees who died are reported as part of Georgia's parole population until the death certificate is received; then, the parolee is discharged as of the day the death occurred (appendix table 14). *Incarcerated-prison* includes parole violators who were held in short-term correctional facilities but still on parole, some of whom were attending programs (appendix table 22).

**Hawaii**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 42 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**Idaho**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 165 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**Indiana**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 excludes 464 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 12, 18).

**Iowa**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 excludes 269 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 12, 18).

**Kansas**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 excludes 212 absconders (appendix tables 12, 18). The Kansas Sentencing Guidelines Act with its determinate sentencing structure became effective July 1, 1993. Previously, Kansas had indeterminate

---

sentencing. As a result, a number of entries to parole involved offenders with “guidelines” or “new law” sentences (which have determinate periods of post-incarceration supervision). In 2007 and previous years, it was not possible for Kansas to differentiate between entries to parole of “old law” and “new Law” offenders. For example, releases to post-incarceration supervision (for a determinate period under new law) were included with regular parole releases (for an indeterminate period under old law) in *discretionary* entries to parole. For these reasons, types of entries to parole reported by Kansas in 2007 and previous years may not be comparable to types of entries to parole reported by Kansas beginning in 2008.

*Other* entries include 1,184 parolees who entered supervision from absconder status after a warrant was cleared and 174 other parolees (appendix table 13). *Absconder* includes parolees who could not be located and had a warrant issued for their arrest. *Other* exits include parolees who exited supervision because a warrant had been issued for other reasons (appendix table 14). *More than one year* includes a relatively small but unknown number of parolees who were sentenced for a felony, but the incarceration portion of their sentence was one year or less (appendix table 19).

**Kentucky**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 480 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**Louisiana**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 625 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**Maryland**—changes in reporting related to the classification of certain types of offenders and limited access to information systems occurred during 2009. For these reasons, parole data may not be comparable to data reported by Maryland in previous years (appendix tables 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, and 22).

**Massachusetts**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 284 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**Michigan**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 1,175 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12). Number of

parolees reported in *Hispanic or Latino* is an underestimate because Michigan’s information system does not include a category which directly tracks and measures parolees who are Hispanic or Latino (appendix table 17). *Violent* includes an unknown number of parolees supervised for a *weapon* offense (appendix table 20).

**Mississippi**—the increase (up 85.7% or 2,504 parolees) in Mississippi’s parole population during 2009 resulted from legislation passed in 2008 which amended parole law to make all offenders never convicted of a violent crime or crime with enhanced penalty parole eligible regardless of the number of prior convictions. The 2008 legislation also made the sale or manufacture of a controlled substance parole eligible unless the crime had an enhanced penalty or involved over one kilogram of marijuana (appendix table 12).

**Montana**—data reported by Montana in 2009 may not be comparable to data reported by Montana in previous years due to changes in reporting (appendix tables 12-14 and 16-22). See *Reporting changes since 2000* below.

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Montana changed its method of reporting parole data beginning with the January 1, 2009 population. The state implemented a new, enhanced information system that improved the tracking of all types of parolees, some of whom were not reported in previous years. The change resulted in an additional 177 parolees between the December 31, 2008 (885) and January 1, 2009 (1,062) parole populations reported by Montana. The total change in Montana’s parole population was an increase of about 400 parolees between 2000 and 2009.

**New Hampshire**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 68 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**New Mexico**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional unknown number of parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—New Mexico changed its method of reporting parole data beginning with its January 1, 2007 population because its information system was enhanced, which resulted in an increased capacity to report data, including parolees on different types of supervision statuses.

The reporting change resulted in a difference of almost 600 additional parolees in New Mexico's total parole population reported between December 31, 2006 (2,922) and January 1, 2007 (3,517). The total change in New Mexico's parole population was an increase of nearly 1,500 parolees between 2000 and 2009.

**New York**—*other* entries include parolees released from prison at the time of their eligibility without an appearance before a parole board. New York refers to this type of release as a *presumptive release*. Inmates who served sentences for non-violent offenses and who had no history of violence were eligible for a presumptive release. New York's presumptive release law was enacted in 2003, implemented at the end of 2003, and became fully operational during 2004. *Other* entries also include parolees who were sentenced directly to parole supervision with the requirement that they complete a 90-day drug and alcohol treatment program. New York refers to this type of entry as *judicially sanctioned* and it falls under the Sentencing Reform Act of 1995. Certain drug and property offenders were eligible for a judicially sanctioned entry to parole supervision. *Other* entries also include parolees released from local jails. In 2006 the New York Division of Parole resumed the responsibility for supervising selected inmates released from local jails after serving a sentence of less than one year. These parolees remain under parole supervision for one year (appendix table 13).

*Returned to incarceration—to receive treatment* includes select parole violators who were sent to a 30-day or 90-day treatment program in a state correctional facility in lieu of a revocation and return to prison. Prior to 2009, these data were reported in *returned to incarceration—other/unknown* (appendix table 14). *Special conditional* type of release from prison includes inmates who were released to “medical parole” because that type of parole permits the release of certain terminally ill inmates prior to serving their full sentence. *Other* type of release from prison includes the same classifications of parolees who had been reported in the *other* entries category (appendix table 21).

**North Carolina**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes offenders under post-release supervision. Post-release supervision is defined under North Carolina's Structured Sentencing Act of 1993 as a reintegration program for serious offenders who served extensive prison terms (appendix table 12). Post-release offenders were reported in *term of supervised release* (appendix table 21).

**Ohio**—the decrease (down 23.8% or down 4,544 parolees) in Ohio's parole population during 2009

was related to an Ohio Supreme Court case from October 2009. The result was a mandate to discharge certain post-prison persons from parole, which was first implemented in November 2009 and continued through February 2010 (appendix table 12).

**Pennsylvania**—technically has one reporting agency, which is the state agency. The state agency reports both state and county parole data. However, these data are reported separately. The state parole population represented 34% of Pennsylvania's total parole population on December 31, 2009, while the county parole population represented 66% (appendix table 12).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Pennsylvania changed its method of reporting county parole data, starting with its December 31, 2004 population, by reconciling the status of certain offenders who were previously classified as being on a dual probation and parole status. The change resulted in a decline of approximately 25,100 parolees in Pennsylvania's total population reported between January 1, 2004 (102,244) and December 31, 2004 (77,175). The total change in Pennsylvania's parole population was a decrease of nearly 7,200 parolees between 2000 and 2009.

**Rhode Island**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 excludes 40 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 12, 18). Rhode Island's information system classifies Hispanic or Latino as a race rather than an ethnicity; therefore, parolees reported as *Hispanic or Latino* may also be of another race. In addition parolees reported among other racial categories may also be Hispanic or Latino. Rhode Island's information system does not include a racial category for *Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander or two or more races* (appendix table 17).

**South Carolina**—the decrease (down 13.2% or down 245 parolees) in South Carolina's parole population during 2009 was related to both the implementation of South Carolina's “no parole” law (for most violent offenses) passed in 1995, which reduced the number of inmates eligible for parole, and a decrease in the percentage of inmates paroled by the Board of Pardons and Paroles (appendix table 12).

**Tennessee**—increase (up 11.1% or 1,163 parolees) in Tennessee's parole population during 2009 was associated with both a Tennessee statute that permitted prisons operating at 90% capacity or greater to extend parole eligibility to low-risk, non-violent inmates and a lower revocation rate which resulted in some parolees remaining under supervision lon-

---

ger to receive treatment. Total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional 956 parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12).

**Vermont**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional estimated 47 parolees supervised by another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix tables 12). Vermont's information system does not include a racial category for *Hispanic or Latino* and does not collect any ethnicity data; therefore, the number of Hispanic or Latino parolees could not be reported and whether or not other racial categories include Hispanic or Latino parolees could not be determined. Vermont's information system also does not include a racial category for *Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander or two or more races* (appendix table 17).

**Virginia**—total parole population on December 31, 2009 includes an additional unknown number of parolees supervised for another state through an interstate compact agreement (appendix table 12). *Location tracked by GPS—total* includes an unknown number of probationers tracked by GPS because the number of parolees could not be reported separately (appendix table 22).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Virginia changed its method of reporting parolees starting with its January 1, 2007 population when it expanded the scope of its parole population based on new guidelines. The change included post-release offenders who had been excluded from the parole counts reported by the state in prior years. The reporting change resulted in a difference of approximately 3,200 additional parolees between the December 31, 2006 (3,978) and January 1, 2007 (7,201) populations reported by the state.

In 2008 Virginia consolidated its databases, which led to subsequent data review and cleanup. This reporting change resulted in a decrease of an estimated 2,200 parolees between the December 31, 2007 population (6,850) reported by the state and the imputed January 1, 2008 population (estimated at 4,700). The total change in Virginia's parole population was a decline of nearly 500 parolees between 2000 and 2009.

**Washington**—the decrease (down 44.4% or down 5,205 parolees) in Washington's parole population during 2009 was associated with legislation passed in 2009 that changed sentencing and supervision laws in order to reduce caseloads to address budgetary constraints. The legislation resulted in focusing resources primarily on high-risk, violent offenders; the number of offenders supervised for misde-

meanors and non-violent offenses was reduced significantly (appendix table 12).

*Reporting changes since 2000*—Washington changed its method of reporting parole data starting with its January 1, 2004 population, when it reclassified certain offenders on supervised release following a prison term from a probation status to a parole status. The change in the state's parole population was a difference of 24,800 additional parolees between the December 31, 2003 (105) and January 1, 2004 (24,905) populations reported by the state. The total change in Washington's parole population was an increase of about 6,400 parolees between 2000 and 2009.

**Wisconsin**—*Asian* includes an unknown number of parolees who were Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander because *Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander* could not be reported separately (appendix table 17). A change in reporting the number of parolees tracked by GPS occurred during 2009; therefore, data may not be comparable to data reported by Wisconsin in 2008. *Incarcerated—prison* only includes parolees incarcerated in a state prison in Wisconsin; the count does not include parolees, if any, incarcerated in a federal prison (appendix table 22).

**Appendix Table 1. Adults under community supervision, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Community supervision population, 1/1/2009 <sup>a</sup>	Entries		Exits		Community supervision population, 12/31/2009 <sup>a</sup>	Change, 2009	Percent change, 2009	Number under community supervision per 100,000 adult residents, 12/31/2009
		Reported	Imputed <sup>b</sup>	Reported	Imputed <sup>b</sup>				
<b>U.S. total</b>	5,064,975	2,689,000	2,887,500	2,733,332	2,926,600	5,018,855	-46,120	-0.9 %	2,147
<b>Federal</b>	119,493	57,670	57,670	52,138	52,138	125,025	5,532	4.6 %	53
<b>State</b>	4,945,482	2,631,330	2,829,800	2,681,194	2,874,500	4,893,830	-51,652	-1.0 %	2,094
Alabama <sup>c</sup>	61,292	26,701	26,701	29,609	29,609	58,384	-2,908	-4.7	1,624
Alaska <sup>d</sup>	8,403	1,787	1,787	1,567	1,567	8,686	283	3.4	1,675
Arizona <sup>c,e</sup>	89,749	32,416	32,416	35,713	35,713	86,452	-3,297	-3.7	1,764
Arkansas	50,626	18,970	18,970	18,300	18,300	51,296	670	1.3	2,343
California <sup>d,e</sup>	445,822	344,450	344,450	372,191	372,191	418,081	-27,741	-6.2	1,509
Colorado <sup>d,e,f</sup>	85,777	61,686	62,100	57,858	58,300	89,769	3,992	4.7	2,344
Connecticut <sup>c,e</sup>	58,483	31,380	31,380	30,654	30,654	59,209	726	1.2	2,175
Delaware <sup>c</sup>	17,767	14,938	14,938	15,355	15,355	17,350	-417	-2.3	2,545
District of Columbia <sup>c</sup>	13,162	9,731	9,731	7,930	7,930	14,889	1,727	13.1	3,035
Florida <sup>c,e,f</sup>	283,585	225,722	230,300	237,810	243,000	272,061	-11,524	-4.1	1,871
Georgia <sup>a,g</sup>	413,349	241,326	241,326	237,958	237,958	416,717	3,368	0.8	5,714
Hawaii <sup>e</sup>	21,001	6,582	6,582	6,283	6,283	21,300	299	1.4	2,113
Idaho <sup>e,h</sup>	52,874	48,951	48,951	41,403	41,403	60,422	7,548	14.3	5,333
Illinois <sup>c,e</sup>	178,587	93,481	93,481	94,214	94,214	177,854	-733	-0.4	1,820
Indiana <sup>a,e</sup>	140,831	109,899	109,899	109,996	109,996	140,734	-97	-0.1	2,900
Iowa <sup>d,e</sup>	26,117	20,831	20,831	20,482	20,482	26,466	349	1.3	1,148
Kansas <sup>d</sup>	21,221	26,120	26,120	25,095	25,095	22,246	1,025	4.8	1,047
Kentucky <sup>c,e</sup>	63,493	40,351	40,351	37,410	37,410	66,400	2,907	4.6	2,004
Louisiana <sup>c</sup>	64,589	29,971	29,971	26,690	26,690	67,811	3,222	5.0	2,001
Maine <sup>c</sup>	7,535	3,649	3,649	3,837	3,837	7,347	-188	-2.5	701
Maryland	114,178	57,653	57,653	53,548	53,548	118,283	4,105	3.6	2,708
Massachusetts <sup>d</sup>	187,192	93,057	93,057	96,207	96,207	184,042	-3,150	-1.7	3,546
Michigan <sup>d,e,f</sup>	197,944	138,835	150,400	134,189	146,200	199,505	1,561	0.8	2,616
Minnesota <sup>c</sup>	133,056	76,146	76,146	82,321	82,321	126,881	-6,175	-4.6	3,153
Mississippi <sup>f</sup>	25,189	14,715	14,715	10,202	10,202	29,702	4,513	17.9	1,355
Missouri <sup>c,e,f</sup>	76,572	34,866	35,000	33,733	34,200	77,338	766	1.0	1,690
Montana <sup>c,e</sup>	11,484	4,041	4,041	4,433	4,433	11,092	-392	-3.4	1,462
Nebraska	20,452	14,633	14,633	15,671	15,671	19,414	-1,038	-5.1	1,436
Nevada	17,245	10,433	10,433	11,192	11,192	16,486	-759	-4.4	836
New Hampshire <sup>c</sup>	6,210	3,688	3,688	3,569	3,569	6,329	119	1.9	610
New Jersey	143,092	50,431	50,431	53,991	53,991	139,532	-3,560	-2.5	2,085
New Mexico <sup>c,f</sup>	23,609	4,630	7,400	6,234	8,700	22,206	-1,403	-5.9	1,473
New York	171,039	60,564	60,564	61,996	61,996	169,607	-1,432	-0.8	1,117
North Carolina <sup>c,e</sup>	112,676	69,402	69,402	72,364	72,364	109,703	-2,973	-2.6	1,534
North Dakota	4,652	3,533	3,533	3,655	3,655	4,530	-122	-2.6	895
Ohio <sup>d,e,f</sup>	279,696	151,484	167,300	157,125	177,900	269,524	-10,172	-3.6	3,045
Oklahoma <sup>c,e</sup>	31,013	13,257	13,257	14,233	14,233	30,037	-976	-3.1	1,078
Oregon	62,883	24,156	24,156	23,921	23,921	63,118	235	0.4	2,124
Pennsylvania <sup>c,f</sup>	259,924	141,171	145,000	13,795	133,300	267,343	7,419	2.9	2,708
Rhode Island <sup>d,e</sup>	27,223	5,849	5,849	5,464	5,464	26,509	-714	-2.6	3,200
South Carolina <sup>c</sup>	42,478	14,410	14,410	15,588	15,588	41,300	-1,178	-2.8	1,179
South Dakota	8,866	5,636	5,636	5,152	5,152	9,350	484	5.5	1,516
Tennessee <sup>c</sup>	68,015	29,699	29,699	27,523	27,523	71,185	3,170	4.7	1,475
Texas <sup>c,e</sup>	530,935	207,368	207,368	207,029	207,029	531,274	339	0.1	2,942
Utah	14,596	8,059	8,059	7,923	7,923	14,732	136	0.9	762
Vermont <sup>d,e</sup>	8,021	4,471	4,471	4,572	4,572	7,920	-101	-1.3	1,595
Virginia <sup>d,e</sup>	58,085	28,448	28,448	26,283	26,300	60,250	2,165	3.7	992
Washington <sup>d,e,f</sup>	122,036	61,640	93,900	80,128	112,500	102,932	-19,104	-15.7	2,005
West Virginia <sup>c,e,f</sup>	10,288	2,899	3,000	2,904	3,000	10,298	10	0.1	716
Wisconsin <sup>e</sup>	66,417	30,749	30,749	32,150	32,150	64,652	-1,765	-2.7	1,482
Wyoming <sup>c</sup>	6,153	3,465	3,465	3,744	3,744	5,282	-871	-14.2	1,269
<b>Northeast</b>	868,719	267,260	398,100	274,085	393,500	867,838	-881	-0.1 %	2,015
<b>Midwest</b>	1,154,411	706,213	733,700	713,783	747,000	1,138,494	-15,917	-1.4	2,233
<b>South</b>	1,960,720	1,045,561	1,050,300	1,040,736	1,046,000	1,966,940	6,220	0.3	2,290
<b>West</b>	961,632	612,296	647,700	652,590	687,900	920,558	-41,074	-4.3	1,712

Note: Because of nonresponse or incomplete data, the community supervision population for some jurisdictions on December 31, 2009, does not equal the population on January 1, plus entries, minus exits. Rates were computed using the estimated adult resident population in each jurisdiction on January 1, 2009. See *Methodology* for more detail.

<sup>a</sup>The January 1 (3,905) and December 31 (4,420) populations exclude a small number of offenders under community supervision who were on both probation and parole. The December 31, 2008 total (3,905) was used as an estimate of the January 1, 2009 total. See appendix table 22 for December 31, 2009 totals by jurisdiction.

<sup>b</sup>Reflects reported data except for jurisdictions in which data were not available. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.

<sup>c</sup>See probation, parole, or both *Explanatory notes* for more details.

<sup>d</sup>Population excludes probationers or parolees in one of the following categories: warrant, inactive, or supervised out of state. See probation or parole *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>e</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated.

<sup>f</sup>Data for entries and exits were estimated for nonreporting agencies. See *Methodology* for more detail.

<sup>g</sup>Probation counts include private agency cases and may overstate the number of persons under supervision. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>h</sup>Probation counts include estimates for misdemeanors based on entries. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

**Appendix Table 2. Adults on probation, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Probation population, 1/1/2009	Entries		Exits		Probation population, 12/31/2009	Change, 2009	Percent change, 2009	Number on probation per 100,000 adult residents, 12/31/2009
		Reported	Imputed <sup>a</sup>	Reported	Imputed <sup>a</sup>				
<b>U.S. total</b>	4,244,046	2,143,734	2,313,600	2,180,721	2,347,500	4,203,967	-40,079	-0.9 %	1,799
<b>Federal</b>	22,483	11,322	11,322	11,022	11,022	22,783	300	1.3 %	10
<b>State</b>	4,221,563	2,132,412	2,302,200	2,169,699	2,336,500	4,181,184	-40,379	-1.0 %	1,789
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	53,250	23,520	23,520	26,815	26,815	49,955	-3,295	-6.2	1,389
Alaska <sup>c</sup>	6,689	1,171	1,171	1,113	1,113	6,747	58	0.9	1,301
Arizona <sup>b,d</sup>	82,212	18,273	18,273	22,242	22,242	78,243	-3,969	-4.8	1,597
Arkansas	30,939	9,059	9,059	9,868	9,868	30,130	-809	-2.6	1,376
California <sup>d</sup>	325,069	168,610	168,610	181,633	181,633	312,046	-13,023	-4.0	1,126
Colorado <sup>c,d,e</sup>	74,123	51,705	52,100	47,878	48,300	78,114	3,991	5.4	2,040
Connecticut <sup>b,d</sup>	56,155	28,026	28,026	27,845	27,845	56,336	181	0.3	2,070
Delaware <sup>b</sup>	17,216	14,512	14,512	14,897	14,897	16,831	-385	-2.2	2,469
District of Columbia <sup>b</sup>	7,706	7,405	7,405	6,156	6,156	8,955	1,249	16.2	1,825
Florida <sup>b,d,e</sup>	279,057	218,729	223,300	230,612	235,800	267,738	-11,319	-4.1	1,841
Georgia <sup>d,f</sup>	389,901	228,318	228,318	225,531	225,531	392,688	2,787	0.7	5,385
Hawaii <sup>b,d</sup>	19,097	5,849	5,849	5,477	5,477	19,469	372	1.9	1,932
Idaho <sup>d,g</sup>	49,513	47,195	47,195	39,733	39,733	56,975	7,462	15.1	5,029
Illinois <sup>b,d</sup>	144,904	58,788	58,788	59,000	59,000	144,692	-212	-0.1	1,480
Indiana <sup>c,d</sup>	130,178	98,619	98,619	98,590	98,590	130,207	29	0.0	2,683
Iowa <sup>c,d</sup>	22,958	18,325	18,325	18,082	18,082	23,201	243	1.1	1,007
Kansas <sup>b</sup>	16,263	21,317	21,317	20,344	20,344	17,236	973	6.0	811
Kentucky <sup>b,d</sup>	51,424	33,719	33,719	30,938	30,938	54,205	2,781	5.4	1,636
Louisiana <sup>b</sup>	40,025	16,311	16,311	14,077	14,077	42,259	2,234	5.6	1,247
Maine <sup>b</sup>	7,504	3,648	3,648	3,836	3,836	7,316	-188	-2.5	698
Maryland	100,958	50,190	50,190	46,607	46,607	104,541	3,583	3.5	2,393
Massachusetts <sup>c</sup>	184,079	88,341	88,341	91,743	91,743	180,677	-3,402	-1.8	3,481
Michigan <sup>c,d,e</sup>	175,421	125,217	136,800	122,422	134,400	175,131	-290	-0.2	2,296
Minnesota <sup>b</sup>	127,963	70,504	70,504	77,021	77,021	121,446	-6,517	-5.1	3,018
Mississippi	22,267	10,637	10,637	8,628	8,628	24,276	2,009	9.0	1,108
Missouri <sup>b,d,e</sup>	57,360	22,932	23,000	22,260	22,700	57,665	305	0.5	1,260
Montana <sup>b,d</sup>	10,422	3,493	3,493	3,830	3,830	10,085	-337	-3.2	1,329
Nebraska	19,606	13,589	13,589	14,604	14,604	18,591	-1,015	-5.2	1,375
Nevada	13,337	6,231	6,231	7,268	7,268	12,300	-1,037	-7.8	624
New Hampshire <sup>b</sup>	4,549	2,581	2,581	2,621	2,621	4,509	-40	-0.9	434
New Jersey	127,560	41,934	41,934	45,318	45,318	124,176	-3,384	-2.7	1,856
New Mexico <sup>b,e</sup>	20,883	4,354	7,100	5,391	7,900	20,086	-797	-3.8	1,332
New York	118,814	36,340	36,340	35,497	35,497	119,657	843	0.7	788
North Carolina <sup>d</sup>	109,678	65,725	65,725	68,822	68,822	106,581	-3,097	-2.8	1,490
North Dakota	4,266	2,806	2,806	2,899	2,899	4,173	-93	-2.2	825
Ohio <sup>c,d,e</sup>	260,577	142,773	158,600	143,870	164,700	254,949	-5,628	-2.2	2,880
Oklahoma <sup>b,d</sup>	27,940	12,363	12,363	13,236	13,236	27,067	-873	-3.1	972
Oregon	40,921	15,169	15,169	15,366	15,366	40,724	-197	-0.5	1,371
Pennsylvania <sup>b,e</sup>	186,973	3,064	105,300	2,756	95,700	192,231	5,258	2.8	1,947
Rhode Island <sup>d</sup>	26,754	5,223	5,223	4,954	4,954	25,924	-830	-3.1	3,129
South Carolina	40,621	14,034	14,034	14,967	14,967	39,688	-933	-2.3	1,133
South Dakota	6,146	3,869	3,869	3,413	3,413	6,602	456	7.4	1,071
Tennessee <sup>b</sup>	57,605	24,619	24,619	23,907	23,907	59,558	1,953	3.4	1,234
Texas <sup>b</sup>	428,014	172,666	172,666	174,349	174,349	426,331	-1,683	-0.4	2,361
Utah	11,030	5,915	5,915	5,417	5,417	11,528	498	4.5	596
Vermont <sup>c,d</sup>	6,940	3,865	3,865	3,972	3,972	6,833	-107	-1.5	1,376
Virginia <sup>b,d</sup>	53,614	27,572	27,572	25,541	25,541	55,645	2,031	3.8	917
Washington <sup>c,d,e</sup>	110,268	55,529	87,800	68,812	101,200	96,369	-13,899	-12.6	1,877
West Virginia <sup>b,d,e</sup>	8,283	1,558	1,700	1,447	1,500	8,409	126	1.5	585
Wisconsin <sup>d</sup>	49,093	23,051	23,051	24,723	24,723	47,421	-1,672	-3.4	1,087
Wyoming <sup>b</sup>	5,438	3,169	3,169	3,371	3,371	4,668	-770	-14.2	1,121
<b>Northeast</b>	719,328	213,022	315,200	218,542	311,500	717,659	-1,669	-0.2 %	1,666
<b>Midwest</b>	1,014,735	601,790	629,300	607,228	640,500	1,001,314	-13,421	-1.3	1,964
<b>South</b>	1,718,498	930,937	935,600	936,398	941,700	1,714,857	-3,641	-0.2	1,997
<b>West</b>	769,002	386,663	422,100	407,531	442,900	747,354	-21,648	-2.8	1,390

Note: Because of nonresponse or incomplete data, the probation population for some jurisdictions on December 31, 2009, does not equal the population on January 1, plus entries, minus exits. Rates were computed using the estimated adult resident population in each jurisdiction on January 1, 2009. See *Methodology* for more detail.

<sup>a</sup>Reflects reported data except for jurisdictions in which data were not available. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.

<sup>b</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>c</sup>Population excludes probationers in one of the following categories: inactive, warrant, supervised out of jurisdiction, or probationers who had their location tracked by GPS. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>d</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated.

<sup>e</sup>Data for entries and exits were estimated for nonreporting agencies. See *Methodology* for more detail.

<sup>f</sup>Counts include private agency cases and may overstate the number of persons under supervision. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>g</sup>Counts include estimates for misdemeanors based on entries during the year. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

**Appendix Table 3. Adults entering probation, by type of sentence, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Total reported	Probation without incarceration	Probation with incarceration	Other <sup>a</sup>	Unknown or not reported
<b>U.S. total</b>	2,143,734	649,634	211,854	145,725	1,136,521
<b>Federal</b>	11,322	11,322	0	0	0
<b>State</b>	2,132,412	638,312	211,854	145,725	1,136,521
Alabama	23,520	8,474	13,687	**	1,359
Alaska	1,171	**	**	**	1,171
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	18,273	11,203	7,070	0	0
Arkansas	9,059	8,312	**	747	0
California	168,610	**	**	**	168,610
Colorado <sup>b</sup>	51,705	30,174	207	16,207	5,117
Connecticut <sup>b</sup>	28,026	21,844	6,182	**	0
Delaware	14,512	**	**	**	14,512
District of Columbia	7,405	6,299	1,106	0	0
Florida <sup>b</sup>	218,729	150,067	8,399	2,192	58,071
Georgia <sup>b</sup>	228,318	28,395	12,247	771	186,905
Hawaii	5,849	**	**	**	5,849
Idaho <sup>b</sup>	47,195	2,635	1,407	29	43,124
Illinois	58,788	**	**	**	58,788
Indiana <sup>b</sup>	98,619	3,318	83,292	12,009	0
Iowa	18,325	**	**	**	18,325
Kansas	21,317	**	**	**	21,317
Kentucky <sup>b</sup>	33,719	23,818	9,069	832	0
Louisiana	16,311	14,967	863	481	0
Maine	3,648	2,450	1,000	160	38
Maryland	50,190	42,646	7,544	0	0
Massachusetts	88,341	**	**	**	88,341
Michigan <sup>b</sup>	125,217	20,785	3,854	5,250	95,328
Minnesota	70,504	**	**	70,504	0
Mississippi	10,637	2,441	8,196	0	0
Missouri	22,932	20,592	**	2,340	0
Montana	3,493	1,524	1,495	**	474
Nebraska	13,589	9,546	4,043	0	0
Nevada	6,231	**	**	**	6,231
New Hampshire	2,581	1,841	364	0	376
New Jersey	41,934	**	**	**	41,934
New Mexico	4,354	**	**	**	4,354
New York	36,340	32,020	4,320	0	0
North Carolina	65,725	65,688	37	0	0
North Dakota	2,806	2,806	0	0	0
Ohio <sup>b</sup>	142,773	49,968	8,769	9,720	74,316
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	12,363	8,296	2,118	**	1,949
Oregon	15,169	7,077	7,170	**	922
Pennsylvania <sup>c</sup>	3,064	3,064	0	0	0
Rhode Island	5,223	**	**	**	5,223
South Carolina	14,034	11,971	2,063	0	0
South Dakota	3,869	**	**	**	3,869
Tennessee	24,619	17,159	7,315	145	0
Texas	172,666	**	**	**	172,666
Utah	5,915	2,782	3,133	0	0
Vermont <sup>b</sup>	3,865	3,191	508	166	0
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	27,572	21,670	5,602	300	0
Washington <sup>b</sup>	55,529	1,289	794	23,872	29,574
West Virginia	1,558	**	**	**	1,558
Wisconsin	23,051	**	**	**	23,051
Wyoming	3,169	**	**	**	3,169
<b>Northeast</b>	213,022	64,410	12,374	326	135,912
<b>Midwest</b>	601,790	107,015	99,958	99,823	294,994
<b>South</b>	930,937	410,203	78,246	5,468	437,020
<b>West</b>	386,663	56,684	21,276	40,108	268,595

Note: Based on reported data only. For imputed entries to probation, see appendix table 2.

\*\*Not known.

<sup>a</sup>Includes probationers who entered supervision through a deferred sentence, after a bench warrant was served, a reinstatement of their original sentence, placement in a drug court program, a transfer from another agency or state, sentence to a private probation agency, a transfer from parole, or other types of sentences.

<sup>b</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for type of sentence.

<sup>c</sup>Data represent state probationers only. Data are not available for county probationers.



**Appendix Table 4. Adults exiting probation, by type of exit, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Total reported	Completion	Incarcerated				Absconder	Discharged to warrant or detainer	Other unsatisfactory <sup>a</sup>	Death	Other <sup>b</sup>	Unknown or not reported
			With new sentence	Under current sentence	To receive treatment	Other/ unknown						
<b>U.S. total</b>	2,180,721	1,082,974	66,209	128,969	715	75,527	57,290	14,061	167,703	9,130	72,225	505,918
<b>Federal</b>	11,022	8,962	112	1,054	**	9	144	0	221	98	33	389
<b>State</b>	2,169,699	1,074,012	66,097	127,915	715	75,518	57,146	14,061	167,482	9,032	72,192	505,529
Alabama	26,815	10,020	8,158	773	**	5,407	**	**	**	278	798	1,381
Alaska	1,113	599	43	**	**	236	50	27	**	24	61	73
Arizona <sup>c</sup>	22,242	15,137	**	5,022	**	**	118	**	109	228	275	1,353
Arkansas	9,868	6,167	984	1,451	**	**	576	**	0	188	502	0
California <sup>c</sup>	181,633	85,534	**	**	**	**	**	**	69,992	**	26,107	0
Colorado <sup>c</sup>	47,878	34,372	**	1,457	**	4,567	5,160	108	445	301	504	964
Connecticut <sup>c</sup>	27,845	27,845	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	0
Delaware	14,897	7,797	**	**	10	**	**	**	5,866	95	1,129	0
District of Columbia	6,156	3,842	**	**	**	1,380	0	0	769	44	121	0
Florida <sup>c</sup>	230,612	110,286	17,789	38,257	421	3,066	267	8,493	3,829	1,220	9,086	37,898
Georgia <sup>c</sup>	225,531	162,542	2,578	6,186	**	**	13,407	**	35,536	128	5,154	0
Hawaii <sup>c</sup>	5,477	4,513	167	281	**	**	**	**	**	48	110	358
Idaho <sup>c</sup>	39,733	2,421	564	**	**	**	**	**	819	44	**	35,885
Illinois	59,000	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	59,000
Indiana <sup>c</sup>	98,590	64,829	8,755	11,172	~	~	7,184	~	~	~	6,650	0
Iowa <sup>c</sup>	18,082	11,513	**	**	**	2,957	78	**	56	103	2,307	1,068
Kansas	20,344	14,995	**	**	**	113	**	**	2,997	**	2,239	0
Kentucky <sup>c</sup>	30,938	20,677	2,078	5,596	0	0	1,315	45	82	167	978	0
Louisiana	14,077	7,788	912	3,354	~	~	70	~	1,443	172	338	0
Maine <sup>c</sup>	3,836	2,461	**	**	**	1,143	**	**	**	**	**	232
Maryland <sup>d</sup>	46,607	36,962	**	**	**	**	0	0	9,200	427	18	0
Massachusetts	91,743	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	91,743
Michigan <sup>c</sup>	122,422	49,288	1,665	5,231	57	226	699	1,587	2,628	240	604	60,197
Minnesota	77,021	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	77,021
Mississippi	8,628	4,699	828	677	0	0	905	0	0	56	1,463	0
Missouri	22,260	9,613	1,324	4,144	**	**	6,535	**	249	374	**	21
Montana	3,830	1,872	267	1,035	**	**	**	**	**	79	1	576
Nebraska	14,604	11,711	916	672	0	0	23	4	925	45	133	175
Nevada	7,268	4,388	**	**	**	**	207	**	2,618	55	**	0
New Hampshire	2,621	1,685	426	**	0	0	0	0	0	**	0	510
New Jersey	45,318	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	45,318
New Mexico	5,391	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	5,391
New York	35,497	23,182	3,674	~	~	~	**	~	8,191	364	86	0
North Carolina	68,822	32,882	4,469	13,219	~	0	10,360	**	5,864	633	0	1,395
North Dakota	2,899	1,434	489	640	**	**	101	**	187	34	**	14
Ohio <sup>c</sup>	143,870	53,659	3,747	5,775	219	487	9,361	796	1,781	341	6,289	61,415
Oklahoma	13,236	10,431	610	697	**	**	**	**	**	186	**	1,312
Oregon	15,366	9,746	611	3,921	**	**	270	**	116	172	20	510
Pennsylvania <sup>a</sup>	2,756	1,641	317	346	0	0	0	35	377	40	0	0
Rhode Island <sup>c</sup>	4,954	**	**	**	**	170	**	**	**	**	**	4,784
South Carolina	14,967	9,505	550	4,657	0	0	0	0	0	186	69	0
South Dakota	3,413	3,065	**	**	**	348	**	**	**	**	**	0
Tennessee	23,907	15,880	2,421	4,379	0	0	0	0	940	287	0	0
Texas	174,349	115,116	**	**	**	53,113	**	**	0	1,878	4,242	0
Utah	5,417	2,331	440	358	0	0	44	1	1,472	61	710	0
Vermont <sup>c</sup>	3,972	2,796	116	378	~	~	**	~	328	23	331	0
Virginia <sup>c</sup>	25,541	16,715	0	0	0	2,212	0	2,568	2,855	276	915	0
Washington <sup>c</sup>	68,812	44,664	259	513	8	**	353	397	6,960	51	287	15,320
West Virginia	1,447	**	**	782	**	**	**	**	**	**	665	0
Wisconsin <sup>c</sup>	24,723	16,244	794	6,640	~	0	13	0	848	184	0	0
Wyoming	3,371	1,165	146	302	0	23	120	0	0	0	**	1,615
<b>Northeast</b>	218,542	59,610	4,533	724	**	1,313	**	35	8,896	427	417	142,587
<b>Midwest</b>	607,228	236,351	17,690	34,274	276	4,131	23,994	2,387	9,671	1,321	18,222	258,911
<b>South</b>	936,398	571,309	41,377	80,028	431	65,248	26,830	11,106	66,384	6,221	25,478	41,986
<b>West</b>	407,531	206,742	2,497	12,889	8	4,826	6,322	533	82,531	1,063	28,075	62,045

Note: Based on reported data only. For imputed exits from probation, see appendix table 2.

\*\*Not known.

~Not applicable.

<sup>a</sup>Includes probationers discharged from supervision who failed to meet all conditions of supervision, including some with only financial conditions remaining, some who had their probation sentence revoked but were not incarcerated because their sentence was immediately reinstated, and other types of unsatisfactory exits; includes some early terminations and expirations of sentence.

<sup>b</sup>Includes 7,010 probationers transferred to another jurisdiction and 65,215 probationers who exited supervision for other reasons. Other reasons include probationers discharged through a legislative mandate, because they were deported or transferred to the jurisdiction of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), transferred to another state through an interstate compact agreement, had their sentence dismissed or overturned by the court through an appeal, had their sentence closed administratively, deferred, or terminated by the court, were awaiting a hearing, were released on bond, some who elected jail time in lieu of probation, and other types of exits.

<sup>c</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for type of exit.

<sup>d</sup>See Explanatory notes for more detail.

<sup>e</sup>Data represent state probationers only. Data are not available for county probationers.

**Appendix Table 5. Characteristics of adults on probation, 2000, 2008-2009**

Characteristics	2000	2008	2009
<b>Total</b>	100 %	100 %	100 %
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	78 %	76 %	76 %
Female	22	24	24
<b>Race and Hispanic or Latino origin</b>			
White <sup>a</sup>	54 %	56 %	55 %
Black <sup>a</sup>	31	29	30
Hispanic or Latino	13	13	13
American Indian/Alaska Native <sup>a</sup>	1	1	1
Asian/Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander <sup>a</sup>	1	1	1
Two or more races <sup>a</sup>	...	1	--
<b>Status of supervision</b>			
Active	76 %	71 %	72 %
Residential/other treatment program	...	1	1
Financial conditions remaining	...	1	1
Inactive	9	8	6
Absconder	9	8	8
Supervised out of jurisdiction	3	3	3
Warrant status	...	6	6
Other	3	2	2
<b>Type of offense</b>			
Felony	52 %	49 %	51 %
Misdemeanor	46	48	47
Other infractions	2	2	2
<b>Most serious offense</b>			
Violent	...	19 %	19 %
Domestic violence	...	4	4
Sex offense	...	3	3
Other violent offense	...	12	13
Property	...	25	26
Drug	24	29	26
Public-order	24	17	18
DWI/DUI	18	14	15
Other traffic offense	6	4	4
Other <sup>b</sup>	52	10	10

Note: Each characteristic is based on probationers with a known status. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. See appendix tables 6 -10 for 2009 data by jurisdiction.

--Less than 0.5%.

...Not available.

<sup>a</sup>Excludes persons of Hispanic or Latino origin.

<sup>b</sup>Includes violent and property offenses in 2000, because those data were not collected separately.

**Appendix Table 6. Adults on probation, by sex, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Probation population, 12/31/2009	Male	Female	Unknown or not reported
<b>U.S. total</b>	4,203,967	2,342,640	740,253	1,121,074
<b>Federal</b>	22,783	14,157	8,236	390
<b>State</b>	4,181,184	2,328,483	732,017	1,120,684
Alabama	49,955	36,386	11,910	1,659
Alaska	6,747	5,419	1,328	0
Arizona*	78,243	60,656	15,087	2,500
Arkansas	30,130	21,035	9,095	0
California	312,046	**	**	312,046
Colorado*	78,114	56,709	19,437	1,968
Connecticut	56,336	44,537	11,794	5
Delaware	16,831	12,972	3,857	2
District of Columbia	8,955	7,191	1,732	32
Florida*	267,738	189,435	63,738	14,565
Georgia	392,688	116,191	36,463	240,034
Hawaii*	19,469	14,562	4,736	171
Idaho	56,975	10,269	3,582	43,124
Illinois	144,692	**	**	144,692
Indiana	130,207	**	**	130,207
Iowa	23,201	16,639	6,415	147
Kansas	17,236	**	**	17,236
Kentucky*	54,205	36,581	17,624	0
Louisiana	42,259	31,746	10,513	0
Maine	7,316	5,995	1,321	0
Maryland	104,541	84,277	19,495	769
Massachusetts*	180,677	149,962	30,715	0
Michigan*	175,131	91,818	32,178	51,135
Minnesota	121,446	92,989	28,457	0
Mississippi	24,276	18,768	5,508	0
Missouri	57,665	42,983	14,382	300
Montana	10,085	7,779	2,305	1
Nebraska	18,591	13,571	4,834	186
Nevada*	12,300	9,831	2,469	0
New Hampshire	4,509	3,470	1,039	0
New Jersey*	124,176	98,099	26,077	0
New Mexico	20,086	11,125	3,714	5,247
New York	119,657	94,952	23,799	906
North Carolina	106,581	80,178	26,403	0
North Dakota	4,173	3,093	1,080	0
Ohio*	254,949	113,323	43,773	97,853
Oklahoma*	27,067	17,759	5,324	3,984
Oregon	40,724	31,592	9,132	0
Pennsylvania	192,231	146,915	45,316	0
Rhode Island	25,924	21,676	4,124	124
South Carolina	39,688	31,718	7,970	0
South Dakota	6,602	**	**	6,602
Tennessee	59,558	44,513	15,045	0
Texas*	426,331	310,100	116,231	0
Utah	11,528	8,679	2,849	0
Vermont*	6,833	5,242	1,591	0
Virginia*	55,645	42,296	13,210	139
Washington*	96,369	39,290	12,275	44,804
West Virginia	8,409	5,652	2,523	234
Wisconsin	47,421	37,077	10,344	0
Wyoming	4,668	3,433	1,223	12
<b>Northeast</b>	717,659	570,848	145,776	1,035
<b>Midwest</b>	1,001,314	411,493	141,463	448,358
<b>South</b>	1,714,857	1,086,798	366,641	261,418
<b>West</b>	747,354	259,344	78,137	409,873

\*\*Not known.

\*Some or all detailed data are estimated for sex.

**Appendix Table 7. Adults on probation, by race and Hispanic or Latino origin, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Probation population, 12/31/2009	White	Black/African American	Hispanic or Latino	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	Two or more races	Unknown or not reported
<b>U.S. total</b>	4,203,967	1,483,180	804,591	362,156	25,981	21,137	6,256	13,191	1,487,475
<b>Federal</b>	22,783	10,872	5,962	3,797	585	897	167	**	503
<b>State</b>	4,181,184	1,472,308	798,629	358,359	25,396	20,240	6,089	13,191	1,486,972
Alabama	49,955	23,457	24,240	685	62	67	**	12	1,432
Alaska	6,747	3,948	620	211	1,572	295	**	**	101
Arizona <sup>a</sup>	78,243	34,346	7,105	28,989	3,835	413	**	**	3,555
Arkansas	30,130	18,741	9,773	1,333	86	84	**	**	113
California	312,046	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	312,046
Colorado <sup>a</sup>	78,114	54,142	7,415	12,155	725	796	**	**	2,881
Connecticut	56,336	26,871	15,265	12,399	99	417	**	15	1,270
Delaware	16,831	8,957	7,015	773	6	38	0	0	42
District of Columbia	8,955	679	7,470	615	3	52	4	~	132
Florida <sup>a</sup>	267,738	132,559	63,681	34,906	392	1,339	131	185	34,545
Georgia	392,688	71,883	71,574	7,225	163	424	**	69	241,350
Hawaii <sup>a</sup>	19,469	4,762	729	**	**	3,775	5,342	**	4,861
Idaho	56,975	10,765	226	1,999	353	79	**	**	43,553
Illinois	144,692	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	144,692
Indiana	130,207	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	130,207
Iowa	23,201	18,162	3,053	1,183	268	240	~	~	295
Kansas	17,236	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	17,236
Kentucky <sup>a</sup>	54,205	34,891	12,825	6,118	28	123	**	220	0
Louisiana	42,259	20,094	21,826	112	25	75	116	~	11
Maine	7,316	6,649	276	**	88	17	1	6	279
Maryland <sup>b</sup>	104,541	50,407	51,642	**	**	725	**	**	1,767
Massachusetts	180,677	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	180,677
Michigan <sup>a</sup>	175,131	48,400	29,373	1,012	622	361	75	88	95,200
Minnesota <sup>b</sup>	121,446	83,104	18,971	/	4,813	2,747	**	11,811	0
Mississippi	24,276	9,942	13,928	142	26	66	0	0	172
Missouri	57,665	40,768	15,464	798	131	166	**	**	338
Montana	10,085	7,791	135	285	1,186	24	**	91	573
Nebraska	18,591	13,089	2,119	2,342	521	130	0	0	390
Nevada	12,300	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	12,300
New Hampshire	4,509	4,031	172	**	5	15	2	**	284
New Jersey <sup>a</sup>	124,176	44,803	48,670	14,902	0	1,391	0	0	14,410
New Mexico	20,086	4,354	782	7,908	1,127	40	22	**	5,853
New York	119,657	55,071	34,452	24,182	471	1,174	~	~	4,307
North Carolina	106,581	49,602	47,434	5,620	1,910	333	56	**	1,626
North Dakota	4,173	3,122	190	148	693	20	0	0	0
Ohio <sup>a</sup>	254,949	71,908	34,374	2,507	53	216	6	204	145,681
Oklahoma <sup>a</sup>	27,067	13,837	5,102	1,858	1,794	98	**	**	4,378
Oregon	40,724	32,264	2,380	4,767	585	521	**	**	207
Pennsylvania	192,231	113,517	60,526	12,190	164	751	12	208	4,863
Rhode Island <sup>b</sup>	25,924	14,475	5,033	4,947	142	277	/	/	1,050
South Carolina	39,688	18,210	20,648	649	41	43	0	~	97
South Dakota	6,602	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	6,602
Tennessee	59,558	34,600	21,919	2,441	71	523	0	0	4
Texas <sup>a</sup>	426,331	175,009	90,340	156,476	**	**	**	**	4,506
Utah	11,528	8,641	478	1,176	345	82	195	0	611
Vermont <sup>a,b</sup>	6,833	6,076	256	/	44	42	/	/	415
Virginia <sup>a</sup>	55,645	28,101	25,986	0	22	367	**	0	1,169
Washington <sup>a</sup>	96,369	26,326	4,692	2,352	1,034	1,318	118	234	60,295
West Virginia	8,409	7,405	656	43	2	5	**	39	259
Wisconsin <sup>b</sup>	47,421	32,678	9,703	2,536	1,682	567	/	**	255
Wyoming	4,668	3,871	111	375	207	4	9	9	82
<b>Northeast</b>	717,659	271,493	164,650	68,620	1,013	4,084	15	229	207,555
<b>Midwest</b>	1,001,314	311,231	113,247	10,526	8,783	4,447	81	12,103	540,896
<b>South</b>	1,714,857	698,374	496,059	218,996	4,631	4,362	307	525	291,603
<b>West</b>	747,354	191,210	24,673	60,217	10,969	7,347	5,686	334	446,918

\*\*Not known.

~Not applicable.

/Not reported.

<sup>a</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for race and Hispanic or Latino origin.

<sup>b</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

**Appendix Table 8. Adults on probation, by status of supervision, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Probation population, 12/31/2009	Active	Residential/other treatment program	Financial conditions remaining	Inactive	Absconder	Warrant status	Supervised out of jurisdiction	Other	Unknown or not reported
<b>U.S. total</b>	4,203,967	2,062,842	23,033	35,253	182,981	221,023	182,818	89,427	67,977	1,338,613
<b>Federal</b>	22,783	22,783	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>State</b>	4,181,184	2,040,059	23,033	35,253	182,981	221,023	182,818	89,427	67,977	1,338,613
Alabama <sup>a</sup>	49,955	38,568	124	**	**	7,348	**	2,483	**	1,432
Alaska	6,747	5,854	0	0	0	893	0	/	0	0
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	78,243	50,419	**	**	6,438	8,724	**	2,346	0	10,316
Arkansas	30,130	19,409	**	**	4,033	5,405	**	1,283	0	0
California	312,046	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	312,046
Colorado <sup>ab</sup>	78,114	72,991	726	0	913	37	1,494	1,786	**	167
Connecticut <sup>d</sup>	56,336	43,554	**	**	**	1,927	9,168	1,687	**	0
Delaware	16,831	11,619	**	1,267	**	**	3,382	563	**	0
District of Columbia	8,955	5,022	184	0	104	86	1,763	345	1,451	0
Florida <sup>b</sup>	267,738	151,530	100	40	38,883	24,632	8,255	6,408	1,005	36,885
Georgia <sup>b</sup>	392,688	129,553	3,715	20	1,675	13,407	102,080	1,489	**	140,749
Hawaii <sup>b</sup>	19,469	16,627	195	**	**	**	2,218	429	0	0
Idaho <sup>bc</sup>	56,975	30,435	**	**	24,097	0	1,086	990	7	360
Illinois	144,692	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	144,692
Indiana <sup>a</sup>	130,207	**	**	**	**	**	**	/	**	130,207
Iowa <sup>a</sup>	23,201	23,201	**	**	**	~	**	/	**	0
Kansas	17,236	17,236	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kentucky <sup>b</sup>	54,205	43,540	500	3	6,068	1,761	0	1,929	404	0
Louisiana	42,259	38,193	223	~	2,224	~	~	1,619	~	0
Maine	7,316	5,821	0	0	0	0	898	191	406	0
Maryland <sup>c</sup>	104,541	60,990	**	**	21,858	**	5,817	945	14,931	0
Massachusetts <sup>a</sup>	180,677	**	**	**	**	**	**	/	**	180,677
Michigan <sup>ab</sup>	175,131	87,814	83	214	763	445	5,564	1,382	**	78,866
Minnesota	121,446	**	**	**	**	**	**	2,144	**	119,302
Mississippi	24,276	23,102	255	**	**	**	**	919	0	0
Missouri	57,665	52,971	**	**	**	**	352	4,042	**	300
Montana	10,085	7,599	31	**	**	1,040	**	856	220	339
Nebraska	18,591	16,287	0	0	0	23	1,891	390	0	0
Nevada	12,300	9,265	**	**	50	2,124	**	861	0	0
New Hampshire	4,509	3,733	**	0	0	316	**	460	0	0
New Jersey	124,176	68,182	**	32,825	**	20,850	~	2,319	**	0
New Mexico	20,086	13,951	**	**	2,745	1,632	**	1,758	**	0
New York	119,657	103,726	**	**	0	**	15,717	214	0	0
North Carolina	106,581	88,016	5,869	**	~	11,638	**	1,058	0	0
North Dakota	4,173	3,551	0	0	0	0	0	622	0	0
Ohio <sup>ab</sup>	254,949	96,555	1,226	843	24,648	2,341	10,641	5,117	1,652	111,926
Oklahoma	27,067	1,370	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	25,697
Oregon	40,724	26,015	1	**	54	13,569	**	1,082	0	3
Pennsylvania	192,231	135,095	0	0	17,427	22,133	0	17,576	0	0
Rhode Island <sup>c</sup>	25,924	10,780	**	**	8,360	**	5,339	1,001	444	0
South Carolina	39,688	24,919	0	0	7,376	5,590	818	985	0	0
South Dakota	6,602	6,206	**	**	**	**	**	396	**	0
Tennessee	59,558	50,404	539	0	0	2,315	3,290	3,010	0	0
Texas <sup>b</sup>	426,331	299,313	**	**	8,400	63,674	**	10,266	44,678	0
Utah	11,528	10,174	0	0	0	959	75	317	0	3
Vermont <sup>ab</sup>	6,833	5,723	~	31	46	2	756	275	~	0
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	55,645	50,877	0	0	0	0	0	4,768	0	0
Washington <sup>ab</sup>	96,369	29,363	9,087	10	6,819	2,627	1,463	357	1,997	44,646
West Virginia	8,409	7,211	0	0	0	0	0	416	782	0
Wisconsin	47,421	39,151	104	0	0	5,405	751	2,010	0	0
Wyoming	4,668	4,144	71	0	0	120	**	333	0	0
<b>Northeast</b>	717,659	376,614	**	32,856	25,833	45,228	31,878	23,723	850	180,677
<b>Midwest</b>	1,001,314	342,972	1,413	1,057	25,411	8,214	19,199	16,103	1,652	585,293
<b>South</b>	1,714,857	1,043,636	11,509	1,330	90,621	135,856	125,405	38,486	63,251	204,763
<b>West</b>	747,354	276,837	10,111	10	41,116	31,725	6,336	11,115	2,224	367,880

\*\*Not known.

~Not applicable

/Not reported.

<sup>a</sup>December 31, 2009, population excludes probationers in one or more statuses. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>b</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for status of supervision.

<sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

**Appendix Table 9. Adults on probation, by type of offense, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Probation population, 12/31/2009	Felony	Misdemeanor	Other <sup>a</sup>	Unknown or not reported
<b>U.S. total</b>	4,203,967	1,665,216	1,530,520	77,445	930,786
<b>Federal</b>	22,783	16,537	3,908	2,278	60
<b>State</b>	4,181,184	1,648,679	1,526,612	75,167	930,726
Alabama	49,955	**	5,093	**	44,862
Alaska	6,747	6,747	0	0	0
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	78,243	50,325	7,547	17,727	2,644
Arkansas	30,130	28,474	1,656	0	0
California	312,046	**	**	**	312,046
Colorado <sup>b</sup>	78,114	27,201	48,069	1,095	1,749
Connecticut <sup>b</sup>	56,336	30,320	19,440	6,538	38
Delaware	16,831	4,425	11,061	1,345	0
District of Columbia	8,955	2,592	2,494	2,873	996
Florida <sup>b</sup>	267,738	171,506	87,163	1,591	7,478
Georgia	392,688	151,871	240,817	0	0
Hawaii	19,469	13,149	3,203	1,652	1,465
Idaho	56,975	13,851	**	**	43,124
Illinois	144,692	**	**	**	144,692
Indiana <sup>b</sup>	130,207	58,128	72,079	0	0
Iowa	23,201	8,979	14,196	26	0
Kansas	17,236	3,610	13,626	0	0
Kentucky <sup>b</sup>	54,205	30,200	14,805	9,200	0
Louisiana	42,259	41,909	350	~	0
Maine	7,316	5,202	2,114	0	0
Maryland <sup>c</sup>	104,541	32,715	71,826	0	0
Massachusetts	180,677	**	**	**	180,677
Michigan <sup>b</sup>	175,131	58,779	88,954	260	27,138
Minnesota	121,446	44,353	77,093	0	0
Mississippi	24,276	24,276	0	0	0
Missouri	57,665	56,103	1,262	**	300
Montana	10,085	9,587	498	0	0
Nebraska	18,591	3,718	14,873	0	0
Nevada <sup>b</sup>	12,300	8,112	4,188	0	0
New Hampshire	4,509	**	**	**	4,509
New Jersey <sup>b</sup>	124,176	60,723	47,843	15,610	0
New Mexico	20,086	**	5,247	**	14,839
New York	119,657	59,828	57,205	161	2,463
North Carolina	106,581	37,561	68,548	0	472
North Dakota	4,173	3,072	985	116	0
Ohio <sup>b</sup>	254,949	55,478	130,272	847	68,352
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	27,067	**	3,984	**	23,083
Oregon	40,724	26,664	12,326	**	1,734
Pennsylvania	192,231	60,872	123,809	7,423	127
Rhode Island	25,924	18,221	6,683	1,019	1
South Carolina	39,688	24,061	8,217	7,410	0
South Dakota	6,602	4,289	2,313	~	0
Tennessee	59,558	49,911	9,647	0	0
Texas	426,331	249,670	176,661	0	0
Utah	11,528	8,572	2,763	193	0
Vermont <sup>b</sup>	6,833	2,365	4,390	~	78
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	55,645	55,645	0	0	0
Washington <sup>b</sup>	96,369	13,394	35,353	**	47,622
West Virginia	8,409	6,548	1,627	**	234
Wisconsin	47,421	23,031	24,309	81	0
Wyoming	4,668	2,642	2,023	0	3
<b>Northeast</b>	717,659	237,531	261,484	30,751	187,893
<b>Midwest</b>	1,001,314	319,540	439,962	1,330	240,482
<b>South</b>	1,714,857	911,364	703,949	22,419	77,125
<b>West</b>	747,354	180,244	121,217	20,667	425,226

\*\*Not known.

~Not applicable.

<sup>a</sup>Includes probationers under supervision for a petty, traffic, DWI, or domestic violence offense, a city ordinance violation, a civil protection order, a tax offense, a deferred sentence or placed on supervision after being released on bail, and other types of offenses.

<sup>b</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for type of offense.

<sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

**Appendix Table 10. Adults on probation, by most serious offense, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Probation population, 12/31/2009	Violent				Public-order				Unknown or not reported
		Domestic violence	Sex offense	Other violent offense	Property	Drug	DWI/DUI	Other traffic offense	Other <sup>a</sup>	
<b>U.S. total</b>	4,203,967	81,532	67,114	277,698	575,360	582,759	326,594	78,240	213,745	2,000,925
<b>Federal</b>	22,783	2	206	337	10,336	3,486	1,805	413	6,096	102
<b>State</b>	4,181,184	81,530	66,908	277,361	565,024	579,273	324,789	77,827	207,649	2,000,823
Alabama	49,955	325	**	**	**	202	**	**	3,134	46,294
Alaska	6,747	255	350	988	814	358	437	109	841	2,595
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	78,243	1,123	4,948	11,222	21,999	22,537	6,615	726	4,695	4,378
Arkansas	30,130	2,019	712	2,024	10,569	10,204	23	34	4,545	0
California	312,046	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	312,046
Colorado <sup>b</sup>	78,114	2,766	56	222	773	256	3,857	682	**	69,502
Connecticut <sup>b</sup>	56,336	4,096	**	8,101	13,058	11,160	4,326	4,813	10,782	0
Delaware	16,831	220	403	2,780	2,863	1,876	3,889	0	4,800	0
District of Columbia	8,955	76	144	1,988	1,034	2,981	995	143	1,254	340
Florida <sup>b</sup>	267,738	4,155	2,910	35,296	76,267	54,498	20,546	15,998	18,808	39,260
Georgia	392,688	525	6,816	26,077	53,446	54,357	1,263	0	10,170	240,034
Hawaii	19,469	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	19,469
Idaho	56,975	297	934	1,993	4,192	4,470	1,866	99	**	43,124
Illinois	144,692	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	144,692
Indiana	130,207	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	130,207
Iowa	23,201	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	23,201
Kansas	17,236	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	17,236
Kentucky <sup>b</sup>	54,205	3,331	605	5,852	10,716	17,320	6,112	5,754	4,515	0
Louisiana	42,259	91	892	1,951	13,159	20,457	1,955	174	2,693	887
Maine	7,316	1,609	652	308	2,074	1,283	609	367	**	414
Maryland <sup>c</sup>	104,541	**	3,417	20,178	19,814	25,706	19,387	6,060	9,979	0
Massachusetts	180,677	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	180,677
Michigan <sup>b</sup>	175,131	4,624	952	1,835	4,227	5,754	10,704	2,735	9,235	135,065
Minnesota	121,446	5,847	4,650	10,717	16,674	14,159	47,949	8,695	12,754	1
Mississippi	24,276	243	823	2,367	10,399	9,461	948	35	0	0
Missouri	57,665	5,010	997	6,105	17,438	20,849	4,067	1,178	1,721	300
Montana	10,085	231	622	2,213	2,691	2,147	1,099	3	259	820
Nebraska	18,591	1,004	316	1,152	1,394	1,339	8,701	3,309	1,376	0
Nevada	12,300	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	12,300
New Hampshire	4,509	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	4,509
New Jersey <sup>b</sup>	124,176	2,904	1,496	11,217	46,255	58,538	2,966	800	0	0
New Mexico	20,086	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	20,086
New York	119,657	**	4,830	19,801	30,889	21,807	26,866	2,533	10,183	2,748
North Carolina	106,581	3,268	2,052	10,039	19,743	22,984	18,372	11,732	0	18,391
North Dakota	4,173	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	4,173
Ohio <sup>b</sup>	254,949	6,954	2,191	5,283	18,855	15,792	10,001	5,706	7,740	182,427
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	27,067	438	1,370	3,236	5,303	8,800	2,987	8	2,311	2,614
Oregon	40,724	978	2,043	6,533	8,117	10,835	4,014	0	**	8,204
Pennsylvania <sup>d</sup>	192,231	**	309	1,690	318	1,231	329	**	1,526	186,828
Rhode Island	25,924	3,074	1,096	3,222	3,003	7,406	336	797	6,990	0
South Carolina	39,688	1,104	1,060	5,387	14,171	9,036	1,310	1,693	5,927	0
South Dakota	6,602	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	6,602
Tennessee	59,558	1,801	972	7,477	9,941	11,820	3,754	704	21,179	1,910
Texas <sup>b</sup>	426,331	16,111	11,946	47,395	99,548	107,952	97,689	**	45,690	0
Utah	11,528	276	819	1,385	3,428	3,477	956	349	750	88
Vermont <sup>b</sup>	6,833	596	441	1,033	1,674	557	1,364	473	617	78
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	55,645	724	2,170	6,900	15,970	11,073	1,057	668	1,669	15,414
Washington <sup>b</sup>	96,369	5,186	2,269	3,040	3,136	5,239	6,422	1,428	1,150	68,499
West Virginia	8,409	**	420	**	**	**	**	**	**	7,989
Wisconsin	47,421	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	47,421
Wyoming	4,668	269	225	354	1,072	1,352	1,018	22	356	0
<b>Northeast</b>	717,659	12,279	8,824	45,372	97,271	101,982	36,796	9,783	30,098	375,254
<b>Midwest</b>	1,001,314	23,439	9,106	25,092	58,588	57,893	81,422	21,623	32,826	691,325
<b>South</b>	1,714,857	34,431	36,712	178,947	362,943	368,727	180,287	43,003	136,674	373,133
<b>West</b>	747,354	11,381	12,266	27,950	46,222	50,671	26,284	3,418	8,051	561,111

\*\*Not known.

<sup>a</sup>Includes some probationers under supervision for a public-order offense, such as a weapon offense, immigration offense, obstruction of justice, drunkenness, disorderly conduct, vagrancy, commercialized vice, contributing to the delinquency of a minor, harboring a criminal, animal abuse, harassment, tax violation, underage sale or consumption of alcohol, making a false alarm, non-support of dependents, and other offenses. Also includes some probationers under supervision for a property offense, such as fraud, forgery, trespassing, and arson, because they could not be reportedly separately in "property" offense.

<sup>b</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for most serious offense.

<sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>d</sup>Detailed data represent state probationers only. Detailed data are not available for county probationers.

**Appendix Table 11. Adults on probation, 2009: number tracked by a Global Positioning System (GPS), number on parole, or number incarcerated**

Region and jurisdiction	Location tracked by GPS			Incarcerated			
	Total	Sex offenders	On parole	Jail	Prison	Community-based correctional facility	ICE holding facility
<b>U.S. total</b>	8,079	3,383	5,565	21,356	11,894	12,766	2,334
<b>Federal<sup>a,b</sup></b>	95	13	~	~	~	~	~
<b>State</b>	7,984	3,370	5,565	21,356	11,894	12,766	2,334
Alabama	**	**	**	1,346	**	**	**
Alaska	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
Arizona <sup>a</sup>	346	227	**	**	**	**	**
Arkansas	~	~	**	968	127	73	0
California	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Colorado <sup>a</sup>	42	**	**	11	**	3,407	58
Connecticut <sup>a</sup>	53	53	**	~	~	~	**
Delaware	124	**	**	**	13	7	**
District of Columbia	327	23	389	0	635	0	8
Florida <sup>a</sup>	2,221	1,575	39	1,032	1,282	8	1,145
Georgia <sup>a</sup>	33	9	**	**	**	3,715	0
Hawaii	~	~	**	**	**	**	**
Idaho	53	4	**	1	1	**	**
Illinois	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Indiana	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
Iowa	523	237	~	~	~	626	~
Kansas	**	**	**	**	**	**	~
Kentucky <sup>a</sup>	217	40	847	191	29	300	0
Louisiana	0	0	232	4,128	11	48	15
Maine	**	**	9	110	2	0	0
Maryland <sup>c</sup>	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Massachusetts <sup>a</sup>	965	618	**	**	**	**	**
Michigan <sup>a</sup>	236	52	219	158	227	47	7
Minnesota	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Mississippi	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
Missouri	63	10	**	**	**	**	**
Montana	2	2	**	198	220	31	**
Nebraska	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
Nevada	~	~	**	**	**	**	**
New Hampshire	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
New Jersey	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
New Mexico	**	**	1,037	0	0	0	0
New York	**	**	~	~	~	~	~
North Carolina	955	121	422	**	**	**	**
North Dakota <sup>a</sup>	53	45	**	**	**	**	**
Ohio <sup>a</sup>	1,075	16	204	2,634	187	1,051	13
Oklahoma	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Oregon	~	~	0	58	0	0	1,017
Pennsylvania <sup>d</sup>	**	**	0	782	24	5	2
Rhode Island	~	~	0	~	2,937	~	~
South Carolina	143	128	~	~	~	~	~
South Dakota	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
Tennessee	151	148	**	1,872	267	**	20
Texas	**	**	**	3,963	4,437	3,208	**
Utah	**	**	0	1,715	26	81	3
Vermont <sup>a</sup>	3	1	**	**	439	**	**
Virginia <sup>a,c</sup>	116	**	**	**	**	**	**
Washington <sup>a</sup>	230	10	1,718	1,250	15	51	46
West Virginia <sup>c</sup>	18	18	152	939	**	**	**
Wisconsin	32	30	297	**	1,015	**	**
Wyoming	3	3	**	**	**	108	**
<b>Northeast</b>	1,021	672	9	892	3,402	5	2
<b>Midwest</b>	1,982	390	720	2,792	1,429	1,724	20
<b>South</b>	4,305	2,062	2,081	14,439	6,801	7,359	1,188
<b>West</b>	676	246	2,755	3,233	262	3,678	1,124

\*\*Not known.

~Not applicable

<sup>a</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated.

<sup>b</sup>A sex offender is defined as any offender convicted of a sex offense, ordered to a special condition for sex offender treatment, or fulfilling other sex offender treatment requirements.

<sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>d</sup>Detailed data represent state probationers only. Detailed data are not available for county probationers.



**Appendix Table 12. Adults on parole, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Parole population, 1/1/2009	Entries		Exits		Parole population, 12/31/2009	Change, 2009	Percent change, 2009	Number on parole per 100,000 adults residents, 12/31/2009
		Reported	Imputed <sup>a</sup>	Reported	Imputed <sup>a</sup>				
<b>U.S. total</b>	824,834	545,266	573,900	552,611	579,100	819,308	-5,526	-0.7 %	351
<b>Federal</b>	97,010	46,348	46,348	41,116	41,116	102,242	5,232	5.4 %	44
<b>State</b>	727,824	498,918	527,500	511,495	538,000	717,066	-10,758	-1.5 %	307
Alabama <sup>b</sup>	8,042	3,181	3,181	2,794	2,794	8,429	387	4.8	234
Alaska <sup>b</sup>	1,714	616	616	454	454	1,939	225	13.1	374
Arizona <sup>c</sup>	7,537	14,143	14,143	13,471	13,471	8,209	672	8.9	168
Arkansas	19,687	9,911	9,911	8,432	8,432	21,166	1,479	7.5	967
California <sup>d</sup>	120,753	175,840	175,840	190,558	190,558	106,035	-14,718	-12.2	383
Colorado <sup>b</sup>	11,654	9,981	9,981	9,980	9,980	11,655	1	0.0	304
Connecticut <sup>b</sup>	2,328	3,354	3,354	2,809	2,809	2,873	545	23.4	106
Delaware <sup>b</sup>	551	426	426	458	458	519	-32	-5.8	76
District of Columbia <sup>b</sup>	5,767	2,326	2,326	1,774	1,774	6,319	552	9.6	1,288
Florida <sup>b,c</sup>	4,528	6,993	6,993	7,198	7,198	4,323	-205	-4.5	30
Georgia	23,448	13,008	13,008	12,427	12,427	24,029	581	2.5	329
Hawaii <sup>b</sup>	1,904	733	733	806	806	1,831	-73	-3.8	182
Idaho <sup>b</sup>	3,361	1,756	1,756	1,670	1,670	3,447	86	2.6	304
Illinois	33,683	34,693	34,693	35,214	35,214	33,162	-521	-1.5	339
Indiana <sup>d</sup>	10,653	11,280	11,280	11,406	11,406	10,527	-126	-1.2	217
Iowa <sup>d</sup>	3,159	2,506	2,506	2,400	2,400	3,265	106	3.4	142
Kansas <sup>d</sup>	4,958	4,803	4,803	4,751	4,751	5,010	52	1.0	236
Kentucky <sup>b</sup>	12,377	6,632	6,632	6,472	6,472	12,537	160	1.3	378
Louisiana	24,636	13,660	13,660	12,613	12,613	25,683	1,047	4.2	758
Maine	31	1	1	1	1	31	0	0.0	3
Maryland	13,220	7,463	7,463	6,941	6,941	13,742	522	3.9	315
Massachusetts <sup>b</sup>	3,113	4,716	4,716	4,464	4,464	3,365	252	8.1	65
Michigan <sup>b</sup>	22,523	13,618	13,618	11,767	11,767	24,374	1,851	8.2	320
Minnesota	5,093	5,642	5,642	5,300	5,300	5,435	342	6.7	135
Mississippi <sup>b</sup>	2,922	4,078	4,078	1,574	1,574	5,426	2,504	85.7	248
Missouri	19,212	11,934	11,934	11,473	11,473	19,673	461	2.4	430
Montana <sup>b</sup>	1,062	548	548	603	603	1,007	-55	-5.2	133
Nebraska	846	1,044	1,044	1,067	1,067	823	-23	-2.7	61
Nevada	3,908	4,202	4,202	3,924	3,924	4,186	278	7.1	212
New Hampshire <sup>b</sup>	1,661	1,107	1,107	948	948	1,820	159	9.6	175
New Jersey	15,532	8,497	8,497	8,673	8,673	15,356	-176	-1.1	229
New Mexico <sup>b</sup>	3,724	276	276	843	843	3,157	-567	-15.2	209
New York	52,225	24,224	24,224	26,499	26,499	49,950	-2,275	-4.4	329
North Carolina <sup>b,c</sup>	3,409	3,677	3,677	3,542	3,542	3,544	135	4.0	50
North Dakota	386	727	727	756	756	357	-29	-7.5	71
Ohio <sup>b</sup>	19,119	8,711	8,711	13,255	13,255	14,575	-4,544	-23.8	165
Oklahoma <sup>c</sup>	3,073	894	894	997	997	2,970	-103	-3.4	107
Oregon	21,962	8,987	8,987	8,555	8,555	22,394	432	2.0	754
Pennsylvania <sup>b,e</sup>	72,951	11,107	39,700	11,039	37,600	75,112	2,161	3.0	761
Rhode Island <sup>d</sup>	469	626	626	510	510	585	116	24.7	71
South Carolina <sup>b</sup>	1,857	376	376	621	621	1,612	-245	-13.2	46
South Dakota	2,720	1,767	1,767	1,739	1,739	2,748	28	1.0	446
Tennessee <sup>b</sup>	10,464	5,080	5,080	3,616	3,616	11,627	1,163	11.1	241
Texas <sup>c</sup>	102,921	34,702	34,702	32,680	32,680	104,943	2,022	2.0	581
Utah	3,566	2,144	2,144	2,506	2,506	3,204	-362	-10.2	166
Vermont <sup>b,c</sup>	1,081	606	606	600	600	1,087	6	0.6	219
Virginia <sup>b,c</sup>	4,471	876	876	742	742	4,605	134	3.0	76
Washington <sup>b</sup>	11,768	6,111	6,111	11,316	11,316	6,563	-5,205	-44.2	128
West Virginia	2,005	1,341	1,341	1,457	1,457	1,889	-116	-5.8	131
Wisconsin <sup>c</sup>	19,063	7,698	7,698	7,427	7,427	19,334	271	1.4	443
Wyoming	727	296	296	373	373	614	-113	-15.5 %	147
<b>Northeast</b>	149,391	54,238	82,900	55,543	82,100	150,179	788	0.5 %	349
<b>Midwest</b>	141,415	104,423	104,423	106,555	106,555	139,283	-2,132	-1.5	273
<b>South</b>	243,378	114,624	114,624	104,338	104,338	253,363	9,985	4.1	295
<b>West</b>	193,640	225,633	225,633	245,059	245,059	174,241	-19,399	-10.0	324

Note: Because of nonresponse or incomplete data, the parole population for some jurisdictions on December 31, 2009, does not equal the population on January 1, plus entries, minus exits. Rates were computed using the estimated adult resident population in each jurisdiction on January 1, 2009. See *Methodology* for more detail.

<sup>a</sup>Reflects reported data except for jurisdictions in which data were not available. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.

<sup>b</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>c</sup>Some or all data were estimated.

<sup>d</sup>Population excludes parolees in one of the following categories: absconder or supervised out of state. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>e</sup>Data for entries and exits were estimated for nonreporting county agencies. See *Methodology*. The December 31, 2009, population includes 25,374 parolees under state parole supervision.

Reported entries reflect parolees who entered state parole supervision through a discretionary release from prison.

**Appendix Table 13. Adults entering parole, by type of sentence, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Total reported	Discretionary <sup>a</sup>	Mandatory <sup>b</sup>	Reinstatement <sup>c</sup>	Term of supervised released <sup>d</sup>		Unknown or not reported
					released <sup>d</sup>	Other <sup>e</sup>	
<b>U.S. total</b>	545,266	146,696	250,288	41,318	81,139	18,515	7,310
<b>Federal</b>	46,348	771	498	70	45,009	0	0
<b>State</b>	498,918	145,925	249,790	41,248	36,130	18,515	7,310
Alabama	3,181	**	**	**	**	30	3,151
Alaska	616	37	579	**	**	**	0
Arizona <sup>f</sup>	14,143	63	213	0	12,783	1,084	0
Arkansas	9,911	9,560	**	**	**	351	0
California	175,840	3	130,723	35,268	**	9,846	0
Colorado <sup>g</sup>	9,981	3,351	6,100	0	0	530	0
Connecticut	3,354	2,538	0	**	816	0	0
Delaware	426	**	**	**	**	**	426
District of Columbia	2,326	671	0	0	1,655	0	0
Florida	6,993	70	6,490	2	416	15	0
Georgia <sup>g</sup>	13,008	10,940	~	2,068	~	~	0
Hawaii	733	733	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho	1,756	1,448	~	308	~	~	0
Illinois	34,693	19	32,693	456	~	933	592
Indiana	11,280	0	11,280	0	0	0	0
Iowa	2,506	**	**	**	**	**	2,506
Kansas <sup>g</sup>	4,803	167	10	96	3,172	1,358	0
Kentucky	6,632	6,233	135	9	~	255	0
Louisiana	13,660	615	12,768	275	**	2	0
Maine	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Maryland <sup>g</sup>	7,463	3,020	4,443	**	**	**	0
Massachusetts	4,716	4,447	0	269	0	0	0
Michigan <sup>f</sup>	13,618	11,907	1,033	678	~	0	0
Minnesota	5,642	0	5,642	0	0	0	0
Mississippi	4,078	3,930	0	148	0	0	0
Missouri	11,934	9,430	895	584	**	1,025	0
Montana <sup>g</sup>	548	548	0	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	1,044	1,032	0	12	0	0	0
Nevada <sup>f</sup>	4,202	2,675	1,494	33	~	0	0
New Hampshire	1,107	1,107	0	0	0	0	0
New Jersey	8,497	6,904	1,593	~	~	~	0
New Mexico	276	**	**	**	**	**	276
New York <sup>g</sup>	24,224	7,515	6,719	~	8,794	1,196	0
North Carolina	3,677	359	923	~	2,395	0	0
North Dakota	727	727	**	**	**	**	0
Ohio	8,711	1,055	7,299	357	0	0	0
Oklahoma <sup>f</sup>	894	894	0	0	0	0	0
Oregon	8,987	1,126	7,780	19	**	**	62
Pennsylvania <sup>h</sup>	11,107	11,107	0	0	0	0	0
Rhode Island	626	626	**	**	**	**	0
South Carolina	376	376	0	0	0	0	0
South Dakota	1,767	645	1,060	8	~	53	1
Tennessee	5,080	4,704	3	351	0	22	0
Texas <sup>f</sup>	34,702	30,867	2,038	136	~	1,661	0
Utah	2,144	2,117	0	27	0	0	0
Vermont <sup>f</sup>	606	411	~	144	0	51	0
Virginia <sup>f</sup>	876	247	629	0	0	0	0
Washington	6,111	16	6,095	0	0	0	0
West Virginia	1,341	1,341	0	0	0	0	0
Wisconsin <sup>f</sup>	7,698	343	1,153	0	6,099	103	0
Wyoming	296	**	**	**	**	~	296
<b>Northeast</b>	54,238	34,656	8,312	413	9,610	1,247	0
<b>Midwest</b>	104,423	25,325	61,065	2,191	9,271	3,472	3,099
<b>South</b>	114,624	73,827	27,429	2,989	4,466	2,336	3,577
<b>West</b>	225,633	12,117	152,984	35,655	12,783	11,460	634

Note: Based on reported data only. For imputed entries to parole, see appendix table 12.

\*\*Not known.

~Not applicable.

<sup>a</sup>Discretionary parole entries are persons entering because of a parole board decision.

<sup>b</sup>Mandatory parole entries are persons whose releases from prison were not decided by a parole board. Includes those entering because of determinate sentencing statutes, good-time provisions, or emergency releases.

<sup>c</sup>Reinstatement parole entries are persons returned to parole after serving time in a prison because of a parole violation. Depending on the reporting jurisdiction, reinstatement entries may include only parolees who were originally released from prison through a discretionary, only those originally released through a mandatory release, or a combination of both types.

<sup>d</sup>Term of supervised release entries are persons sentenced by a judge to a fixed period of incarceration based on a determinate statute immediately followed by a period of supervised release in the community.

<sup>e</sup>Includes parolees who were: transferred from another state, placed on supervised release from jail, released to a drug transition program, released from a boot camp operated by the Department of Corrections, released from prison through a conditional medical or mental health release to parole, absconders that were returned to parole supervision, under supervision due to a suspended sentence, and others.

<sup>f</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for type of sentence.

<sup>g</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>h</sup>Data represent state parolees only. Data are not available for county parolees.

**Appendix Table 14. Adults exiting parole, by type of exit, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Total reported	Completion	Returned to incarceration				Other/ unknown	Absconder	Other unsatisfactory <sup>a</sup>	Death	Other <sup>b</sup>	Unknown or not reported
			With new sentence	With revocation	To receive treatment	Other/ unknown						
<b>U.S. total</b>	552,611	277,276	47,882	131,734	1,482	4,452	48,608	10,707	5,099	19,345	6,026	
<b>Federal</b>	41,116	22,739	2,102	8,926	0	64	1,425	1,094	545	540	3,681	
<b>State</b>	511,495	254,537	45,780	122,808	1,482	4,388	47,183	9,613	4,554	18,805	2,345	
Alabama	2,794	1,387	606	339	100	3	0	0	91	268	0	
Alaska	454	132	14	138	**	143	**	**	**	**	27	
Arizona <sup>c</sup>	13,471	6,819	266	3,152	0	0	0	3,228	6	**	0	
Arkansas	8,432	3,725	1,079	2,614	**	100	196	0	156	562	0	
California	190,558	61,227	18,286	58,958	**	**	41,958	2	764	9,363	0	
Colorado	9,980	4,641	1,051	3,979	0	0	0	0	93	216	0	
Connecticut	2,809	1,514	0	0	0	1,157	138	0	**	0	0	
Delaware	458	262	**	**	**	8	**	83	7	98	0	
District of Columbia	1,774	581	**	**	**	601	0	94	77	421	0	
Florida <sup>c</sup>	7,198	5,152	420	775	**	**	**	**	51	525	275	
Georgia <sup>d</sup>	12,427	8,401	395	2,534	0	962	45	0	82	0	8	
Hawaii	806	316	2	256	0	0	0	0	12	0	220	
Idaho	1,670	674	173	553	~	0	~	254	16	~	0	
Illinois	35,214	20,017	3,357	9,493	~	~	1,066	0	40	808	433	
Indiana	11,406	5,775	844	1,724	0	0	1,098	0	48	1,917	0	
Iowa <sup>c</sup>	2,400	1,525	**	605	**	0	1	6	20	58	185	
Kansas <sup>d</sup>	4,751	2,144	141	1,124	~	3	1,203	**	40	96	0	
Kentucky	6,472	3,254	463	2,076	~	~	435	~	90	154	0	
Louisiana	12,613	7,352	1,204	643	~	212	~	2,974	130	98	0	
Maine	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Maryland <sup>d</sup>	6,941	5,360	**	**	**	**	**	1,474	107	**	0	
Massachusetts	4,464	3,566	231	642	0	4	0	0	21	0	0	
Michigan	11,767	7,537	1,967	2,105	~	~	~	~	0	158	0	
Minnesota	5,300	2,778	256	1,854	0	0	395	0	17	0	0	
Mississippi	1,574	1,167	0	0	0	255	115	0	19	18	0	
Missouri	11,473	5,007	548	5,762	**	**	**	**	156	**	0	
Montana <sup>d</sup>	603	320	9	265	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	
Nebraska	1,067	771	15	277	~	0	0	0	4	0	0	
Nevada <sup>c</sup>	3,924	3,198	290	168	~	164	66	0	38	0	0	
New Hampshire	948	408	**	**	**	540	0	0	**	**	0	
New Jersey	8,673	6,663	189	1,734	**	**	**	**	87	**	0	
New Mexico	843	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	843	
New York <sup>d</sup>	26,499	15,248	1,558	7,967	1,378	136	~	0	212	0	0	
North Carolina	3,542	2,791	115	194	~	0	185	23	32	0	202	
North Dakota	756	564	33	139	**	**	19	**	1	**	0	
Ohio	13,255	8,180	1,045	194	0	0	222	0	141	3,473	0	
Oklahoma <sup>c</sup>	997	804	112	44	0	0	**	0	37	**	0	
Oregon	8,555	5,084	881	1,564	4	**	7	762	120	10	123	
Pennsylvania <sup>e</sup>	11,039	4,880	2,216	3,202	0	0	0	134	182	425	0	
Rhode Island	510	362	34	113	**	0	0	0	1	**	0	
South Carolina	621	488	16	90	0	0	0	0	19	8	0	
South Dakota	1,739	821	101	787	~	19	~	**	11	**	0	
Tennessee	3,616	2,214	651	652	0	0	0	0	99	0	0	
Texas <sup>c</sup>	32,680	24,132	5,844	1,564	~	0	~	~	1,140	~	0	
Utah	2,506	585	285	1,333	0	20	0	174	19	90	0	
Vermont <sup>c</sup>	600	366	97	69	~	28	0	~	10	30	0	
Virginia <sup>c</sup>	742	664	0	0	0	33	0	0	36	9	0	
Washington	11,316	10,740	**	**	**	0	0	374	202	0	0	
West Virginia	1,457	896	8	507	0	0	34	0	12	0	0	
Wisconsin <sup>c</sup>	7,427	3,761	965	2,572	**	**	**	31	98	**	0	
Wyoming	373	284	13	47	0	0	**	0	0	**	29	
<b>Northeast</b>	55,543	33,007	4,325	13,727	1,378	1,865	138	134	514	455	0	
<b>Midwest</b>	106,555	58,880	9,272	26,636	**	22	4,004	37	576	6,510	618	
<b>South</b>	104,338	68,630	10,913	12,032	100	2,174	1,010	4,648	2,185	2,161	485	
<b>West</b>	245,059	94,020	21,270	70,413	4	327	42,031	4,794	1,279	9,679	1,242	

Note: Based on reported data only. For imputed exits from parole, see appendix table 12.

\*\*Not known.

~Not applicable.

<sup>a</sup>Includes parolees discharged from supervision who failed to meet all conditions of supervision, had their parole sentence rescinded, or had their parole sentence revoked but were not returned to incarceration because their sentence was immediately reinstated, and other types of unsatisfactory exits; includes some early terminations and expirations of sentence.

<sup>b</sup>Includes 4,967 parolees who were transferred to another state and 14,378 parolees who exited for other reasons. Other reasons include parolees who were deported or transferred to the jurisdiction of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), had their sentence terminated by the court through an appeal, were transferred to another state through an interstate compact agreement or discharged to probation supervision, and other types of exits.

<sup>c</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated type of exit.

<sup>d</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>e</sup>Data represent state parolees only. Data are not available for county parolees.

**Appendix Table 15. Characteristics of adults on parole, 2000, 2008-2009**

<b>Characteristics</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Total</b>	100 %	100 %	100 %
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	88 %	88 %	88 %
Female	12	12	12
<b>Race and Hispanic or Latino origin</b>			
White <sup>a</sup>	38 %	41 %	41 %
Black <sup>a</sup>	40	38	39
Hispanic or Latino	21	19	18
American Indian/Alaska Native <sup>a</sup>	1	1	1
Asian/Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander <sup>a</sup>	--	1	1
Two or more races <sup>a</sup>	...	--	--
<b>Status of supervision</b>			
Active	83 %	85 %	85 %
Inactive	4	4	4
Absconder	7	6	5
Supervised out of state	5	4	4
Financial conditions remaining	...	--	--
Other	1	1	2
<b>Maximum sentence to incarceration</b>			
Less than 1 year	3 %	6 %	5 %
1 year or more	97	94	95
<b>Most serious offense</b>			
Violent	...	26 %	27 %
Sex offense	...	...	8
Other violent	...	...	19
Property	...	23	23
Drug	...	37	36
Weapon	...	3	3
Other <sup>b</sup>	...	11	10

Note: Each characteristic is based on parolees with a known status. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. See appendix tables 16-20 for 2009 data by jurisdiction.

--Less than 0.5%.

...Not available.

<sup>a</sup>Excludes persons of Hispanic or Latino origin.

<sup>b</sup>Includes public-order offenses.

**Appendix Table 16. Adults on parole, by sex, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Parole population,		Unknown or not reported	
	12/31/2009	Male		Female
<b>U.S. total</b>	819,308	718,982	98,432	1,894
<b>Federal</b>	102,242	86,607	15,570	65
<b>State</b>	717,066	632,375	82,862	1,829
Alabama	8,429	7,543	886	0
Alaska	1,939	1,826	113	0
Arizona <sup>a</sup>	8,209	7,007	1,202	0
Arkansas	21,166	18,166	3,000	0
California <sup>a</sup>	106,035	94,111	11,924	0
Colorado	11,655	9,940	1,715	0
Connecticut	2,873	2,470	196	207
Delaware	519	474	45	0
District of Columbia	6,319	5,777	542	0
Florida	4,323	4,102	221	0
Georgia	24,029	21,405	2,624	0
Hawaii	1,831	1,566	265	0
Idaho	3,447	2,926	521	0
Illinois <sup>a</sup>	33,162	29,948	3,214	0
Indiana	10,527	9,309	1,218	0
Iowa	3,265	2,748	516	1
Kansas	5,010	4,562	448	0
Kentucky	12,537	10,264	2,273	0
Louisiana	25,683	22,870	2,813	0
Maine	31	30	1	0
Maryland <sup>a</sup>	13,742	12,670	1,072	0
Massachusetts	3,365	3,105	260	0
Michigan	24,374	22,424	1,950	0
Minnesota	5,435	4,942	493	0
Mississippi	5,426	4,473	953	0
Missouri	19,673	16,726	2,947	0
Montana <sup>b</sup>	1,007	884	123	0
Nebraska	823	714	109	0
Nevada <sup>a</sup>	4,186	3,713	473	0
New Hampshire	1,820	1,596	224	0
New Jersey	15,356	12,863	874	1,619
New Mexico	3,157	2,732	425	0
New York	49,950	46,672	3,278	0
North Carolina	3,544	3,355	189	0
North Dakota	357	290	67	0
Ohio	14,575	13,322	1,253	0
Oklahoma <sup>a</sup>	2,970	2,461	509	0
Oregon	22,394	19,527	2,867	0
Pennsylvania	75,112	61,016	14,096	0
Rhode Island	585	546	39	0
South Carolina	1,612	1,437	175	0
South Dakota	2,748	2,218	530	0
Tennessee	11,627	10,186	1,441	0
Texas <sup>a</sup>	104,943	94,035	10,908	0
Utah	3,204	2,767	437	0
Vermont <sup>a</sup>	1,087	885	202	0
Virginia <sup>a</sup>	4,605	4,347	257	1
Washington	6,563	5,948	615	0
West Virginia	1,889	1,517	372	0
Wisconsin	19,334	17,443	1,891	0
Wyoming	614	517	96	1
<b>Northeast</b>	150,179	129,183	19,170	1,826
<b>Midwest</b>	139,283	124,646	14,636	1
<b>South</b>	253,363	225,082	28,280	1
<b>West</b>	174,241	153,464	20,776	1

<sup>a</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for sex.

<sup>b</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

**Appendix Table 17. Adults on parole, by race and Hispanic or Latino origin, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Parole population, 12/31/2009	White	Black/African American	Hispanic or Latino	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	Two or more races	Unknown or not reported
<b>U.S. total</b>	819,308	330,004	315,581	145,436	8,366	5,529	1,219	133	13,040
<b>Federal</b>	102,242	35,921	39,163	22,140	2,008	2,538	245	**	227
<b>State</b>	717,066	294,083	276,418	123,296	6,358	2,991	974	133	12,813
Alabama	8,429	2,953	5,413	52	3	8	0	0	0
Alaska	1,939	1,124	207	50	499	49	**	**	10
Arizona <sup>a</sup>	8,209	3,608	1,056	2,944	472	28	0	0	101
Arkansas	21,166	11,930	8,518	611	37	50	0	**	20
California <sup>a,b</sup>	106,035	32,473	29,432	38,790	906	443	486	**	3,505
Colorado	11,655	5,494	1,871	3,963	228	99	~	~	0
Connecticut	2,873	621	1,234	793	6	12	~	~	207
Delaware	519	217	286	15	0	0	0	0	1
District of Columbia	6,319	75	6,106	112	1	5	1	~	19
Florida	4,323	1,467	2,424	408	8	5	5	5	1
Georgia	24,029	9,352	13,991	598	24	20	~	8	36
Hawaii	1,831	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	1,831
Idaho	3,447	2,558	64	676	72	18	~	~	59
Illinois <sup>a</sup>	33,162	9,401	18,970	4,649	34	93	**	**	15
Indiana	10,527	6,151	3,879	432	25	7	6	7	20
Iowa	3,265	2,530	528	138	40	28	~	~	1
Kansas	5,010	2,934	1,428	512	58	40	**	**	38
Kentucky	12,537	9,071	3,331	79	6	20	**	30	0
Louisiana	25,683	8,722	16,848	51	8	21	32	~	1
Maine	31	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maryland <sup>a</sup>	13,742	3,700	9,933	**	9	23	**	**	77
Massachusetts	3,365	1,736	811	700	8	43	0	0	67
Michigan <sup>b</sup>	24,374	11,724	11,822	292	195	73	0	0	268
Minnesota	5,435	3,258	1,286	413	366	110	**	**	2
Mississippi	5,426	1,943	3,447	24	3	6	0	0	3
Missouri	19,673	12,677	6,458	423	71	31	~	~	13
Montana <sup>b</sup>	1,007	801	22	38	138	5	0	1	2
Nebraska	823	511	174	100	29	5	0	~	4
Nevada	4,186	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	4,186
New Hampshire	1,820	1,556	105	**	5	4	1	**	149
New Jersey	15,356	4,504	6,926	3,557	16	161	**	**	192
New Mexico	3,157	889	234	1,725	283	8	1	0	17
New York	49,950	9,218	22,432	17,334	275	321	370	~	0
North Carolina	3,544	1,207	2,050	180	69	15	1	**	22
North Dakota	357	249	19	21	67	1	**	**	0
Ohio	14,575	7,987	6,413	117	15	8	0	0	35
Oklahoma <sup>a</sup>	2,970	1,569	989	258	139	8	0	**	7
Oregon	22,394	17,082	1,992	2,634	443	231	**	**	12
Pennsylvania	75,112	40,048	27,131	6,065	59	342	3	55	1,409
Rhode Island <sup>b</sup>	585	336	140	99	3	6	/	/	1
South Carolina	1,612	550	1,031	20	0	0	0	~	11
South Dakota	2,748	1,842	153	95	642	14	~	~	2
Tennessee	11,627	6,036	5,275	284	11	21	0	0	0
Texas <sup>a</sup>	104,943	33,807	39,624	30,915	60	230	~	~	307
Utah	3,204	2,132	154	700	105	39	63	0	11
Vermont <sup>a,b</sup>	1,087	1,003	54	/	9	3	/	/	18
Virginia <sup>a</sup>	4,605	1,566	2,992	**	5	14	**	**	28
Washington	6,563	4,112	1,224	735	290	160	3	27	12
West Virginia	1,889	1,657	215	6	2	0	0	0	9
Wisconsin	19,334	9,202	7,693	1,608	589	162	/	**	80
Wyoming	614	469	33	80	25	1	2	0	4
<b>Northeast</b>	150,179	59,053	58,833	28,548	381	892	374	55	2,043
<b>Midwest</b>	139,283	68,466	58,823	8,800	2,131	572	6	7	478
<b>South</b>	253,363	95,822	122,473	33,613	385	446	39	43	542
<b>West</b>	174,241	70,742	36,289	52,335	3,461	1,081	555	28	9,750

\*\*Not known.

~Not applicable.

/Not reported.

<sup>a</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for race and Hispanic or Latino origin.

<sup>b</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

**Appendix Table 18. Adults on parole, by status of supervision, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Parole population, 12/31/2009	Only financial conditions			Absconder	Supervised out of state	Other <sup>a</sup>	Unknown or not reported
		Active	Inactive					
<b>U.S. total</b>	819,308	689,764	119	34,065	42,676	34,310	12,871	5,503
<b>Federal</b>	102,242	102,242	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>State</b>	717,066	587,522	119	34,065	42,676	34,310	12,871	5,503
Alabama	8,429	6,260	119	0	448	1,602	0	0
Alaska	1,939	**	**	**	**	**	**	1,939
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	8,209	5,740	~	649	904	354	562	0
Arkansas	21,166	13,452	**	4,455	1,986	1,273	0	0
California <sup>b,c</sup>	106,035	106,035	0	0	/	/	0	0
Colorado <sup>d</sup>	11,655	8,780	~	~	725	2,150	~	0
Connecticut	2,873	2,666	0	0	0	207	0	0
Delaware	519	451	0	0	8	60	0	0
District of Columbia	6,319	4,230	0	250	357	19	1,463	0
Florida	4,323	2,647	**	981	447	248	0	0
Georgia	24,029	20,345	~	~	692	2,992	~	0
Hawaii	1,831	1,464	0	0	58	149	160	0
Idaho	3,447	2,653	**	354	0	439	~	1
Illinois	33,162	30,261	0	~	~	2,901	~	0
Indiana <sup>c</sup>	10,527	9,922	0	0	605	/	0	0
Iowa <sup>c</sup>	3,265	3,265	**	~	0	/	0	0
Kansas <sup>c</sup>	5,010	4,079	0	0	/	931	0	0
Kentucky	12,537	10,094	~	1,134	559	171	579	0
Louisiana	25,683	23,999	0	~	655	1,029	~	0
Maine	31	26	0	0	0	5	0	0
Maryland <sup>d</sup>	13,742	9,574	~	3,466	~	702	~	0
Massachusetts	3,365	3,090	0	0	152	123	0	0
Michigan	24,374	20,893	~	~	2,324	1,157	0	0
Minnesota	5,435	5,167	**	**	**	268	0	0
Mississippi	5,426	5,238	0	0	0	188	0	0
Missouri	19,673	17,276	0	0	618	1,779	**	0
Montana <sup>d</sup>	1,007	727	0	0	23	158	99	0
Nebraska	823	705	~	~	38	80	0	0
Nevada	4,186	2,349	**	1,279	296	262	0	0
New Hampshire	1,820	1,453	0	0	77	290	0	0
New Jersey	15,356	10,499	0	3,418	667	751	21	0
New Mexico	3,157	2,459	0	158	**	540	0	0
New York	49,950	35,555	~	5	3,948	1,369	9,073	0
North Carolina	3,544	3,295	**	~	122	127	0	0
North Dakota	357	266	**	**	**	91	**	0
Ohio	14,575	13,856	0	0	0	719	0	0
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	2,970	**	**	**	**	**	**	2,970
Oregon	22,394	13,958	**	1,428	6,386	615	**	7
Pennsylvania	75,112	60,776	**	4,170	6,672	3,494	0	0
Rhode Island <sup>c</sup>	585	**	**	**	**	/	**	585
South Carolina	1,612	1,095	0	137	201	179	0	0
South Dakota	2,748	2,145	~	~	189	414	**	0
Tennessee	11,627	10,992	~	~	217	417	~	1
Texas <sup>b</sup>	104,943	79,383	~	11,221	11,072	3,267	0	0
Utah	3,204	2,451	0	0	155	154	444	0
Vermont <sup>b</sup>	1,087	1,004	**	5	12	66	~	0
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	4,605	4,048	**	**	23	534	**	0
Washington	6,563	4,567	0	820	999	177	0	0
West Virginia	1,889	1,401	0	135	0	353	0	0
Wisconsin	19,334	16,409	**	**	1,041	1,414	470	0
Wyoming	614	522	0	0	**	92	0	0
<b>Northeast</b>	150,179	115,069	**	7,598	11,528	6,305	9,094	585
<b>Midwest</b>	139,283	124,244	**	**	4,815	9,754	470	0
<b>South</b>	253,363	196,504	119	21,779	16,787	13,161	2,042	2,971
<b>West</b>	174,241	151,705	**	4,688	9,546	5,090	1,265	1,947

\*\*Not known.

~Not applicable.

/Not reported.

<sup>a</sup>Includes parolees who were deported, confined, supervised but pending a release, supervised through a split sentence, including those who were held on a detainer, in a residential treatment facility, and others.

<sup>b</sup>Some or all data are estimated for status of supervision.

<sup>c</sup>December 31, 2009, population excludes parolees in one or more statuses. See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>d</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

**Appendix Table 19. Adults on parole, by maximum sentence to incarceration, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Parole population, 12/31/2009	One year or less	More than one year	Unknown or not reported
<b>U.S. total</b>	819,308	33,579	658,800	126,929
<b>Federal</b>	102,242	12,220	89,957	65
<b>State</b>	717,066	21,359	568,843	126,864
Alabama	8,429	2,009	6,420	0
Alaska	1,939	102	1,837	0
Arizona <sup>a</sup>	8,209	1,289	6,920	0
Arkansas	21,166	**	**	21,166
California <sup>a</sup>	106,035	0	106,035	0
Colorado	11,655	**	**	11,655
Connecticut <sup>b</sup>	2,873	0	2,873	0
Delaware	519	**	**	519
District of Columbia	6,319	0	6,319	0
Florida	4,323	219	4,104	0
Georgia	24,029	~	24,029	0
Hawaii	1,831	0	1,831	0
Idaho	3,447	0	3,447	0
Illinois <sup>a</sup>	33,162	3,595	29,545	22
Indiana	10,527	1,334	9,193	0
Iowa	3,265	**	**	3,265
Kansas <sup>b</sup>	5,010	**	5,010	0
Kentucky	12,537	611	11,926	0
Louisiana	25,683	142	24,795	746
Maine	31	0	31	0
Maryland <sup>b</sup>	13,742	**	13,742	0
Massachusetts	3,365	**	**	3,365
Michigan <sup>a</sup>	24,374	13	22,574	1,787
Minnesota	5,435	0	5,435	0
Mississippi	5,426	0	5,426	0
Missouri	19,673	0	19,673	0
Montana <sup>b</sup>	1,007	0	1,007	0
Nebraska	823	0	823	0
Nevada	4,186	**	**	4,186
New Hampshire	1,820	0	1,820	0
New Jersey	15,356	569	14,787	0
New Mexico	3,157	**	**	3,157
New York	49,950	0	49,950	0
North Carolina	3,544	319	3,225	0
North Dakota	357	194	163	0
Ohio	14,575	0	14,575	0
Oklahoma <sup>a</sup>	2,970	185	2,785	0
Oregon	22,394	**	**	22,394
Pennsylvania <sup>c</sup>	75,112	8,824	16,550	49,738
Rhode Island	585	22	563	0
South Carolina	1,612	**	**	1,612
South Dakota	2,748	**	**	2,748
Tennessee	11,627	1,689	9,938	0
Texas <sup>a</sup>	104,943	0	104,943	0
Utah	3,204	6	3,198	0
Vermont <sup>a</sup>	1,087	17	986	84
Virginia <sup>a</sup>	4,605	0	4,605	0
Washington	6,563	0	6,563	0
West Virginia	1,889	0	1,889	0
Wisconsin	19,334	220	18,694	420
Wyoming	614	**	614	0
<b>Northeast</b>	150,179	9,432	87,560	53,187
<b>Midwest</b>	139,283	5,356	125,685	8,242
<b>South</b>	253,363	5,174	224,146	24,043
<b>West</b>	174,241	1,397	131,452	41,392

\*\*Not known.

~Not applicable.

<sup>a</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for maximum sentence to incarceration.

<sup>b</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>c</sup>Detailed data represent state parolees only. Detailed data are not available for county parolees.



**Appendix Table 20. Adults on parole, by most serious offense, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Parole population, 12/31/2009	Violent offenses					Weapon offense	Other offense <sup>a</sup>	Unknown or not reported
		Sex offense	Other violent offense	Property offense	Drug offense				
<b>U.S. total</b>	819,308	58,546	134,073	168,671	261,666	24,756	72,889	98,707	
<b>Federal</b>	102,242	2,738	3,572	17,649	54,741	13,113	10,053	376	
<b>State</b>	717,066	55,808	130,501	151,022	206,925	11,643	62,836	98,331	
Alabama	8,429	**	**	**	**	**	**	8,429	
Alaska	1,939	192	299	78	50	14	279	1,027	
Arizona <sup>b</sup>	8,209	368	1,663	2,443	2,397	358	980	0	
Arkansas	21,166	724	4,694	5,977	7,409	304	2,058	0	
California <sup>b</sup>	106,035	24,077	5,528	32,106	30,107	**	14,217	0	
Colorado	11,655	368	2,566	3,573	3,372	528	1,248	0	
Connecticut	2,873	25	152	310	854	88	319	1,125	
Delaware	519	50	109	72	74	44	170	0	
District of Columbia	6,319	292	1,838	623	2,660	336	356	214	
Florida	4,323	351	2,044	966	633	107	222	0	
Georgia	24,029	326	5,090	6,503	10,275	1,094	567	174	
Hawaii	1,831	**	**	**	**	**	**	1,831	
Idaho	3,447	414	831	842	1,192	0	168	0	
Illinois <sup>b</sup>	33,162	636	6,603	9,754	13,275	2,084	810	0	
Indiana	10,527	757	84	2,823	2,449	843	3,571	0	
Iowa	3,265	186	246	627	1,473	23	710	0	
Kansas	5,010	863	1,876	335	1,144	**	792	0	
Kentucky	12,537	249	2,177	4,080	5,233	**	798	0	
Louisiana	25,683	467	2,811	7,062	12,443	**	2,633	267	
Maine	31	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	
Maryland <sup>b,c</sup>	13,742	693	5,539	2,389	4,470	651	0	0	
Massachusetts	3,365	**	**	**	**	**	**	3,365	
Michigan <sup>c</sup>	24,374	2,681	4,875	13,406	3,412	**	0	0	
Minnesota	5,435	1,181	909	571	2,295	182	297	0	
Mississippi	5,426	52	632	1,270	3,440	32	0	0	
Missouri	19,673	740	3,621	6,651	7,193	329	1,139	0	
Montana <sup>c</sup>	1,007	74	429	184	222	0	96	2	
Nebraska	823	26	158	236	236	17	150	0	
Nevada	4,186	**	**	**	**	**	**	4,186	
New Hampshire	1,820	**	**	**	**	**	**	1,820	
New Jersey	15,356	2,345	3,066	1,531	3,544	322	4,548	0	
New Mexico	3,157	**	**	**	**	**	**	3,157	
New York	49,950	2,473	20,293	4,447	19,464	396	2,877	0	
North Carolina	3,544	541	1,825	244	90	838	6	0	
North Dakota	357	**	**	**	**	**	**	357	
Ohio	14,575	3,751	6,043	2,667	1,560	117	437	0	
Oklahoma <sup>b</sup>	2,970	17	411	517	1,827	59	139	0	
Oregon	22,394	3,690	5,911	5,486	5,027	**	2,280	0	
Pennsylvania <sup>d</sup>	75,112	739	9,922	1,772	8,150	684	4,066	49,779	
Rhode Island	585	45	269	76	147	16	30	2	
South Carolina	1,612	14	536	441	347	6	268	0	
South Dakota	2,748	149	372	660	875	11	681	0	
Tennessee	11,627	56	3,055	2,192	3,101	51	937	2,235	
Texas <sup>b</sup>	104,943	2,987	18,427	24,687	43,136	2,048	13,658	0	
Utah	3,204	760	522	853	746	51	270	2	
Vermont <sup>b</sup>	1,087	39	221	266	130	**	405	26	
Virginia <sup>b</sup>	4,605	505	1,662	870	378	7	198	985	
Washington	6,563	1,767	2,719	650	1,372	**	55	0	
West Virginia	1,889	72	295	608	534	0	380	0	
Wisconsin	19,334	**	**	**	**	**	**	19,334	
Wyoming	614	66	147	174	189	3	21	14	
<b>Northeast</b>	150,179	5,666	33,954	8,402	32,289	1,506	12,245	56,117	
<b>Midwest</b>	139,283	10,970	24,787	37,730	33,912	3,606	8,587	19,691	
<b>South</b>	253,363	7,396	51,145	58,501	96,050	5,577	22,390	12,304	
<b>West</b>	174,241	31,776	20,615	46,389	44,674	954	19,614	10,219	

\*\*Not known.

<sup>a</sup>Includes some parolees under supervision for a property offense, specifically identity theft, and parolees under supervision for a public-order offense (other than a weapon offense unless otherwise specified), such as obstruction of justice, DWI/DUI, traffic, violation of probation/parole/conditional release, prostitution and commercialized vice, conspiracy, bribery, possession of child pornography, violation of a restraining order or public trust, and other offenses.

<sup>b</sup>Some or all data are estimated for most serious of offense.

<sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>d</sup>Detailed data represent state parolees only. Detailed data are not available for county parolees.

**Appendix Table 21. Adults on parole, by type of release from prison, 2009**

Region and jurisdiction	Parole population, 12/31/2009	Discretionary <sup>a</sup>	Mandatory <sup>b</sup>	Special conditional <sup>c</sup>	Term of supervised release <sup>d</sup>	Other <sup>e</sup>	Unknown or not reported
<b>U.S. total</b>	819,308	361,107	281,107	51	153,524	7,499	16,020
<b>Federal</b>	102,242	2,215	137	**	99,890	0	0
<b>State</b>	717,066	358,892	280,970	51	53,634	7,499	16,020
Alabama	8,429	**	**	**	**	**	8,429
Alaska	1,939	100	1,839	**	**	**	0
Arizona <sup>f</sup>	8,209	160	74	~	6,871	1,104	0
Arkansas	21,166	21,166	**	**	**	**	0
California <sup>g</sup>	106,035	16	106,019	**	**	0	0
Colorado	11,655	5,855	5,487	~	~	313	0
Connecticut	2,873	1,955	0	0	918	0	0
Delaware	519	**	**	**	**	**	519
District of Columbia	6,319	2,738	0	0	3,581	0	0
Florida	4,323	795	3,423	12	93	0	0
Georgia	24,029	24,029	~	~	~	~	0
Hawaii	1,831	1,831	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho	3,447	3,447	~	~	~	~	0
Illinois <sup>f</sup>	33,162	82	33,059	~	~	21	0
Indiana	10,527	0	10,527	0	0	0	0
Iowa	3,265	**	**	**	**	**	3,265
Kansas	5,010	714	0	0	4,289	0	7
Kentucky	12,537	12,535	~	2	**	**	0
Louisiana	25,683	3,928	21,753	2	**	~	0
Maine	31	31	0	0	0	0	0
Maryland <sup>f</sup>	13,742	6,148	7,594	**	**	**	0
Massachusetts	3,365	3,336	0	0	29	0	0
Michigan	24,374	23,341	1,033	0	0	0	0
Minnesota	5,435	0	1,098	0	4,090	247	0
Mississippi	5,426	5,391	**	35	**	0	0
Missouri	19,673	18,679	994	0	0	**	0
Montana <sup>g</sup>	1,007	1,007	0	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	823	823	0	0	0	0	0
Nevada <sup>f</sup>	4,186	3,721	465	~	~	0	0
New Hampshire	1,820	1,820	0	0	0	0	0
New Jersey	15,356	6,997	8,138	~	~	221	0
New Mexico	3,157	**	**	**	**	**	3,157
New York <sup>g</sup>	49,950	21,580	8,955	0	17,138	2,277	0
North Carolina <sup>g</sup>	3,544	344	884	**	2,316	0	0
North Dakota	357	357	**	**	**	**	0
Ohio	14,575	3,610	10,246	0	0	719	0
Oklahoma <sup>f</sup>	2,970	2,970	0	0	0	0	0
Oregon	22,394	2,498	19,281	**	**	**	615
Pennsylvania	75,112	75,112	0	0	0	0	0
Rhode Island	585	585	**	**	**	**	0
South Carolina	1,612	1,612	0	0	0	0	0
South Dakota	2,748	923	~	~	48	1,777	0
Tennessee	11,627	11,220	17	~	0	390	0
Texas <sup>f</sup>	104,943	76,861	27,931	~	~	123	28
Utah	3,204	3,204	0	0	0	0	0
Vermont <sup>f</sup>	1,087	1,087	~	~	~	~	0
Virginia <sup>f</sup>	4,605	1,662	2,943	**	**	**	0
Washington	6,563	16	6,547	0	0	0	0
West Virginia	1,889	1,889	0	0	0	0	0
Wisconsin	19,334	2,103	2,663	**	14,261	307	0
Wyoming	614	614	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Northeast</b>	150,179	112,503	17,093	**	18,085	2,498	0
<b>Midwest</b>	139,283	50,632	59,620	**	22,688	3,071	3,272
<b>South</b>	253,363	173,288	64,545	51	5,990	513	8,976
<b>West</b>	174,241	22,469	139,712	**	6,871	1,417	3,772

\*\*Not known.

~Not applicable.

<sup>a</sup>Discretionary parole includes persons who entered parole as the result of a parole board decision.

<sup>b</sup>Mandatory parole includes persons whose release from prison was not decided by a parole board. Includes those who entered parole because of determinate sentencing statutes, good-time provisions, or emergency releases.

<sup>c</sup>Special conditional releases include medical releases, early releases for the terminally ill, and other special releases.

<sup>d</sup>Term of supervised release includes persons sentenced by a judge to a fixed period of incarceration based on a determinate statute immediately followed by a period of supervised release in the community.

<sup>e</sup>Includes parolees who were transferred from another state, had their original parole sentence reinstated, temporarily released to parole, released to a drug transition program, released from a boot camp operated by the Department of Corrections, released from prison through a conditional medical or mental health release to parole, and others.

<sup>f</sup>Some or all detailed data are estimated for type of release from prison.

<sup>g</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

**Appendix Table 22. Adults on parole, 2009: number tracked by a Global Positioning System (GPS), number on probation, or number incarcerated**

Region and jurisdiction	Location tracked by GPS		Incarcerated			
	Total	Sex offenders	Probation	Jail	Prison	ICE holding facility
<b>U.S. total</b>	16,595	10,494	4,420	19,123	14,339	13,460
<b>Federal<sup>a,b</sup></b>	275	77	~	~	~	~
<b>State</b>	16,320	10,417	4,420	19,123	14,339	13,460
Alabama	~	~	~	218	~	~
Alaska	~	~	~	~	~	~
Arizona <sup>a</sup>	133	103	~	~	~	~
Arkansas <sup>a</sup>	~	~	**	233	265	**
California <sup>a</sup>	7,124	6,971	0	291	0	5,379
Colorado	1,631	368	~	1,121	~	0
Connecticut	226	56	**	**	23	61
Delaware	13	**	**	**	**	**
District of Columbia	422	88	385	0	771	87
Florida	245	200	~	20	312	48
Georgia <sup>c</sup>	268	243	**	2,165	63	**
Hawaii	~	~	0	42	95	160
Idaho	150	16	0	0	14	0
Illinois	149	146	~	~	~	~
Indiana	~	~	~	~	~	~
Iowa	99	58	~	~	~	~
Kansas <sup>a</sup>	285	275	**	**	**	**
Kentucky	2	0	342	111	217	**
Louisiana	55	55	131	784	71	57
Maine	~	~	~	~	~	~
Maryland <sup>c</sup>	**	**	**	**	**	**
Massachusetts	89	79	~	142	220	54
Michigan	2,099	**	~	~	~	~
Minnesota	33	33	~	~	~	~
Mississippi	~	~	~	~	~	~
Missouri	16	3	~	~	~	~
Montana <sup>c</sup>	~	~	**	9	99	**
Nebraska <sup>a</sup>	22	13	**	0	0	0
Nevada	~	~	**	**	**	**
New Hampshire	~	~	0	0	14	57
New Jersey	460	153	0	1,645	148	1,178
New Mexico	**	**	1,037	0	0	0
New York	371	230	0	4,252	258	296
North Carolina	60	15	422	**	**	**
North Dakota <sup>a</sup>	10	**	**	**	**	**
Ohio	134	56	~	~	~	~
Oklahoma	**	**	~	~	~	~
Oregon	~	~	~	~	~	~
Pennsylvania <sup>d</sup>	**	**	0	101	3,849	52
Rhode Island	~	~	~	~	~	~
South Carolina	~	~	~	~	~	~
South Dakota	5	5	**	**	4	1
Tennessee	112	109	0	437	0	0
Texas <sup>a</sup>	1,658	812	**	6,987	6,734	5,890
Utah	**	**	0	31	147	37
Vermont <sup>a</sup>	2	0	**	**	28	**
Virginia <sup>a,c</sup>	116	**	~	~	~	~
Washington	167	167	**	534	0	103
West Virginia	3	3	~	~	~	~
Wisconsin	161	160	2,103	**	1,007	**
Wyoming	~	~	**	**	**	**
<b>Northeast</b>	1,148	518	**	6,140	4,540	1,698
<b>Midwest</b>	3,013	749	2,103	**	1,011	1
<b>South</b>	2,954	1,525	1,280	10,955	8,433	6,082
<b>West</b>	9,205	7,625	1,037	2,028	355	5,679

~Not applicable.

\*\*Not known.

<sup>a</sup>Some or all data are estimated.

<sup>b</sup>A sex offender is defined as any offender convicted of a sex offense, ordered to a special condition for sex offender treatment, or fulfilling other sex offender treatment requirements.

<sup>c</sup>See *Explanatory notes* for more detail.

<sup>d</sup>Detailed data represent state parolees only. Detailed data are not available for county parolees.



Washington, DC 20531

Official Business  
Penalty for Private Use \$300

---

---

The Bureau of Justice Statistics is the statistical agency of the U.S. Department of Justice. James P. Lynch is director.

BJS Bulletins present the first release of findings from permanent data collection programs.

Lauren E. Glaze, Thomas P. Bonczar, and Fan Zhang wrote this report. Lauren E. Glaze, Thomas P. Bonczar, and Fan Zhang analyzed the data and prepared the graphs and tables. Laura M. Maruschak, William J. Sabol, and Todd D. Minton provided statistical verification. Sheri R. Simmons provided statistical review.

Jorgelina A. Arroyo carried out the data collection and processing under the supervision of Nicole S. Adolph, Governments Division, Census Bureau, U.S. Department of Commerce. Ryan D. Driscoll provided technical assistance under the supervision of Duane H. Cavanaugh. Janean Darden and Angel Johnson assisted in the data collection.

Brian R. Higgins (Lockheed Martin) and Jill Thomas edited the report. Tina Dorsey produced the report and Jayne E. Robinson prepared the report for final printing under the supervision of Doris J. James.

December 2010, NCJ 231674

This report in portable document format and in ASCII and its related statistical data and tables are available at the BJS website: <http://bjs.ojp.usdoj.gov/index.cfm?ty=pbdetail&iid=2233>.

### **Office of Justice Programs**

*Innovation • Partnerships • Safer Neighborhoods*  
<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov>