Incident Number Number Assignment Oates Host Agency Administrative Unit At the conclusion of each incident management team (IMT) assignment, the gency administrator or representative should complete this initial performance valuation (sections 1 - 5). This evaluation should be discussed directly with he incident commander. The initial performance evaluation should be lelivered by the agency administrator without delay to the incident commande he state/regional fire management officer, and the chair of the IMT's home geographic area multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up to the state/regional fire management officer, and the chair of the IMT's home geographic area multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up to the state/regional fire management officer, and the chair of the IMT's home geographic area multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up to the state/regional fire management officer, and the chair of the IMT's home geographic area multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up to the state of t	Inc	ident Man	agement 7	Team Perf	ormance I	Evaluation	
Name Number Assignment Total Acres Host Sub-Unit At the conclusion of each incident management team (IMT) assignment, the agency administrator or representative should complete this initial performance evaluation (sections 1 - 5). This evaluation should be discussed directly with he incident commander. The initial performance evaluation should be alleivered by the agency administrator without delay to the incident commande he state/regional fire management officer, and the chair of the IMT's home regographic area multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up to my issues of concern. Complete the follow evaluation narratives and rating for each question 0 - did not achieve expectations, 3 - met expectations, 5 - excelled How well did the Team accomplish the objectives described in the Wildland Fire Decision Support System (WFDSS) the Delegation of Authority, and the Agency Administrator Briefing? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5 Explain) A How well did the Team manage the cost of the incident? Did the team collow agency incident operating guidelines? Were follow-up issues identified and documented for the Agency Administrator ie; invoices, OWCP and vendor sessues? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5	Геат IC			Incide	nt Type		
Acres Host Agency Administrative Unit At the conclusion of each incident management team (IMT) assignment, the gency administrator or representative should complete this initial performance evaluation (sections 1 - 5). This evaluation should be discussed directly with the incident commander. The initial performance evaluation should be lelivered by the agency administrator without delay to the incident commander the state/regional fire management officer, and the chair of the IMT's home geographic area multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up transitives and rating for each question 0 - did not achieve expectations, 3 - met expectations, 5 - excelled How well did the Team accomplish the objectives described in the Wildland Fire Decision Support System (WFDSS) the Delegation of Authority, and the Agency Administrator Briefing? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5 Explain) How well did the Team manage the cost of the incident? Did the team follow agency incident operating guidelines? Were follow-up issues identified and documented for the Agency Administrator ie; invoices, OWCP and vendor sessues? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5							
Administrative Unit At the conclusion of each incident management team (IMT) assignment, the agency administrator or representative should complete this initial performance evaluation (sections 1 - 5). This evaluation should be discussed directly with the incident commander. The initial performance evaluation should be delivered by the agency administrator without delay to the incident commande the state/regional fire management officer, and the chair of the IMT's home ageographic area multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up the state of the follow evaluation narratives and rating for each question 0 - did not achieve expectations, 3 - met expectations, 5 - excelled. How well did the Team accomplish the objectives described in the Wildland Fire Decision Support System (WFDSS) the Delegation of Authority, and the Agency Administrator Briefing? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5 Explain) 2. How well did the Team manage the cost of the incident? Did the team follow agency incident operating guidelines? Were follow-up issues identified and documented for the Agency Administrator ie; invoices, OWCP and vendor assues? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5							
At the conclusion of each incident management team (IMT) assignment, the agency administrator or representative should complete this initial performance evaluation (sections 1 - 5). This evaluation should be discussed directly with the incident commander. The initial performance evaluation should be lelivered by the agency administrator without delay to the incident commande the state/regional fire management officer, and the chair of the IMT's home geographic area multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up to the incident commander are multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up to the incident of the follow evaluation narratives and rating for each question 0 - did not achieve expectations, 3 - met expectations, 5 - excelled and the incident of the Wildland Fire Decision Support System (WFDSS) the Delegation of Authority, and the Agency Administrator Briefing? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5 Explain) 2. How well did the Team manage the cost of the incident? Did the team follow agency incident operating guidelines? Were follow-up issues identified and documented for the Agency Administrator ie; invoices, OWCP and vendor ssues? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5 Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5					tion		
regency administrator or representative should complete this initial performance evaluation (sections 1 - 5). This evaluation should be discussed directly with the incident commander. The initial performance evaluation should be delivered by the agency administrator without delay to the incident commander the state/regional fire management officer, and the chair of the IMT's home geographic area multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up to the incident commander the state/regional fire management officer, and the chair of the IMT's home geographic area multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up to the incident of the IMT's home geographic area multi-agency coordination group to ensure prompt follow-up to the incident of the IMT's home geographic area multi-agency and rating for each question of a did not achieve expectations, 3 - met expectations, 5 - excelled of the well did the Team accomplish the objectives described in the Wildland of Imperiod of Authority, and the Agency Administrator Briefing? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5 Explain) 2. How well did the Team manage the cost of the incident? Did the team follow agency incident operating guidelines? Were follow-up issues identified and documented for the Agency Administrator ie; invoices, OWCP and vendor ssues? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5		re		Sub-Uı	nit		
0 - did not achieve expectations, 3 - met expectations, 5 - excelled 1. How well did the Team accomplish the objectives described in the Wildland Fire Decision Support System (WFDSS) the Delegation of Authority, and the Agency Administrator Briefing? Circle one	gency adminiculation (sec the incident co lelivered by the he state/region geographic are	strator or rections 1 - 5) mmander. he agency an all fire man a multi-age	epresentative. This evaluate The initial dministrate dagement o	ve should cluation sho performan or without cl fficer, and	complete the ould be disconce evaluated delay to the the chair of	tis initial per cussed direction should be incident confirmed the incident confirmed the incident confirmed the incident confirmed the incident inc	formance tly with e mmander, home
Fire Decision Support System (WFDSS) the Delegation of Authority, and the Agency Administrator Briefing? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5 Explain) 2. How well did the Team manage the cost of the incident? Did the team follow agency incident operating guidelines? Were follow-up issues identified and documented for the Agency Administrator ie; invoices, OWCP and vendor ssues? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5							
Explain) 2. How well did the Team manage the cost of the incident? Did the team follow agency incident operating guidelines? Were follow-up issues identified and documented for the Agency Administrator ie; invoices, OWCP and vendorssues? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5	Fire Decision S	Support Sys	stem (WFD				
2. How well did the Team manage the cost of the incident? Did the team follow agency incident operating guidelines? Were follow-up issues identified and documented for the Agency Administrator ie; invoices, OWCP and vendor ssues? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5	Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
follow agency incident operating guidelines? Were follow-up issues identified and documented for the Agency Administrator ie; invoices, OWCP and vendor ssues? Circle one 0 1 2 3 4 5	Explain)						
	ollow agency and documente	incident op	erating gui	delines? V	Vere follov	w-up issues i	dentified
Explain)	Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
	Explain)						

Release Date: January 2012 APPENDIX I-1

3. How did th environmenta		onstrate se	ensitivity to	resource 1	imits/constr	aints and	
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5	
(Explain)							
4. How well d	lid the Team	deal with	sensitive p	olitical and	social conc	erns?	
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5	
(Explain)							
management (5. Was the Team professional in the manner in which they assumed management of the incident and how they managed the total incident? How did the Team handle transition either to another IMT or in returning the incident the hosting agency?						
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5	
(Explain)							
6. How well of the response t			and respon	nd to chang	ing condition	ons, was	
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5	
(Explain)							
7. How well d	lid the Team	place the	proper emp	phasis on sa	afety?		
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5	
(Explain)							

Release Date: January 2012 APPENDIX I-2

8. Did the Teatimely and cos			e the mobil	ization/der	nobilization	in a
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						
9. How well d forces?	id the Team	use local	resources, 1	trainees, an	d closest av	ailable
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain) 10. How did to initiating a correcommendation of the control of the co	st share agre	eement or l				
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain) 11. Was the IO	Congrado	nd in char	on of the To	nom and the	Incident?	Llow wall
did the IC fun				cam and the	e mendem?	now well
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						

12. How time initiating action		C in assum	ing respons	sibility for	the incident	and
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)						
13. How did t local conditio		sincere cor	ncern and e	mpathy for	the hosting	unit and
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain) 14. Did the In (comps/claim suite updated,	s documenta	ation comp	leted, payn	nent docum	ents forwar	ded, I-
Circle one	0	1	2	3	4	5
(Explain)	nments:					
Agency Admi	tive:			Da	nte:	
Incident Com	mander:			Da	ate:	

Release Date: January 2012 APPENDIX I-4