



APPENDIX E

FINAL SELECTION CRITERIA FOR 2005

The final selection criteria for the 2005 round of base closures and realignment were originally published by the Department of Defense in the Federal Register on December 23, 2003. They were adopted as final criteria on February 12, 2004, unless Congress passed a resolution of disapproval by March 1, 2004. Congress's decision not to do so meant the criteria went into effect. Congress later enshrined the final selection criteria into law as Subtitle C of P.L. 108-375 (which amended Section 2913 of P.L. 101-510).

The final criteria to be used by the Secretary in making recommendations for the closure or realignment of military installations inside the United States under this part in 2005 shall be the military value and other criteria specified [below]:

MILITARY VALUE (GIVEN PRIORITY CONSIDERATION)

1. The current and future mission capabilities and the impact on operational readiness of the total force of the Department of Defense, including the impact on joint warfighting, training, and readiness.
2. The availability and condition of land, facilities, and associated airspace (including training areas suitable for maneuver by ground, naval, or air forces throughout a diversity of climate and terrain areas and staging areas for the use of the Armed Forces in homeland defense missions) at both existing and potential receiving locations.
3. The ability to accommodate contingency, mobilization, surge, and future total force requirements at both existing and potential receiving locations to support operations and training.
4. The cost of operations and the manpower implications.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

5. The extent and timing of potential costs and savings, including the number of years, beginning with the date of completion of the closure or realignment, for the savings to exceed the costs.
6. The economic impact on existing communities in the vicinity of military installations.
7. The ability of the infrastructure of both the existing and potential receiving communities to support forces, missions, and personnel.
8. The environmental impact, including the impact of costs related to potential environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance.

FINAL SELECTION CRITERIA

1995 vs. 2005

MILITARY VALUE CRITERIA (GIVEN PRIORITY IN BOTH ROUNDS)

Note: Text in *italics* represents the new text in the 2005 final selection criteria that was not present in the 1995 final selection criteria. Text from the 1995 final selection criteria which was dropped or not included in the 2005 criteria is marked in a different **font**.

1995

2005

<p>1. The current and future mission requirements and the impact on operational readiness of the Department of Defense’s total force.</p>	<p>1. The current and future mission <i>capabilities</i> and the impact on operational readiness of the total force of the Department of Defense, <i>including the impact on joint warfighting, training, and readiness.</i></p>
<p>2. The availability and condition of land, facilities, and associated airspace at both the existing and potential receiving locations.</p>	<p>2. The availability and condition of land, facilities, and associated airspace (<i>including training areas suitable for maneuver by ground, naval, or air forces throughout a diversity of climate and terrain areas and staging areas for the use of the Armed Forces in homeland defense missions</i>) at both existing and potential receiving locations.</p>
<p>3. The ability to accommodate contingency, mobilization, and future total force requirements at both the existing and potential receiving locations.</p>	<p>3. The ability to accommodate contingency, mobilization, <i>surge</i>, and future total force requirements at both existing and potential receiving locations <i>to support operations and training.</i></p>
<p>4. The cost and manpower implications.</p>	<p>4. The cost <i>of operations</i> and <i>the</i> manpower implications.</p>

FINAL SELECTION CRITERIA

1995 vs. 2005

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Note: Text in *italics* represents the new text in the 2005 final selection criteria that was not present in the 1995 final selection criteria. Text from the 1995 final selection criteria which was dropped or not included in the 2005 criteria is marked in a different **font**.

1995	2005
RETURN ON INVESTMENT	OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
5. The extent and timing of potential costs and savings, including the number of years, beginning with the date of completion of the closure or realignment, for the savings to exceed the costs. <i>[note: identical to 2005]</i>	5. The extent and timing of potential costs and savings, including the number of years, beginning with the date of completion of the closure or realignment, for the savings to exceed the costs. <i>[note: identical to 1995]</i>
IMPACTS	
6. The economic impact on communities.	6. The economic impact on <i>existing communities in the vicinity of military installations.</i>
7. The ability of both the existing and potential receiving communities' infrastructure to support forces, missions, and personnel.	7. The ability of <i>the infrastructure</i> of both the existing and potential receiving communities to support forces, missions, and personnel.
8. The environmental impact.	8. The environmental impact, <i>including the impact of costs related to potential environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities.</i>