STATE NAME.	: ARIZONA			
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date
	Describe, for each local educational agency (LEA) in the State, the systems used to evaluate the performance of teachers and the use of results from those systems in decisions regarding teacher development, compensation, promotion, retention, and removal.	More than 50% complete	The Arizona Department of Education (ADE) developed LEA survey instrument to collect information from each LEA describing current teacher and principal evaluation practices and uses. The ADE made the survey instrument a mandatory evaluation and released it electronically to all LEAs for completion. The survey submission window is currently closed and ADE is in the process of analyzing the data responses. On March 15, 2011, The ADE posted the raw survey results for the 2010-2011 school year at <a href="http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp.">http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp.</a> Beginning of Spring 2011-The ADE will complete their survey analysis and will complete the task of incorporating the LEA's survey results into the publically electronic statewide school/district report card solution; The ADE will also share survey results with the AZ State Board of Education Evaluation Task Force.  Spring 2011-The AZ State Board of Education will adopt a statewide evaluation model framework, as proposed from its Teacher/Principal Evaluation Task Force, in order to measure student academic progress per ARS §15-203.38  Summer 2011-The ADE will develop training materials for the adopted evaluation framework which will be updated quarterly to meet LEA need  Fall 2011-The ADE will host its first training and will begin to provide technical assistance to LEAs regarding the statewide evaluation model using their newly developed training materials  2012-2013-School Year-The LEA is required to post all approved LEA evaluation implementation plans publically on their district report cards; LEAs are required by statue to implement their evaluation plans  October 1, 2012- ADE will monitor statewide evaluation compliance by requesting LEA's to submit an Evaluation System Assurance through their ALEAT tracker system annually by October 1  Annually - LEAs will be required to post evaluation results publically on their websites  The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarte	Survey Round 1: 02/01/11 – 02/28/11  Survey Round 2: 04/01/11 – 04/29/11  Principal Evaluation Survey: 257 originally, 85 additional in round 2 Total: 342  Teacher Evaluation Survey: 293 originally, 94 additional in round 2 Total: 387  GRAND TOTAL SURVEY EVALUATIONS RECEIVED: 739 survey received across 590 LEA's  Awareness training started Need to create policy for data confidentiality with data governance committee formation and support with Attorney General office.  Enhancement opportunity of HQT application to collect teacher data  One survey was sent to the Superintendent of each LEA asking them to provide summary data for all the teachers and principals in their districts.

STATE NAME	E: ARIZONA			
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date
Indicator / Descriptor			Current State Plan  The Arizona Department of Education (ADE) developed LEA survey instrument to collect information from each LEA describing current teacher and principal evaluation practices and uses. The ADE made the survey instrument a mandatory evaluation and released it electronically to all LEAs for completion. The survey submission window is currently closed and ADE is in the process of analyzing the data responses. On March 15, 2011, The ADE posted the raw survey results for the 2010-2011 school year at <a href="http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp">http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp</a> .  Beginning of Spring 2011-The ADE will complete their survey analysis and will complete the task of incorporating the LEA's survey results into the publically electronic statewide school/district report card solution; The ADE will also share survey results with the AZ State Board of Education Evaluation Task Force.  Spring 2011-The AZ State Board of Education will adopt a statewide evaluation model framework, as proposed from its Teacher/Principal Evaluation Task Force, in order to measure student academic progress per ARS §15-203.38  Summer 2011-The ADE will develop training materials for the adopted evaluation framework which will be updated quarterly to meet LEA need  Fall 2011-The ADE will host its first training and will begin to provide technical assistance to LEAs regarding the statewide evaluation model using their newly developed training materials  2012-2013 School Year- The LEA is required to post all approved LEA evaluation implementation plans publically on their district report cards; LEAs are required by statue to implement their evaluation plans  October 1, 2012- ADE will monitor statewide evaluation compliance by requesting LEA's to submit an Evaluation System Assurance through their ALEAT tracker system annually by October 1	Included in Survey Survey Round 1: 02/01/11 – 02/28/11 Survey Round 2: 04/01/11 – 04/29/11  Principal Evaluation Survey: 257 originally, 85 additional in round 2 Total: 342  Teacher Evaluation Survey: 293 originally, 94 additional in round 2 Total: 387  GRAND TOTAL SURVEY EVALUATIONS RECEIVED: 739 survey received across 590 LEA's  Evaluation of Survey Results in progress. Expected completion 9/1/11
			Annually - LEAs will be required to post evaluation results publically on their websites  The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly beginning with the 2nd quarter of 2010 at: <a href="http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html">http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html</a> . We will also work to post these updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website.  There are no obstacles identified that would prevent this from being completed within the time frame. The attached budget describes the associated costs and it is expected that there will not be any additional costs beyond the budget to implement the plan.	
			Owner: Karen Butterfield	

STATE NAME: ARIZONA							
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date			
Descriptor	Provide, for each LEA in the State whose teachers receive performance ratings or levels through an evaluation system, the number and percentage (including numerator and denominator) of teachers rated at each performance rating or level.		The Arizona Department of Education (ADE) developed LEA survey instrument to collect information from each LEA describing current teacher and principal evaluation practices and uses. The ADE made the survey instrument a mandatory evaluation and released it electronically to all LEAs for completion. The survey submission window is currently closed and ADE is in the process of analyzing the data responses. On March 15, 2011, The ADE posted the raw survey results for the 2010-2011 school year at <a href="http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp">http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp</a> .  Beginning of Spring 2011-The ADE will complete their survey analysis and will complete the task of incorporating the LEA's survey results into the publically electronic statewide school/district report card solution; The ADE will also share survey results with the AZ State Board of Education Evaluation Task Force.  Spring 2011-The AZ State Board of Education will adopt a statewide evaluation model framework, as proposed from its Teacher/Principal Evaluation Task Force, in order to measure student academic progress per ARS §15-203.38  Summer 2011-The ADE will develop training materials for the adopted evaluation framework which will be updated quarterly to meet LEA need  Fall 2011-The ADE will host its first training and will begin to provide technical assistance to LEAs regarding the statewide evaluation model using their newly developed training materials  2012-2013 School Year- The LEA is required to post all approved LEA evaluation implementation plans publically on their district report cards; LEAs are required by statue to implement their evaluation System Assurance through their ALEAT tracker system annually by October 1, 2012- ADE will monitor statewide evaluation compliance by requesting LEA's to submit an Evaluation System Assurance through their ALEAT tracker system annually by October 1  Annually - LEAs will be required to post evaluation results publically on their websites  The progress o	Included in Survey  Survey Round 1: 02/01/11 – 02/28/11  Survey Round 2: 04/01/11 – 04/29/11  Principal Evaluation Survey: 257 originally, 85 additional in round 2 Total: 342  Teacher Evaluation Survey: 293 originally, 94 additional in round 2 Total: 387  GRAND TOTAL SURVEY EVALUATIONS RECEIVED: 739 survey received across 590 LEA's  Evaluation of Survey Results in progress. Expected completion 9/1/11			

STATE NAMI	TATE NAME: ARIZONA						
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date			
Descriptor	Indicator / Descriptor  Indicate, for each LEA in the State whose teachers receive performance ratings or levels through an evaluation system, whether the numbers and percentage (including numerator and denominator) of teachers rated at each performance rating or level are publicly reported for each school in the LEA.		The Arizona Department of Education (ADE) developed LEA survey instrument to collect information from each LEA describing current teacher and principal evaluation practices and uses. The ADE made the survey instrument a mandatory evaluation and released it electronically to all LEAs for completion. The survey submission window is currently closed and ADE is in the process of analyzing the data responses. On March 15, 2011, The ADE posted the raw survey results for the 2010-2011 school year at <a href="http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp">http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp</a> .  Beginning of Spring 2011-The ADE will complete their survey analysis and will complete the task of incorporating the LEA's survey results into the publically electronic statewide school/district report card solution; The ADE will also share survey results with the AZ State Board of Education Evaluation Task Force.  Spring 2011-The AZ State Board of Education will adopt a statewide evaluation model framework, as proposed from its Teacher/Principal Evaluation Task Force, in order to measure student academic progress per ARS §15-203.38  Summer 2011-The ADE will develop training materials for the adopted evaluation framework which will be updated quarterly to meet LEA need  Fall 2011-The ADE will host its first training and will begin to provide technical assistance to	Included in Survey  Survey Round 1: 02/01/11 – 02/28/11  Survey Round 2: 04/01/11 – 04/29/11  Principal Evaluation Survey: 257 originally, 85 additional in round 2 Total: 342  Teacher Evaluation Survey: 293 originally, 94 additional in round 2 Total: 387  GRAND TOTAL SURVEY EVALUATIONS RECEIVED: 739 survey received across 590 LEA's  Evaluation of Survey Results in progress. Expected completion 9/1/11			
(a)(5)		complete	LEAs regarding the statewide evaluation model using their newly developed training materials  2012-2013 School Year- The LEA is required to post all approved LEA evaluation implementation plans publically on their district report cards; LEAs are required by statue to implement their evaluation plans  October 1, 2012- ADE will monitor statewide evaluation compliance by requesting LEA's to submit an Evaluation System Assurance through their ALEAT tracker system annually by October 1  Annually - LEAs will be required to post evaluation results publically on their websites  The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly beginning with the 2nd quarter of 2010 at: <a href="http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html">http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html</a> . We will also work to post these updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website.  There are no obstacles identified that would prevent this from being completed within the time frame. The attached budget describes the associated costs and it is expected that there will not be any additional costs beyond the budget to implement the plan.	Evaluation of Survey Results in progress. Expected completion 9/1/11			
			Owner: Karen Butterfield				

STATE NAM	TATE NAME: ARIZONA						
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date			
Descriptor	Indicator / Descriptor  Describe, for each LEA in the State, the systems used to evaluate the performance of principals and the use of results from those systems in decisions regarding principal development, compensation, promotion, retention, and removal.		The Arizona Department of Education (ADE) developed LEA survey instrument to collect information from each LEA describing current teacher and principal evaluation practices and uses. The ADE made the survey instrument a mandatory evaluation and released it electronically to all LEAs for completion. The survey submission window is currently closed and ADE is in the process of analyzing the data responses. On March 15, 2011, The ADE posted the raw survey results for the 2010-2011 school year at <a href="http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp">http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp</a> .  Beginning of Spring 2011-The ADE will complete their survey analysis and will complete the task of incorporating the LEA's survey results into the publically electronic statewide school/district report card solution; The ADE will also share survey results with the AZ State Board of Education Evaluation Task Force.  Spring 2011-The AZ State Board of Education will adopt a statewide evaluation model framework, as proposed from its Teacher/Principal Evaluation Task Force, in order to measure student academic progress per ARS §15-203.38  Summer 2011-The ADE will develop training materials for the adopted evaluation framework which will be updated quarterly to meet LEA need  Fall 2011-The ADE will host its first training and will begin to provide technical assistance to LEAs regarding the statewide evaluation model using their newly developed training materials  2012-2013 School Year- The LEA is required to post all approved LEA evaluation implementation plans publically on their district report cards; LEAs are required by statue to implement their evaluation plans  October 1, 2012- ADE will monitor statewide evaluation compliance by requesting LEA's to submit an Evaluation System Assurance through their ALEAT tracker system annually by October 1  Annually - LEAs will be required to post evaluation results publically on their websites  The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quart	Included in Survey.  Survey Round 1: 02/01/11 – 02/28/11  Survey Round 2: 04/01/11 – 04/29/11  Principal Evaluation Survey: 257 originally, 85 additional in round 2 Total: 342  Teacher Evaluation Survey: 293 originally, 94 additional in round 2 Total: 387  GRAND TOTAL SURVEY EVALUATIONS RECEIVED: 739 survey received across 590 LEA's  Evaluation of Survey Results in progress. Expected completion 9/1/11			

STATE NAME	STATE NAME: ARIZONA						
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date			
-	Indicate, for each LEA in the State, whether the systems used to evaluate the performance of Principals include student achievement outcomes or student growth data as an evaluation criterion.	Status	The Arizona Department of Education (ADE) developed LEA survey instrument to collect information from each LEA describing current teacher and principal evaluation practices and uses. The ADE made the survey instrument a mandatory evaluation and released it electronically to all LEAs for completion. The survey submission window is currently closed and ADE is in the process of analyzing the data responses. On March 15, 2011, The ADE posted the raw survey results for the 2010-2011 school year at <a href="http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp">http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp</a> .  Beginning of Spring 2011-The ADE will complete their survey analysis and will complete the task of incorporating the LEA's survey results into the publically electronic statewide school/district report card solution; The ADE will also share survey results with the AZ State Board of Education Evaluation Task Force.	Survey Round 1: 02/01/11 – 02/28/11  Survey Round 2: 04/01/11 – 04/29/11  Principal Evaluation Survey: 257 originally, 85 additional in round 2 Total: 342  Teacher Evaluation Survey: 293 originally, 94 additional in round 2 Total: 387			
			Spring 2011-The AZ State Board of Education will adopt a statewide evaluation model framework, as proposed from its Teacher/Principal Evaluation Task Force, in order to measure student academic progress per ARS §15-203.38  Summer 2011-The ADE will develop training materials for the adopted evaluation framework which will be updated quarterly to meet LEA need	GRAND TOTAL SURVEY EVALUATIONS RECEIVED: 739 survey received across 590 LEA's  Evaluation of Survey Results in progress. Expected completion 9/1/11			
Indicator (a)(6)		More than 50% complete	Fall 2011-The ADE will host its first training and will begin to provide technical assistance to LEAs regarding the statewide evaluation model using their newly developed training materials				
			2012-2013 School Year- The LEA is required to post all approved LEA evaluation implementation plans publically on their district report cards; LEAs are required by statue to implement their evaluation plans				
			October 1, 2012- ADE will monitor statewide evaluation compliance by requesting LEA's to submit an Evaluation System Assurance through their ALEAT tracker system annually by October 1				
			Annually - LEAs will be required to post evaluation results publically on their websites				
			The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly beginning with the 2nd quarter of 2010 at: <a href="http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html">http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html</a> . We will also work to post these updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website. There are no obstacles identified that would prevent this from being completed within the time frame. The attached budget describes the associated costs and it is expected that there will not be any additional costs beyond the budget to implement the plan.				
			Owner: Karen Butterfield				

Indicator / Descriptor (Indicator (Indicato	STATE NAM	TATE NAME: ARIZONA						
whose Principals receive performance ratings or levels through an evaluation system, the number and percentage (including numerator and denominator) of Principals rated at each performance rating or level.  A Description of the survey instruction of Principals rated at each performance rating or level.  Beginning of Spring 2011-The ADE will complete their survey yarabysis and will complete the task of incorporating the LEA is survey results into the publically electronic statewide school/district report and solution. Task Force.  Beginning of Spring 2011-The ADE will complete their survey yarabysis and will complete the task of incorporating the LEA is survey results into the publically electronic statewide school/district report and solution. Task Force.  Spring 2011-The ADE will complete their survey yarabysis and will complete the task of incorporating the LEA is survey results with the AZ State Board of Education Evaluation Task Force.  Spring 2011-The ADE will does plant particulation Task Force.  Spring 2011-The ADE will devolop training materials for the adopted evaluation framework which will be updated quarterly to meet LEA need  Fall 2011-The ADE will devolop training materials for the adopted evaluation framework which will be updated quarterly to meet LEA need  Fall 2011-The ADE will does be first training and will begin to provide technical assistance to LEAs regarding the statewide evaluation model using their newly developed training materials for the adopted evaluation implementation plans publically on their district report cards; LEAs are required by statue to implement their evaluation plans  October 1, 2012-ADE will monitor statewide evaluation compliance by requesting LEA's to submit an Evaluation System Assurance through their ALEAT tracker system annually by October 1  Annually - LEAs will be required to post evaluation results publically on their websites  The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly beginning with the 2nd quarter of 2010 at 1; thint/www.as	Descriptor	Indicator / Descriptor		Current State Plan	Revised Date			
There are no obstacles identified that would prevent this from being completed within the time frame. The attached budget describes the associated costs and it is expected that there will not be any additional costs beyond the budget to implement the plan.	Descriptor ID	Provide, for each LEA in the State whose Principals receive performance ratings or levels through an evaluation system, the number and percentage (including numerator and denominator) of Princiapls rated at each	Status  More than 50%	The Arizona Department of Education (ADE) developed LEA survey instrument to collect information from each LEA describing current teacher and principal evaluation practices and uses. The ADE made the survey instrument a mandatory evaluation and released it electronically to all LEAs for completion. The survey submission window is currently closed and ADE is in the process of analyzing the data responses. On March 15, 2011, The ADE posted the raw survey results for the 2010-2011 school year at <a href="http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp">http://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/otherreports.asp</a> .  Beginning of Spring 2011-The ADE will complete their survey analysis and will complete the task of incorporating the LEA's survey results into the publically electronic statewide school/district report card solution; The ADE will also share survey results with the AZ State Board of Education Evaluation Task Force.  Spring 2011-The AZ State Board of Education will adopt a statewide evaluation model framework, as proposed from its Teacher/Principal Evaluation Task Force, in order to measure student academic progress per ARS §15-203.38  Summer 2011-The ADE will develop training materials for the adopted evaluation framework which will be updated quarterly to meet LEA need  Fall 2011-The ADE will host its first training and will begin to provide technical assistance to LEAs regarding the statewide evaluation model using their newly developed training materials  2012-2013 School Year- The LEA is required to post all approved LEA evaluation implementation plans publically on their district report cards; LEAs are required by statue to implement their evaluation System Assurance through their ALEAT tracker system annually by October 1, 2012- ADE will monitor statewide evaluation compliance by requesting LEA's to submit an Evaluation System Assurance through their ALEAT tracker system annually by October 1  Annually - LEAs will be required to post evaluation results publically on their websites  The progress o	Survey Round 1: 02/01/11 – 02/28/11  Survey Round 2: 04/01/11 – 04/29/11  Principal Evaluation Survey: 257 originally, 85 additional in round 2 Total: 342  Teacher Evaluation Survey: 293 originally, 94 additional in round 2 Total: 387  GRAND TOTAL SURVEY EVALUATIONS RECEIVED: 739 survey received across 590 LEA's  Evaluation of Survey Results in progress. Expected completion 9/1/11			

STATE NAMI	E: ARIZONA			
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date
<del>-</del>	Student-level transcript information, including on courses completed and grades earned?	Less than 50% complete	Teacher identifier system is in place.  Data collection schemes for courses and student - teacher connection were developed but cannot be implemented without establishing a statewide common course code set first and having each district map its courses to the common set.  ADE joined ASU and Maricopa county ESA to perform 2 related projects that require Student - Teacher connection, student coursework (transcripts), student growth (calculated by Colorado Growth Model). The ADE/ASU project - NEXT focuses on adapting the growth model for teacher performance and involves 22 pilot schools across Arizona. The Maricopa County/ASU/ADE project, A-REIL-IDEAL Program, focuses on determining course level performance of students and teachers within Maricopa county and providing growth data to parents, teachers and LEAs. The timeline of these projects provides a window for addressing the common course code set issue. Given the situation, the activity scope for the period ending on 9/30/11 has been confined to the following activities and estimated target dates.  Milestones:  4/15/2011 - Determine standard state course code set  5/10/2011 - Map pilot district course codes to standard state course code set  5/30/2011 - Remodel required data for data collection system  6/30/2011 - Provide student and teacher growth model data to ASU/districts  9/30/2011 - Initiate next pilot district data collection  The budget for this indicator is still in process. The work will be conducted within the A-REIL-IDEAL Program which is a partnership among ASU, Maricopa County, ADE and the Governor's Office. Once the budget is finalized the SFSF revised plan will be updated.  ASU and Maricopa county have different time constraints and priorities dictated by their individual grants while ADE has to provide the same data collection to both. The coordination of all participants' requirements and expectations is demanding.  The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly at: http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-gover	4/15/2011 - Determine standard state course code set 5/20/2011 - Map pilot district course codes to standard state course code set 5/20/2011 - Remodel required data for data collection system (Communicated RISK at AZ-SLDS Steering Committee Meeting on 4/21/11) 5/20/2011 - Collect initial set of data from pilot district TBD by ASU - Provide student and teacher growth model data to ASU/districts Phase 1 In Progress - Initiate next pilot district data collection
			Owner: ADE CIO	

STATE NAME	E: ARIZONA			
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date
	Student-level college readiness		ACT data for Arizona high school graduates of school years 2005-2010 was	
	test scores?		received on 3/1/11.  ADE developed a new comprehensive plan for a system that integrates all SFSF postsecondary education requirements (B1-4, B1-10, B1-11, B1-12, C11, C12) with	
			the following milestones. Milestones:	Milestones: 5/16/2011 - Analysis of data received from National Student Clearing House
			3/31/2011 - Analysis of data received from National Student Clearing House (NSC) and <u>ACT</u> , to identify level of matching to ADE records of high school graduates and determine possible matching improvements.	(NSC) and <u>ACT</u> , to identify level of matching to ADE records of high school graduates and determine possible matching improvements.  5/16/2011 - Resolution with 3 state universities and 23 community colleges
Indicator	Less than 50% complete		4/30/2011 - Resolution with 3 state universities and 23 community colleges on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment;	on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment; Resolution with 23 community colleges on providing student remedial coursework.
			Resolution with 23 community colleges on providing student remedial coursework.  5/9/2011 - Transactional data requirements definitions, design of a central data store & process for acquisition of data from above institutions.	5/16/2011 - Transactional data requirements definitions, 5/16/2011 - Design of SFSF Indicators Measures and Facts & Dimensions transformation of the transactional data.
			6/30/2011 - Development & QA of data extraction and submission at each of the 26 institutions.	6/11/2011 - Design of a central data store & process for acquisition of data from above institutions.
(b)(1)(10)		7/15/2011 - Implementation of the central transactional data store (data from NSC, ACT and 26 Arizona institutions).	8/10/2011 - Development & QA of data extraction and submission at each of the 26 institutions.	
			5/9/2011 - Design of SFSF Indicators Measures and Facts & Dimensions transformation of the transactional data.	925/2011 - Development & QA of Facts & Dimensions tables and cube definitions for SFSF Indicators Measures.  9/28/2011 - Implementation of the central transactional data store (data from
			8/30/2011 Development & QA of Facts & Dimensions tables and cube definitions for SFSF Indicators Measures.	NSC, ACT and 26 Arizona institutions). 9/30/2011 - Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW,
			9/30/2011 - Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW, including user references and granting access to the 26 institutions.	including user references and granting access to the 26 institutions.
			The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly at: <a href="http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html">http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html</a> . We will also work to post these updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website.	4/18/2011 - Updated by Arthur Kenjora, SFSF PS Project Manager
			An allocation of \$956,000 has been committed from the SFSF – Government Services grant to fund the data collection efforts by the Arizona Department of Education. Please see Appendix B for funding details.	

STATE NAME	STATE NAME: ARIZONA						
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date			
			Owner: ADE CIO				

Indicator /	E: ARIZONA Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan	Current State Plan	Revised Date
ID		Status		
Descriptor	Information regarding the extent to which students transition successfully from secondary school to postsecondary education, including whether students enroll in remedial coursework?	Current Plan Status  Less than 50% complete	ADE developed a new comprehensive plan for a system that integrates all SFSF postsecondary education requirements (B1-4, B1-10, B1-11, B1-12, C11, C12) with the following milestones.  Milestones:  3/31/2011 - Analysis of data received from National Student Clearing House (NSC) and ACT, to identify level of matching to ADE records of high school graduates and determine possible matching improvements.  4/30/2011 - Resolution with 3 state universities and 23 community colleges on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment; Resolution with 23 community colleges on providing student remedial coursework.  5/9/2011 - Transactional data requirements definitions, design of a central data store & process for acquisition of data from above institutions.  6/30/2011 - Development & QA of data extraction and submission at each of the 26 institutions.  7/15/2011 - Implementation of the central transactional data store (data from NSC, ACT and 26 Arizona institutions).  5/9/2011 - Design of SFSF Indicators Measures and Facts & Dimensions transformation of the transactional data.  8/30/2011 Development & QA of Facts & Dimensions tables and cube definitions	Milestones:  5/20/2011 - Analysis of data received from National Student Clearing House (NSC) and ACT, to identify level of matching to ADE records of high school graduates and determine possible matching improvements.  5/16/2011 - Resolution with 3 state universities and 23 community colleges on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment; Resolution with 23 community colleges on providing student remedial coursework.  5/16/2011 - Transactional data requirements definitions,  5/16/2011 - Design of SFSF Indicators Measures and Facts & Dimensions transformation of the transactional data.  6/11/2011 - Design of a central data store & process for acquisition of data from above institutions.  8/10/2011 - Development & QA of data extraction and submission at each of the 26 institutions.  925/2011 - Development & QA of Facts & Dimensions tables and cube definitions for SFSF Indicators Measures.  9/28/2011 - Implementation of the central transactional data store (data from NSC, ACT and 26 Arizona institutions).  9/30/2011 - Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW,
			for SFSF Indicators Measures.  9/30/2011 - Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW, including user references and granting access to the 26 institutions.  The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly at: <a href="http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html">http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html</a> . We will also work to post these updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website.  An allocation of \$956,000 has been committed from the SFSF – Government Services grant to fund the data collection efforts by the Arizona Department of Education. Please see Appendix B for funding details.	including user references and granting access to the 26 institutions.  4/18/2011 - Updated by Arthur Kenjora, SFSF PS Project Manager
			Owner: ADE CIO	

STATE NAME: ARIZONA						
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date		

STATE NAME	E: ARIZONA			
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date
Indicator / Descriptor			Current State Plan  ADE developed a new comprehensive plan for a system that integrates all SFSF postsecondary education requirements (B1-4, B1-10, B1-11, B1-12, C11, C12) with the following milestones:  Milestones:  3/31/2011 - Analysis of data received from National Student Clearing House (NSC) and ACT, to identify level of matching to ADE records of high school graduates and determine possible matching improvements.  4/30/2011 - Resolution with 3 state universities and 23 community colleges on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment; Resolution with 23 community colleges on providing student remedial coursework.  5/9/2011 - Transactional data requirements definitions, design of a central data store & process for acquisition of data from above institutions.  6/30/2011 - Development & QA of data extraction and submission at each of the 26 institutions.  7/15/2011 - Implementation of the central transactional data store (data from NSC, ACT and 26 Arizona institutions).  5/9/2011 - Design of SFSF Indicators Measures and Facts & Dimensions transformation of the transactional data.  8/30/2011 Development & QA of Facts & Dimensions tables and cube definitions for SFSF Indicators Measures.	Milestones: 5/20/2011 - Analysis of data received from National Student Clearing House (NSC) and ACT, to identify level of matching to ADE records of high school graduates and determine possible matching improvements. 5/16/2011 - Resolution with 3 state universities and 23 community colleges on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment; Resolution with 23 community colleges on providing student remedial coursework. 5/16/2011 - Transactional data requirements definitions, 5/16/2011 - Design of SFSF Indicators Measures and Facts & Dimensions transformation of the transactional data. 6/11/2011 - Design of a central data store & process for acquisition of data from above institutions. 8/10/2011 - Development & QA of data extraction and submission at each of the 26 institutions. 925/2011 - Development & QA of Facts & Dimensions tables and cube definitions for SFSF Indicators Measures. 9/28/2011 - Implementation of the central transactional data store (data from NSC, ACT and 26 Arizona institutions). 9/30/2011 - Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW,
			9/30/2011 - Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW, including user references and granting access to the 26 institutions.	9/30/2011 - Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW, including user references and granting access to the 26 institutions.
			The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly at: <a href="http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html">http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html</a> . We will also work to post these updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website.	4/18/2011 - Updated by Arthur Kenjora, SFSF PS Project Manager
			An allocation of \$956,000 has been committed from the SFSF – Government Services grant to fund the data collection efforts by the Arizona Department of Education. Please see Appendix B for funding details.	
			Owner: ADE CIO	

STATE NAME: ARIZONA					
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date	
•	Indicate whether the State provides student growth data on their current students and the students they taught in the previous year to, at a minimum, teachers of reading/language arts and mathematics in grades in which the State administers assessments in those subjects in a manner that is timely and informs instructional programs.	More than 50% complete	The implemented growth model is in quality assurance phase. The implementation provides growth information at a student, grade and school levels. Providing growth information at a teacher level is contingent on indicator B1-8. ADE was not awarded the SLDS grant.  Teacher identifier system is in place. Data collection schemes for courses and student - teacher connection were developed but cannot be implemented without establishing a statewide common course code set first and having each district map its courses to the common set.  ADE joined ASU and Maricopa county ESA to perform 2 related projects that require Student - Teacher connection, student coursework (transcripts), student growth (calculated by Colorado Growth Model). The ADE/ASU project - NEXT focuses on adapting the growth model for teacher performance and involves 22 pilot schools across Arizona. The Maricopa County/ASU/ADE project, A-REIL-IDEAL Program, focuses on determining course level performance of students and teachers within Maricopa county and providing growth data to parents, teachers and LEAs. The timeline of these projects provides a window for addressing the common course code set issue. Given the situation, the activity scope for the period ending on 9/30/11 has been confined to the following activities and estimated target dates.  Milestones:  4/15/2011 - Determine standard state course code set 5/30/2011 - Remodel required data for data collection system 6/30/2011 - Provide student and teacher growth model data to ASU/districts 9/30/2011 - Provide student and teacher growth model data to ASU/districts 9/30/2011 - Initiate next pilot district data collection  The budget for this indicator is still in process. The work will be conducted within the A-REIL-IDEAL Program which is a partnership among ASU, Maricopa County, ADE and the Governor's Office. Once the budget is finalized the SFSF revised plan will be updated.  ASU and Maricopa county have different time constraints and priorities dictated by their individual grants while ADE has t	Milestones:  4/15/2011 - Determine standard state course code set (Data Dictionary)  4/20/2011 - Map pilot district course codes to standard state course code  set (Logical Data Model)  4/25/2011 - Remodel required data for data collection system (Physical Data model  5/18/2011 (TBD) - Collect initial set of data from pilot district  5/10/2011 - Provide student and teacher growth model data to ASU/districts  * 5/20/2011 - Initiate next pilot district data collection  * 5/20/2011 new implementation deadline based on resource limitations following May per communication from ASU.	
		1	updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website.		

STATE NAMI	STATE NAME: ARIZONA						
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date			
Indicator (b)(3)	Indicate whether the State provides teachers of reading/language arts and mathematics in grades in which the State administers assessments in those subjects with reports of individual teacher impact on student achievement on those assessments.	Less than 50% complete	Teacher identifier system is in place. Data collection schemes for courses and student - teacher connection were developed but cannot be implemented without establishing a statewide common course code set first and having each district map its courses to the common set.  ADE joined ASU and Maricopa county ESA to perform 2 related projects that require Student - Teacher connection, student coursework (transcripts), student growth (calculated by Colorado Growth Model). The ADE/ASU project - NEXT focuses on adapting the growth model for teacher performance and involves 22 pilot schools across Arizona. The Maricopa County/ASU/ADE project, A-REIL-IDEAL Program, focuses on determining course level performance of students and teachers within Maricopa county and providing growth data to parents, teachers and LEAs. The timeline of these projects provides a window for addressing the common course code set issue. Given the situation, the activity scope for the period ending on 9/30/11 has been confined to the following activities and estimated target dates.  Milestones:  4/15/2011 - Determine standard state course code set 5/10/2011 - Map pilot district course codes to standard state course code set 5/30/2011 - Remodel required data for data collection system 6/30/2011 - Provide student and teacher growth model data to ASU/districts 9/30/2011 - Initiate next pilot district data collection  The budget for this indicator is still in process. The work will be conducted within the A-REIL-IDEAL Program which is a partnership among ASU, Maricopa County, ADE and the Governor's Office. Once the budget is finalized the SFSF revised plan will be updated.  ASU and Maricopa county have different time constraints and priorities dictated by their individual grants while ADE has to provide the same data collection to both. The coordination of all participants' requirements and expectations is demanding. The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly at: http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.ht	Milestones: 4/15/2011 - Determine standard state course code set (Data Dictionary) 4/20/2011 - Map pilot district course codes to standard state course code set (Logical Data Model) 4/25/2011 - Remodel required data for data collection system (Physical Data model) 5/18/2011 (TBD) - Collect initial set of data from pilot district 5/10/2011 - Provide student and teacher growth model data to ASU/districts * 5/20/2011 - Initiate next pilot district data collection  * 5/20/2011 new implementation deadline based on resource limitations following May per communication from ASU.  4/18/2011 - Updated by Arthur Kenjora, SFSF PS Project Manager			

STATE NAME: ARIZONA						
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date		
Indicator (c)(4)	Indicate whether the State has completed, within the last two years, an analysis of the appropriateness and effectiveness of the accommodations it provides students with disabilities to ensure their meaningful participation in State assessments.	100% complete	The ADE conducted a study on the use and effectiveness of standard accommodations by students with disabilities on the statewide assessment titled Arizona's Instrument to Measure Standards (AIMS) 2010 Science which is administered in Grades 4, 8-12. The results of the study titled Accommodation Usage on AIMS 2010 Science can be found at <a href="http://www.ade.az.gov/standards">http://www.ade.az.gov/standards</a> .  The ADE also completed and collected a survey on the usage of standard accommodations on the AIMS 2010 Mathematics Testing for Grades 3-12. The results are currently being compiled and analyzed by the ADE.  XX- The results of the analysis of the standard accommodations for the AIMS 2010 Mathematics assessment for grades 3-12 will be posted on the same website at: <a href="http://www.ade.az.gov/standards">http://www.ade.az.gov/standards</a> .  The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly beginning with the 2nd quarter of 2010 at: <a href="http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html">http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html</a> . We will also work to post these updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website. There are no obstacles identified that would prevent this from being completed within the time frame. It is expected that there will not be any additional costs to implement this plan.  Owner: Roberta Alley	100 % Complete		

STATE NAM	STATE NAME: ARIZONA					
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date		
_	Indicator / Descriptor  Indicate whether the State has completed, within the last two years, an analysis of the appropriateness and effectiveness of the accommodations it provides limited English proficient students to ensure their meaningful participation in State assessments.		The ADE conducted a study on the use and effectiveness of standard accommodations by students identified as limited English proficient on the AIMS 2010 Science assessment which is administered in Grades 4, 8-12. The results of the study, Accommodation Usage on AIMS 2010 Science, can be found at <a href="http://www.ade.az.gov/standards">http://www.ade.az.gov/standards</a> .  The ADE also completed and collected a survey on the usage of standard accommodations on the AIMS 2010 Mathematics Testing for Grades 3-12. The results are currently being compiled and analyzed by the ADE.  XX- The results of the analysis of the standard accommodations for the AIMS 2010 Mathematics assessment for grades 3-12 will be posted on the same website at: <a href="http://www.ade.az.gov/standards">http://www.ade.az.gov/standards</a> .  The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly beginning with the 2nd quarter of 2010 at: <a href="http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html">http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html</a> . We will also work to post these updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website. There are no obstacles identified that would prevent this from being completed within the time frame. It is expected that there will not be any additional costs to implement this plan.	100 % Complete		
			Owner: Roberta Alley			
Indicator (c)(10)	Provide, for the State, for each LEA in the State, for each high school in the State and, at each of these levels, by student subgroup (consistent with section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v)(II) of the ESEA), the number and percentage (including numerator and denominator) of students who graduate from high school using a four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate as required by 34 CFR 200.19(b)(1)(i).	Completed	Student graduation information is assessed annually following federal guidance and (which includes the numerator and denominator of students) and is posted on the ADE website at: <a href="https://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/grad/">https://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/grad/</a> .  The ADE will continue to post this information annually.  Owner: Robert Franciosi			

STATE NAME:	STATE NAME: ARIZONA					
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date		

Indicator / Descriptor	Indicator / December	O		
ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date
	Provide, for the State, for each LEA in the State, for each high school in the State and, at each of these levels, by student subgroup (consistent with section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v)(II) of the ESEA), of the students who graduate from high school consistent with 34 CFR 200.19(b)(1)(i), the number and percentage (including numerator and denominator) who enroll in an institution of higher education (IHE) (as defined in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA)) within 16 months of receiving a regular high school diploma.	Less than 50% completed	ADE developed a new comprehensive plan for a system that integrates all SFSF postsecondary education requirements (B1-4, B1-10, B1-11, B1-12, C11, C12) with the following milestones.  Milestones:  3/31/2011 - Analysis of data received from National Student Clearing House (NSC) and ACT, to identify level of matching to ADE records of high school graduates and determine possible matching improvements.  4/30/2011 - Resolution with 3 state universities and 23 community colleges on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment; Resolution with 23 community colleges on providing student remedial coursework.  5/9/2011 - Transactional data requirements definitions, design of a central data store & process for acquisition of data from above institutions.  6/30/2011 - Development & QA of data extraction and submission at each of the 26 institutions.  7/15/2011 - Implementation of the central transactional data store (data from NSC, ACT and 26 Arizona institutions).  5/9/2011 - Design of SFSF Indicators Measures and Facts & Dimensions transformation of the transactional data.  8/30/2011 - Development & QA of Facts & Dimensions tables and cube definitions for SFSF Indicators Measures.  9/30/2011 - Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW, including user references and granting access to the 26 institutions.  The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly at: http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html. We will also work to post these updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website.  An allocation of \$956,000 has been committed from the SFSF – Government Services grant to fund the data collection efforts by the Arizona Department of Education. Please see Appendix B for funding details.	Milestones: 5/20/2011 - Analysis of data received from National Student Clearing House (NSC) and ACT, to identify level of matching to ADE records of high school graduates and determine possible matching improvements. 5/16/2011 - Resolution with 3 state universities and 23 community colleges on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment; Resolution with 23 community colleges on providing student remedial coursework. 5/16/2011 - Transactional data requirements definitions, 5/16/2011 - Design of SFSF Indicators Measures and Facts & Dimensions transformation of the transactional data. 6/11/2011 - Design of a central data store & process for acquisition of data from above institutions. 8/10/2011 - Development & QA of data extraction and submission at each of the 26 institutions. 925/2011 - Development & QA of Facts & Dimensions tables and cube definitions for SFSF Indicators Measures. 9/28/2011 - Implementation of the central transactional data store (data from NSC, ACT and 26 Arizona institutions). 9/30/2011 - Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW, including user references and granting access to the 26 institutions.

STATE NAME: ARIZONA						
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date		
Indicator (c)(12)	Provide, for the State, for each LEA in the State, for each high school in the State and, at each of these levels, by student subgroup (consistent with section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v)(II) of the ESEA), of the students who graduate from high school consistent with 34 CFR 200.19(b)(1)(i) who enroll in a public IHE (as defined in section 101(a) of the HEA) in the State within 16 months of receiving a regular high school diploma, the number and percentage (including numerator and denominator) who complete at least one year's worth of college credit (applicable to a degree) within two years of enrollment in the IHE.	Less than 50% complete	ADE developed a new comprehensive plan for a system that integrates all SFSF postsecondary education requirements (B1-4, B1-10, B1-11, B1-12, C11, C12) with the following milestones.  Milestones:  3/31/2011 - Analysis of data received from National Student Clearing House (NSC) and ACT, to identify level of matching to ADE records of high school graduates and determine possible matching improvements.  4/30/2011 - Resolution with 3 state universities and 23 community colleges on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment; Resolution with 23 community colleges on providing student remedial coursework.  5/9/2011 - Transactional data requirements definitions, design of a central data store & process for acquisition of data from above institutions.  6/30/2011 - Development & QA of data extraction and submission at each of the 26 institutions.  7/15/2011 - Implementation of the central transactional data store (data from NSC, ACT and 26 Arizona institutions).  5/9/2011 - Design of SFSF Indicators Measures and Facts & Dimensions transformation of the transactional data.  8/30/2011 Development & QA of Facts & Dimensions tables and cube definitions for SFSF Indicators Measures.  9/30/2011 - Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW, including user references and granting access to the 26 institutions.  The progress of this data collection and reporting will be posted quarterly at: http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html. We will also work to post these updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website.  An allocation of \$956,000 has been committed from the SFSF – Government Services grant to fund the data collection efforts by the Arizona Department of Education. Please see Appendix B for funding details.	Milestones:  5/20/2011 - Analysis of data received from National Student Clearing House (NSC) and ACT, to identify level of matching to ADE records of high school graduates and determine possible matching improvements.  5/16/2011 - Resolution with 3 state universities and 23 community colleges on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment; Resolution with 23 community colleges on providing student remedial coursework.  5/16/2011 - Transactional data requirements definitions,  5/16/2011 - Design of SFSF Indicators Measures and Facts & Dimensions transformation of the transactional data.  6/11/2011 - Design of a central data store & process for acquisition of data from above institutions.  8/10/2011 - Development & QA of data extraction and submission at each of the 26 institutions.  925/2011 - Development & QA of Facts & Dimensions tables and cube definitions for SFSF Indicators Measures.  9/28/2011 - Implementation of the central transactional data store (data from NSC, ACT and 26 Arizona institutions).  9/30/2011 - Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW, including user references and granting access to the 26 institutions.		

STATE NAMI	STATE NAME: ARIZONA					
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date		
Indicator (d)(1)	Provide, for the State, the average statewide school gain in the "all students" category and the average statewide school gain for each student subgroup (as under section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v) of the ESEA) on the State assessments in reading/language arts and for the State and for each LEA in the State, the number and percentage (including numerator and denominator) of Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that have made progress (as defined in this notice) on State assessments in reading/language arts in the last year.	Completed	The ADE has provided the AIMS information for those Title I schools in improvement, corrective action or restructuring that have made progress. The information posted on the ADE web site here:  https://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/AIMSImprovement.  The ADE will update this information annually.  Owner: Robert Franciosi	Completed		
Indicator (d)(2)	Provide, for the State, the average statewide school gain in the "all students" category and the average statewide school gain for each student subgroup (as under section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v) of the ESEA) on State assessments in mathematics and for the State and for each LEA in the State, the number and percentage (including numerator and denominator) of Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that have made progress on State assessments in mathematics in the last year.	Completed	The ADE has provided the AIMS information for those Title I schools in improvement, corrective action or restructuring that have made progress.  The information is posted on the ADE web site here: https://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/AIMSImprovement.  The ADE will update this information annually.  Owner: Robert Franciosi	Completed		

SIAIENAM	STATE NAME: ARIZONA					
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date		
Indicator / Descriptor			The definition Arizona used for identification of persistently lowest achieving schools was approved by the U.S. Department of Education in 2010. Through the 2010 School Improvement Grant process Arizona's definition of persistently lowest-achieving was revised to include current years for graduation rate calculation. The revised definition was submitted to the U.S. Department of Education and will be posted when approved.  LEAs that were awarded 2009 School Improvement Grant funds began implementation of selected intervention models in the 2010-2011 school year. Twelve schools are implementing the Transformation Model and seven schools are implementing the Turnaround Model. None of the eligible and awarded LEAs chose to implement the Restart or the Closure models.  ADE has posted the summary of School Improvement Grant awards on its website. ADE will update this list by the summer of 2011 with information on intervention success within the listed schools. There are no obstacles identified that would prevent this from being completed within the time frame. It is expected that there will not be any additional costs to implement this plan.  LEAs completed an in-depth comprehensive application process that included:  analyzing the needs of each Tier I and Tier II school it commits to serve  identifying the districts level of capacity and commitment to implement the selected intervention model  selecting the most appropriate intervention model to implement  submitting an LEA application for ADE approval  implementing the intervention model during the 2010-2011 school year  During the school year 2010-11, with technical assistance from ADE specialists, LEAs will:  establish annual student achievement goals  monitor progress towards these goals and make adjustments when needed  report quarterly progress on student performance and model implementation to ADE  complete yearly report that includes results of the implementation of the chosen intervention model and student achievement results	Revised Date		
			http://www.azrecovery.gov/agencies/agencies-governor.html. We will also work to post these updates on the Arizona Department of Education's website.  An allocation of \$965,000 has been committed from the SFSF – Government Services grant to fund the data collection efforts by the Arizona Department of Education. Please see Appendix B for funding details.  Owner: Kathy Hrabluk			

TATE NAME: ARIZONA					
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date	
Descriptor	Provide, for the State, of the persistently lowest-achieving schools that are secondary schools that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I funds, the number and identity of those schools that have been turned around, restarted, closed, or transformed in the last year.		The definition Arizona used for identification of persistently lowest achieving schools was approved by the U.S. Department of Education in 2010. Through the 2010 School Improvement Grant process Arizona's definition of persistently lowest-achieving was revised to include current years for graduation rate calculation. The revised definition was submitted to the U.S. Department of Education and will be posted when approved.  LEAs that were awarded 2009 School Improvement Grant funds began implementation of selected intervention models in the 2010-2011 school year. Twelve schools are implementing the Transformation Model and seven schools are implementing the Turnaround Model. None of the eligible and awarded LEAs chose to implement the Restart or the Closure models. ADE has posted the summary of School Improvement Grant awards on its website. ADE will update this list by the summer of 2011 with information on intervention success within the listed schools. There are no obstacles identified that would prevent this from being completed within the time frame. It is expected that there will not be any additional costs to implement this plan.  LEAs completed an in-depth comprehensive application process that included:  • analyzing the needs of each Tier I and Tier II school it commits to serve  • identifying the districts level of capacity and commitment to implement the selected intervention model  • selecting the most appropriate intervention model to implement  • submitting an LEA application for ADE approval  • implementing the intervention model during the 2010-2011 school year  During the school year 2010-11, with technical assistance from ADE specialists, LEAs will:  • establish annual student achievement goals  • monitor progress towards these goals and make adjustments when needed  • report quarterly progress on student performance and model implementation to ADE  • complete yearly report that includes results of the implementation of the chosen intervention model and student achievement results  The progres	Revised Date	

	STATE NAME: ARIZONA				
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date	
	Confirm, for the State and for each LEA in the State that operates charter schools, the number of charter schools currently operating.		The current total number of operating charter schools is 512: 505 have been individually approved by the Arizona State Board for Charter Schools (ASBCS) and 7 operate under state districts.		
Indicator (d)(8)		Complete	The information is posted on the Governor's web site here: <a href="http://www.asbcs.az.gov/parent">http://www.asbcs.az.gov/parent</a> resources/school search.asp.	Completed	
			Owner: Deanna Rowe (State Board for Charter Schools)		
Indicator	Provide, for the State and for each LEA in the State that operates charter schools, the number and percentage of charter schools that have made progress on State assessments in reading/language		The ADE has provided information on charter schools that have made progress on state assessments on the following website: <a href="https://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/AIMSImprovement">https://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/AIMSImprovement</a> . <a href="https://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/AIMSImprovement">https://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/AIMSImprovement</a> .		
(d)(9)	arts in the last year.	Complete	The ADE will update this information annually.	Completed	
			Owner: Robert Franciosi		
	Provide, for the State and for each LEA in the State that operates charter schools, the number and percentage of charter schools that have made progress on State		The ADE has provided information on charter schools that have made progress on state assessments on the following website: <a href="https://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/AIMSImprovement">https://www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/AIMSImprovement</a> .		
Indicator (d)(10)	assessments in mathematics in the last year.	Complete	The ADE will update this information annually.	Completed	
			Owner: Robert Franciosi		

STATE NAME	STATE NAME: ARIZONA					
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date		
	Provide, for the State and for each		September 2010- The ASBCS updated the information on their website to reflect			
	LEA in the State that operates charter schools, the number and identity of charter schools that have closed (including schools that were not reauthorized to operate) within each of the last five years.		the most recent activity for the required most recent 5 year period.  The information is posted on the Governor's web site here: <a href="http://www.asbcs.az.gov/parent_resources/school_search.asp">http://www.asbcs.az.gov/parent_resources/school_search.asp</a> .	State Board for Charter Schools is Complete for 2010 and will continue to update 2011 fiscal year in Sept to include most recent complete fiscal year. (June 30 fiscal year cut-off for 2011).		
Indicator (d)(11)		Complete	The ASBCS will update and post the information annually in September.	Owned by Governors Office		
			Owner: Deanna Rowe (State Board for Charter Schools)			

STATE NAME	STATE NAME: ARIZONA					
Indicator / Descriptor ID	Indicator / Descriptor	Current Plan Status	Current State Plan	Revised Date		
	Indicate, for each charter school that has closed (including a school that was not reauthorized to		September 2010- The ASBCS updated the information on their website to reflect the most recent activity for the required most recent 5 year period.			
Indicator (d)(12)	operate) within each of the last five years, whether the closure of the school was for financial, enrollment, academic, or other reasons.	Complete	The information is posted on the Governor's web site here: <a href="http://www.asbcs.az.gov/parent">http://www.asbcs.az.gov/parent</a> resources/school search.asp.	State Board for Charter Schools is Complete for 2010 and will continue to update 2011 fiscal year in Sept to include most recent complete fiscal year. (June 30 fiscal year cut-off for 2011).		
(d)(12)			The ASBCS will update and post the information annually in September.	Owned by Governors Office		
			Owner: Deanna Rowe (State Board for Charter Schools)			

## **Budget for Implementing SFSF Plan Arizona Department of Education**

#### Updated 05/04/2011

Funds to be Encumbered by September 30, 2011 and Expended by December 31, 2011

Category	Activity	Expenses	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Training	State Board Adopted Framework &	Consultants/	\$16,000	\$52,000
	Recommendations for Implementation	Contracted		
	Stakeholder input	Employees		
	<ul> <li>Development of modules &amp; training materials</li> </ul>	400hours @		
	Train the trainers	\$40/hour		
	<ul> <li>Post ADE &amp; stakeholder websites</li> </ul>	Fixed Costs, Supplies		
		& Materials		
	OUTCOME:	\$5,000	\$5,000	
	All LEAs receive consistent, standardized     information consistent and a second consistent and	Travel	, - ,	
	information concerning requirements, roles & responsibilities delineated by the State Board	\$6,000	\$6,000	
	approved Teacher & Principal Evaluation	Meeting room & misc	ψο,σσσ	
	Framework	20 people * \$50 *	\$25,000	
		25 days	723,000	
	Qualified Evaluator— <b>Teacher</b> Evaluations	Consultants/	\$16,000	\$52,000
	Stakeholder input	Contracted		
	<ul> <li>Development of modules &amp; training materials</li> </ul>	Employees		
	Train the trainers	400hours @		
	<ul> <li>Post ADE &amp; stakeholder websites</li> </ul>	\$40/hour		
		Fixed Costs, Supplies		
	OUTCOME:	& Materials		
	Inter-rater reliability among evaluators	\$5,000	\$5,000	
		Travel	7-7	
		\$6,000	\$6,000	
		Meeting room & misc	70,000	
		20 people * \$50 *	\$25,000	
		25 days	\$23,000	
	Qualified Evaluator—Principal Evaluations	Consultants/	\$16,000	\$52,000
	Stakeholder input	Contracted		
	<ul> <li>Development of modules &amp; training materials</li> </ul>	Employees		
	Train the trainers	400hours @		
	Post ADE & stakeholder websites	\$40/hour		
		Fixed Costs, Supplies		
	<u>OUTCOME</u> :	& Materials		
	Inter-rater reliability among evaluators	\$5,000	\$5,000	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Travel	, = , = = =	
		\$6,000	\$6,000	
		Meeting room & misc	70,000	
		20 people * \$50 *	\$25,000	
		25 days	323,000	

Category	Activity	Expenses	Unit Cost	<b>Total Cost</b>
Training	Using and Understanding Quantitative and	Consultants/	\$16,000	\$52,000
	Qualitative Data on Student Academic Progress to	Contracted		
	Impact Decisions Regarding Teacher and Principal	Employees		
	Development, Compensation, Promotion, Retention	400hours @		
	and Removal	\$40/hour		
	Stakeholder input	Fixed Costs, Supplies		
	<ul> <li>Development of modules &amp; training materials</li> </ul>	& Materials		
	Train the trainers	\$5,000	\$5,000	
	<ul> <li>Post ADE &amp; stakeholder websites</li> </ul>	Travel		
		\$6,000	\$6,000	
	<u>OUTCOME</u> :	Meeting room & misc		
	All district & charter school personnel can make	20 people * \$50 *	\$25,000	
	informed decisions regarding teacher & principal evaluations	25 days	, ,	
	Converting Existing Course Classification Systems to	Consultants/	\$16,000	\$52,000
	Align with State & Federal Reporting Requirements	Contracted		
	Stakeholder input	Employees		
	Development of modules & training materials	400hours @		
	Train the trainers	\$40/hour		
	<ul> <li>Inter-rater reliability among evaluators</li> </ul>	Fixed Costs, Supplies		
		& Materials		
	OUTCOME:	\$5,000	\$5,000	
	K-12 Course classification systems are aligned with	Travel	1 - 7	
	state & federal reporting requirements.	\$6,000	\$6,000	
		Meeting room & misc	+ 5/5 5 5	
		20 people * \$50 *	\$25,000	
		25 days		
Technology	Linkage between P-12 Data Systems and Higher	See Appendix B of	\$956,000	\$956,000
	Education Data Systems	SFSF Application—		
		Project Plan for SFSF		
		Requirements		
		Related to		
		Postsecondary		
		Education		
Technology	SAIS Stabilization: A four phase project to replace a	Phase 1-2 (Sandbox)		\$700,000
	12 year old hardware platform to maintain student	In Process and		
	accountability for addition 3 years . Additionally the	<mark>funded</mark>		
	platform will support the development and prototype	Phase 3: (Production		
	of a SAIS replacement.	<mark>Upgrade)</mark>		
		Hardware:	\$ <mark>333,000</mark>	
		Consultants:	\$52,000	
		ADE Personnel:		

IL 3-2-2011 Page 28 of 37

		960 Hours * 75/hour Phase 4: (Data Protection) Hardware: Consultants	\$72,000 \$159,000 \$84,000	
Category	Activity	Expenses	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Reporting	Survey distribution, data analysis, and public		\$20,000	\$20,000
Technical Assistance	reporting on Indicators A1-7, C10, D1, D2, D9, D10  Temporary Regional Training Centers-May 1, 2010 to September 30, 2010  Intensive Technical Assistance & Training Partnering with County Educational Service Agencies to form six regional centers	4 FTE (4 months) @ \$24,000 each ERE (39.5%) Travel Misc. Expenses	\$96,000 \$37,920 \$20,000 \$10,000	\$163,920
Technical Assistance	Development and Implementation of Communication Plan  Regional Focus Groups Marketing materials  OUTCOME: Transparency in the implementation of the Teacher & Principal Evaluation Framework.	Consultants/ Contracted Employees 400hours @ \$40/hour Marketing Materials \$20,000 Fixed Costs, Supplies & Materials \$5,000 Travel \$6,000 Meeting room & misc 20 people * \$50 * 25 days	\$16,000 \$20,000 \$5,000 \$6,000 \$25,000	\$72,000
	Two-Day Summit on Educator Evaluations  • Sharing Best Practices  • Dialogue with National Experts  OUTCOME:  LEAs will have models and strategies as resources as they implement the Framework.	All-inclusive conference location \$60/ person/day 500 attendees Speakers Conference Materials	\$60,000 \$25,000 \$15,000	\$100,000
Time & Effort	Reimburse ADE staff for hours spent on the SFSF application	Estimate calculated as follows: \$87,000 for time & effort for 1 <sup>st</sup> round of SFSF * 75% (rationale—2 <sup>nd</sup> round does not entail as many meetings; however, it does include the development		\$65,250

IL 3-2-2011 Page 29 of 37

	of the new survey, data analysis, and public posting as well as the rewrite of the plan.)	
	the planty	<mark>\$2,337,17</mark> 0

IL 3-2-2011 Page 30 of 37

#### Arizona Department of Education

# A PROJECT PLAN FOR SFSF REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO POST SECONDARY EDUCATION

<u>Appendix B</u>

Ilana Licht 4/18/2011

IL 3-2-2011 Page 31 of 37

#### **Table of Content**

A.	SFSF
Requirements	3
B.	Data
Requirements	3
C.	High
school graduate counts	4
D.	Arizo
na Post Secondary Schools	4
E.	Stake
holders	5
F.	Desig
n Approach	5
G.	Devel
opment Approach	5
H.	Projec
t Plan & Cost Estimate	6

IL 3-2-2011 Page 32 of 37

#### A. <u>SFSF Requirements</u>

**<u>B1-4:</u>** The <u>capacity to communicate with higher education data systems</u> is included in the State's statewide longitudinal system

**B1-10:** Student -level college readiness test scores are included in the State's statewide longitudinal system

<u>B1-11:</u> Information regarding the extent to which student <u>transition successfully from secondary school</u> <u>to post secondary education</u>, including whether students <u>enroll in remedial coursework</u> are included in the State's statewide longitudinal system

<u>B1-12:</u> Other information determined necessary to address alignment and adequate preparation for post secondary education are included in the State's statewide longitudinal system

<u>C11:</u> Of the student who graduate from high school consistent with 34 CFR 200.19(b)(1)(i), the <u>number and percentages who enroll in an institution of higher education</u> (IHE) (as defined in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA)) <u>within 16 months of receiving a regular high school diploma</u>

C12: Of the student who graduate from high school consistent with 34 CFR 200.19(b)(1)(i), who enroll in the State within 16 months of receiving a regular high school diploma, the number and percentage who complete at least one year's worth of college credit (applicable to a degree) within two years of enrollment in the IHE

<u>Note</u> that B12 requires discussions and consensus by secondary and post secondary authorities in Arizona

#### B. Data Requirements

<u>Source</u>	Required Data
ADE Student	High School graduates - school and FY of
Details (SD)	graduation, demographics and HS AIMS
	results
NCEA	ACT Scores
National Student	Enrollment, Degree/Certificate &
Clearing House	possibly Major, with dates, in Post
(NSC) OR AZ Post	Secondary Schools nationwide, including
Secondary	private ones
Schools	
Arizona Post	Credit amount obtained within first 2
Secondary	years of enrollment; Remedial course
Schools (Arizona	work;
State Universities,	To be determined - Other data pertinent
Community	to indicating adequacy of secondary
colleges, Private	education to post secondary education
Universities	
&Post Secondary	
Schools)	

IL 3-2-2011 Page 33 of 37

#### C. <u>High school graduate counts</u>

#### **AEDW Graduate Counts**

AEDW Graduate Courts				
Student Year End	Fiscal			
Outcome Count	Year			
School Year Outcome	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Code Description				
Graduated - During	6,151	7,252	7,319	7,893
Session				
Graduated - During	669	882	657	277
Summer				
Year End Status -	50,129	52,264	54,911	53,000
Graduated				
<b>Grand Total</b>	56,949	60,398	62,887	61,170

# D. <u>Arizona Post Secondary Schools</u> (from Career Guide by Arizona Commission for Post Secondary Education)

School Type	School Type Count
Public Universities	3
Public Community Colleges	21
Tribal Community Colleges	2
Private Postsecondary Educational Institutions (Licensed by ABPPE)	170
Private Program Oriented Institutions (Licensed by ABPPE)	111
Total	307

#### Please note that:

- By federal definitions an Institution of Higher education is one that is legally authorized by the state to provide program of education beyond secondary education & is a public or other nonprofit institution and is accredited to awards a bachelor degree or 2 year program fully accepted towards a bachelor degree.
- Given the above definition, only the 3 state universities and 23 community colleges need to be included to satisfy SFSF requirement. However this will provide only a partial picture of the secondary post secondary relationships.
- Only 37 of Arizona postsecondary schools are members of NSC, which means that information on enrollment & graduation of Arizona high school graduates at the other 270 post secondary schools will have to be obtained from the schools.

IL 3-2-2011 Page 34 of 37

• Each community college is an independent entity and will have to be worked with individually for determining data transfer processes.

#### E. Stakeholders

The stakeholders of the new system are: State Boards of Education (all levels), ADE, School Districts and Charter Schools, Post Secondary Institutions, Education Research organizations, Education Foundations and Associations, Federal DOE.

#### F. Design Approach

- 1. Given the urgency of satisfying SFSF requirements by September 2011 and the need to build a system that can easily support data analysis and exploration beyond the specific SFSF required indicators, it is suggested that:
  - 1.1. The operational data collection will be transactional
  - 1.2. The SFSF indicators will be developed as pivotal aggregated measures, similar to the measures in the Arizona Education Data Warehouse.
- 2. All data topics mentioned above will be defined as transactional events with an associated date, (at least FY), and stored as transactional data store. This allows maximum utilization of the data for further exploration. The dynamics of the graduate progression through post secondary education is important information in itself and can be explored only if we store transactional data.
- 3. Transactional data will be acquired from the sources once a year for the last FY
- 4. Given the nature of the data, acquired transactions are not changeable, e.g. If a HS graduate takes the ACT test again the results of the repeated test constitute a new transaction;
- 5. When no transactional data is available for a HS graduate in a given FY, a programmatic transaction specifying the nonexistence of the data will be created.
- 6. Data will be collected for HS graduates of FY 2005 onward
- 7. The operational transactions data store can be integrated in the future with the redesigned SAIS.
- 8. Transactional data will be transformed to Fact and Dimension Tables in AEDW to provide SFSF required indicators and other measures of interest for the various stakeholders.
- 9. The SFSF requirements will be the first measures to be developed.
- 10. Other postsecondary related measures can be developed incrementally, in consultation with the various stakeholders.

#### G. <u>Development Approach</u>

Given the urgency of satisfying SFSF requirements by September 2011, and the federal definition of Institution of Higher Education, a phased development is suggested as follows

#### Phase 1 – State Universities and Community Colleges

Phase 1 to be completed by September 2011, will address the following requirements, limiting coursework data collection to the state universities and community colleges:

1. Receive & store the following data:

IL 3-2-2011 Page 35 of 37

- 1.1.1.Enrollment & graduation data from the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC), covering 37 Arizona postsecondary schools and other unknown number of nationwide schools
- 1.1.2.ACT scores from ACT.
- 1.1.3. Completion of at least one year's worth of college credit within two years of enrollment, from <u>Arizona State universities and Community Colleges.</u>
- 1.1.4.Remedial coursework from <u>Arizona community colleges</u> (Arizona universities do not provide remedial coursework)
- Calculate indicators required or implied by B1-10, B1-11, B1-12, C11, C12, for the appropriate population defined by NSC coverage and phase 1 scope.
   Phase 2

The thrust of Phase 2 is to:

- Bring on board the remaining Arizona post secondary school in order to achieve a broader picture of the post secondary accomplishments of Arizona secondary graduates.
- Expand the analytical measures to support stakeholders' interests.

Project plan for Phase 2 will be developed later.

#### H. Project Plan & Cost Estimate (Schedule updated by Arthur Kenjora, PM, as of 4/18/2011)

Task ID	Task	Start Date	End Date	Who	Estimated Effort in Person Month
1	Project Management	4/11/2011	9/30/2011	ADE Project Manager	4
2	Analysis of data received from National Student Clearing House (NSC) and ACT, to identify level of matching to SAIS high school graduates and determine possible matching improvements	3/1/2011	3/31/2011	ADE Data Management	1
3	Resolution with 3 state universities on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment	4/11/2011	5/16/2011	ADE Project Manager	
4	Resolution with 23 community colleges on providing data on student creditable coursework during first 2 years of enrollment and student remedial coursework	4/11/2011	5/16/2011	ADE Project Manager	2
5	Transactional data requirements definitions	4/11/2011	5/16/2011	ADE BA	1
6	Transactional data design for the central data store	5/17/2011	6/10/2011	ADE Data Architect	1

IL 3-2-2011 Page 36 of 37

7	Technical design of process for acquisition of data from above institutions	6/11/2011	7/15/2011	ADE Developer	1
8	Development of data extraction and submission at each of the 26 institutions	7/16/2011	8/15/2011	Institutions IT staff	26
9	QA data extraction & submission from 26 institutions	8/26/2011	9/15/2011	ADE QA & Institutions IT staff	26
10	Implementation of the central transactional data store (data from NSC, ACT and 26 Arizona institutions)	9/16/2011	9/30/2011	ADE Data Management & Operation	1
11	Design of SFSF Indicators Measures and Facts & Dimensions transformation of the transactional data	6/26/2011	7/15/2011	ADE BI	1
12	ETL development of Facts & Dimensions tables and cube definitions for SFSF Indicators Measures	7/16/2011	8/15/2011	ADE developer	3
13	QA of Facts & Dimensions tables and SFSF Indicators Measures	8/26/2011	9/15/2011	ADE QA	3
14	Implementation of SFSF Indicators Measures in AEDW, including user references and granting access to the 26 institutions	9/16/2011	9/30/2011	ADE Developer & BI	3

Project Cost Estimate	
Total estimated Work in person-month (including 50 person-month by the post secondary institutions)	73
Hours per work month	160
Total estimated hours	11,680
Labor Cost @ 75\$/Hour	\$876,000
Acquisition of data from NSC and ACT (needed by December 2011)	\$80,000
Total Cost Estimate	\$956,000

IL 3-2-2011 Page 37 of 37