Table 326. Authorized Intercepts of Communication—Summary: 1980 to 2008 [As of December 31, Data for jurisdictions with statutes authorizing or approving interception of wire or oral communication] 1995

1990

1980

ourisalicitoris.										
With wiretap statutes	28	40	41	45	47	47	47	47	47	47
Reporting interceptions	22	25	19	26	24	20	23	24	25	23
Intercept applications										
authorized	564	872	1,156	1,266	1,442	1,710	1,773	1,839	2,208	1,891

2000

2003

2005

2006

2007

2004

2008

Intercept installations 2. 524 812 1.024 1.139 1.367 1.633 1.694 1.714 2.119 1.809 321 576 79 472 723 624 461 454 384 491 791 445 497 667 910 1.070 1.253 1.665 1.425 Intercepted communications, 1.058 1.487 2.028 1.769 3.004 3.017 2.835 2.685 3.106

2.707 Incriminating average 3 315 321 993 459 402 619 629 547 920 514 Persons arrested 4 1 871 3 674 4.674 4.376 4.133 2.057 2.577 3.411 4 506 4.830 Convictions 4 420 634 259 494 736 844 776 711 984 810 Major offense specified: 199 116 95 49 90 56 55 54

282 1.473 520 732 894 1.104 1.308 1.433 1.792 Homicide and assault 13 21 30 80 48 119 132 Racketeering (NA) (NA) 98 96 138 94 90 98

1.593 92 58 NA Not available

¹ Jurisdictions include federal government, 44 states and the Virgin Islands, and District of Columbia. ² Based on the number of orders for which intercept devices were installed as reported by the prosecuting official.

Based on information received from intercepts installed in year shown; additional arrests/convictions will occur in subsequent years but are not shown here.

of Wire, Oral or Electronic Communications (Wiretap Report), annual. See also http://www.uscourts.gov/wiretap08/contents.html.

Source: Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, Report on Applications for Orders Authorizing or Approving the Interception

Item

Juricaliations: 1

authorized installation