

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20436

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN ELECTRONIC PAPER TOWEL
DISPENSING DEVICES AND
COMPONENTS THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-718

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN INITIAL
DETERMINATION GRANTING COMPLAINANTS' MOTION TO AMEND THE
COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION TO CORRECT RESPONDENT
NAMES AND TO ADD RESPONDENTS**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 8) granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 21, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products LP of Atlanta, Georgia ("Georgia-Pacific"), alleging violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic paper towel dispensing devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,871,815; 7,017,856; 7,182,289; and 7,387,274. 75 *Fed. Reg.* 28651-2 (May 21, 2010). The complainant named as respondents Kruger Products LP of Mississauga, Canada ("Kruger"); KTG USA LP of Memphis, Tennessee ("KTG USA"); Stefco Industries, Inc. of Haines City,

Florida (“Stefco”); Cellynne Corporation of Haines City, Florida (“Cellyne”); Draco Hygienic Products Inc. of Ontario, California; NetPak Electronic Plastic and Cosmetic, Inc., d/b/a/ Open for Business of Chicago, Illinois (“NetPak Chicago”); NetPak Electronik Plastik ve Kozmetik Sanayi, Ve Ticaret Ltd of Izmir, Turkey (“NetPak Turkey”); Paradigm Marketing Consortium, Inc. of Syosset, New York; United Sourcing Network Corp. of Syosset, New York; New Choice (H.K.) Ltd. of Shatin, Hong Kong; and Vida International Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan.

On July 19, 2010, Georgia-Pacific filed a motion seeking to amend the complaint and Notice of Investigation for the following reasons: (1) to correct the corporate name of NetPak Chicago; (2) to redefine “Kruger” to “Kruger Products and/or KTG USA”; (3) to indicate that Georgia-Pacific no longer alleges that NetPak Turkey is the source of Stefco’s and Cellynne’s accused product; (4) to add new proposed respondent Jet Power International Limited; (5) to add new proposed respondents Winco Industries Co. and DWL Industries Co.; (6) to add new proposed respondent Ko-Am Corporation d/b/a/ Janitors’ World; (7) to add new proposed respondent Natyry, S.A. De C. V.; (8) to add new proposed respondent Update International Inc.; and (9) to add new proposed respondent Alliance in Manufacturing LLC. No responses to the motion were filed.

On July 29, 2010, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting the motion, finding that, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b)(1) (19 C.F.R. § 210.14(b)(1)), there is good cause to correct the corporate names of the identified respondents and to add the newly proposed respondents. No petitions for review of this ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. § 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

/s/
Marilyn R. Abbott
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: August 16, 2010