

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:36AM  
 PAGE: 1 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Output Measures</b>					
<u>1-1-1 INTAKE AND ACCESS</u>					
5 AVG CONSUMERS: MR ASSESS/COORD					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	11,490.00	10,594.00	10,594.00	92.20 % *	10,915.50 - 12,064.50
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Number of consumers served in Q1 is usually low due to timing between quarter closed and final submission. The centers are allowed 90 days from last day of quarter closed to adjust number of consumers.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	11,490.00	10,572.00	10,583.00	92.11 % *	10,915.50 - 12,064.50
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There will be more slots authorized for HCS and TxHmL between February and August. The number of consumers for target case management is expected to increase in Q3 and Q4.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	11,490.00	10,644.00	10,608.00	92.32 % *	10,915.50 - 12,064.50
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There will be more slots authorized for HCS and TxHmL between June and August. The number of consumers for target case management is expected to increase in Q4.					
<u>1-1-2 LTC FUNCTIONAL ELIGIBILITY</u>					
1 NUMBER ELIGIBLE: COMMUNITY CARE					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	202,744.00	173,929.00	173,929.00	85.79 % *	192,606.80 - 212,881.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There has been a slowdown in the rate of caseload growth in Primary Home Care as well as a decline in caseload for Community Attendant Services (CAS). This slowdown/decline began around March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	202,744.00	171,525.00	172,727.00	85.19 % *	192,606.80 - 212,881.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There has been a slowdown in the rate of caseload growth in Primary Home Care as well as a decline in caseload for Community Attendant Services (CAS). This slowdown/decline began around March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	202,744.00	157,671.00	167,708.00	82.72 % *	192,606.80 - 212,881.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There has been a slowdown in the rate of caseload growth in Primary Home Care as well as a decline in caseload for Community Attendant Services (CAS). This slowdown/decline began around March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented.					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 2 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Output Measures</b>					
4 # GUARDIANSHIP CLIENTS					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	1,187.00	1,018.67	1,018.67	85.82 % *	1,127.65 - 1,246.35
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The numbers for Guardianship are trending up. Program is monitoring achievement of this target for the year. Program is looking for anomalies within the data.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	1,187.00	1,030.67	1,024.67	86.32 % *	1,127.65 - 1,246.35
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The numbers for Guardianship are trending up. Program is monitoring achievement of this target for the year. Program is looking for anomalies within the data.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	1,187.00	1,051.33	1,033.56	87.07 % *	1,127.65 - 1,246.35
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The numbers for Guardianship are trending up. Program is monitoring achievement of this target for the year. Program is looking for anomalies within the data.					
<u>1-2-1 PRIMARY HOME CARE</u>					
1 AVG #: PRIMARY HOME CARE					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	74,749.00	65,068.00	65,068.00	87.05 % *	71,011.55 - 78,486.45
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There has been a slowdown in the rate of caseload growth in Primary Home Care. This slowdown began around March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	74,749.00	63,890.00	64,479.00	86.26 % *	71,011.55 - 78,486.45
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There has been a slowdown in the rate of caseload growth in Primary Home Care. This slowdown began around March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	74,749.00	53,480.00	60,813.00	81.36 % *	71,011.55 - 78,486.45
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> There has been a slowdown in the rate of caseload growth in Primary Home Care. This slowdown began around March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented.					
<u>1-2-2 COMMUNITY ATTENDANT SERVICES</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 3 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Output Measures</b>					
1 AVG #: COMMUNITY ATTENDANT SER					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	53,156.00	42,057.00	42,057.00	79.12 % *	50,498.20 - 55,813.80
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Caseloads for CAS have not increased as anticipated in FY 2007. Caseloads have actually declined since March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented. Data to date indicate that MERP may be impacting enrollment in services.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	53,156.00	42,133.00	42,095.00	79.19 % *	50,498.20 - 55,813.80
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Caseloads for CAS have not increased as anticipated in FY 2007. Caseloads have actually declined since March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented. Data to date indicate that MERP may be impacting enrollment in services.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	53,156.00	42,060.00	42,083.00	79.17 % *	50,498.20 - 55,813.80
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Caseloads for CAS have not increased as anticipated in FY 2007. Caseloads have actually declined since March 2005, which is the month in which the Medicaid Estate Recovery Program (MERP) was implemented. Data to date indicate that MERP may be impacting enrollment in services.					
<u>1-2-3 DAY ACTIVITY &amp; HEALTH SERVICES</u>					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: DAY ACTIVITY					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	19,969.00	18,911.00	18,911.00	94.70 % *	18,970.55 - 20,967.45
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The caseload trends are down slightly for this service.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	19,969.00	18,218.00	18,565.00	92.97 % *	18,970.55 - 20,967.45
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The caseload trends are down slightly for this service.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	19,969.00	15,927.00	17,685.00	88.56 % *	18,970.55 - 20,967.45
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The caseload trends are down slightly for this service.					
<u>1-3-1 COMMUNITY-BASED ALTERNATIVES</u>					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: CBA WAIVER					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	28,401.00	29,505.00	29,505.00	103.89 %	26,980.95 - 29,821.05
<b>Quarter 2</b>	28,401.00	27,701.00	28,603.00	100.71 %	26,980.95 - 29,821.05
<b>Quarter 3</b>	28,401.00	24,739.00	27,315.00	96.18 %	26,980.95 - 29,821.05
<u>1-3-2 HOME AND COMMUNITY-BASED SERVICES</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 4 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Output Measures</b>					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: HCS					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	11,194.00	11,211.00	11,211.00	100.15 %	10,634.30 - 11,753.70
<b>Quarter 2</b>	11,194.00	11,600.00	11,406.00	101.89 %	10,634.30 - 11,753.70
<b>Quarter 3</b>	11,194.00	12,078.00	11,630.00	103.89 %	10,634.30 - 11,753.70
<u>1-3-3 COMMUNITY LIVING ASSISTANCE (CLASS)</u>					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: CLASS WAIVER					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	3,049.00	2,670.00	2,670.00	87.57 % *	2,896.55 - 3,201.45
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	The FY 2007 appropriations provided for a 36.8% increase above FY 2006 in the monthly average number of persons served in CLASS. The appropriations assumed that the new enrollments would occur gradually during the year. Based upon enrollments to date, the department is on track to achieve this target.				
<b>Quarter 2</b>	3,049.00	2,877.00	2,773.00	90.95 % *	2,896.55 - 3,201.45
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	The FY 2007 appropriations provided for a 36.8% increase above FY 2006 in the monthly average number of persons served in CLASS. The appropriations assumed that the new enrollments would occur gradually during the year. Based upon enrollments to date, the department is on track to achieve this target.				
<b>Quarter 3</b>	3,049.00	3,163.00	2,903.00	95.21 %	2,896.55 - 3,201.45
<u>1-3-4 DEAF-BLIND MULTIPLE DISABILITIES</u>					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: DBMD WAIVER					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	156.00	134.00	134.00	85.90 % *	148.20 - 163.80
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	Although a significant number of persons on the DBMD interest list were contacted during the first half of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.				
<b>Quarter 2</b>	156.00	140.00	137.00	87.82 % *	148.20 - 163.80
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	Although a significant number of persons on the DBMD interest list were contacted during the first half of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.				
<b>Quarter 3</b>	156.00	145.00	140.00	89.74 % *	148.20 - 163.80
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	Although a significant number of persons on the DBMD interest list were contacted during the first half of FY 2006, new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.				
<u>1-3-5 MEDICALLY DEPENDENT CHILDREN PGM</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 5 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Output Measures</b>					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: MDCP WAIVER					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	1,993.00	1,129.00	1,129.00	56.65 % *	1,893.35 - 2,092.65
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The FY 2007 appropriations provided for a 102.7% increase above FY 2005 in the monthly average number of persons served in MDCP. Although staff have contacted a significant number of persons on the MDCP interest list , new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	1,993.00	1,229.00	1,179.00	59.16 % *	1,893.35 - 2,092.65
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The FY 2007 appropriations provided for a 102.7% increase above FY 2005 in the monthly average number of persons served in MDCP. Although staff have contacted a significant number of persons on the MDCP interest list , new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	1,993.00	1,553.00	1,304.00	65.43 % *	1,893.35 - 2,092.65
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The FY 2007 appropriations provided for a 102.7% increase above FY 2005 in the monthly average number of persons served in MDCP. Although staff have contacted a significant number of persons on the MDCP interest list , new enrollments have occurred more slowly than anticipated. DADS is currently reviewing its enrollment processes and procedures.					
<u>1-3-7 TEXAS HOME LIVING WAIVER</u>					
1 AVG #: TX HOME LIVING WAIVER					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	2,823.00	1,386.00	1,386.00	49.10 % *	2,681.85 - 2,964.15
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Enrollments in TxHmL are lower than expected, due to consumers who are coming up on the HCS waiting list and opting out of the program. Further, the rollout of TxHmL slots is occurring at a slower rate than anticipated.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	2,823.00	1,396.00	1,391.17	49.28 % *	2,681.85 - 2,964.15
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Enrollments in TxHmL are lower than expected, due to consumers who are coming up on the HCS waiting list and opting out of the program. Further, the rollout of TxHmL slots is occurring at a slower rate than anticipated.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	2,823.00	1,437.00	1,406.56	49.83 % *	2,681.85 - 2,964.15
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Enrollments in TxHmL are lower than expected, due to consumers who are coming up on the HCS waiting list and opting out of the program. Further, the rollout of TxHmL slots is occurring at a slower rate than anticipated.					
<u>1-4-1 NON-MEDICAID SERVICES-TITLE XX</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 6 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Output Measures</b>					
1 AVG #: NON MEDICAID CC (XX)					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	12,952.00	14,422.00	14,422.00	111.35 % *	12,304.40 - 13,599.60
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The service mix of persons served has changed. A higher proportion of clients are receiving low-cost services such as Meals, and Emergency Response Services. Therefore, the average cost per client has decreased. This has allowed DADS to serve more clients.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	12,952.00	14,667.00	14,545.00	112.30 % *	12,304.40 - 13,599.60
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The service mix of persons served has changed. A higher proportion of clients are receiving low-cost services such as Meals, and Emergency Response Services. Therefore, the average cost per client has decreased. This has allowed DADS to serve more clients.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	12,952.00	16,266.00	15,119.00	116.73 % *	12,304.40 - 13,599.60
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The service mix of persons served has changed. A higher proportion of clients are receiving low-cost services such as Meals, and Emergency Response Services. Therefore, the average cost per client has decreased. This has allowed DADS to serve more clients.					
<u>1-4-3 MR COMMUNITY SERVICES</u>					
1 AVG #: MR COMMUNITY SERVICES					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	10,137.00	10,420.00	10,420.00	102.79 %	9,630.15 - 10,643.85
<b>Quarter 2</b>	10,137.00	10,434.00	10,427.00	102.86 %	9,630.15 - 10,643.85
<b>Quarter 3</b>	10,137.00	10,408.00	10,420.00	102.79 %	9,630.15 - 10,643.85
<u>1-4-4 MR COMMUNITY SERVICES RESIDENTIAL</u>					
1 AVG #: NON MEDICAID RESIDENTIA					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	95.00	80.00	80.00	84.21 % *	90.25 - 99.75
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Consumers were transferred to other MR programs.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	95.00	75.00	78.00	82.11 % *	90.25 - 99.75
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Consumers are transferred to other MR programs (MR community services, HCS, TxHML, ICF-MR).					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	95.00	68.00	74.00	77.89 % *	90.25 - 99.75
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Consumers are transferred to other MR programs (MR community services, HCS, TxHML, ICF-MR).					
<u>1-4-6 NUTRITION SERVICES</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 7 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Output Measures</b>					
2 # MEALS: CONGREGATE					
Quarter 1	3,941,792.00	824,436.00	824,436.00	20.92 %	788,358.40 - 1,182,537.60
Quarter 2	3,941,792.00	812,176.00	1,636,612.00	41.52 % *	1,773,806.40 - 2,167,985.60
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The number of congregate meals purchased with funds received from the Department is lower than projected due to limited funding. The majority of fiscal year funds for this program have not been allocated. The Department anticipates the number of meals purchased to increase during the remainder of the year.					
Quarter 3	3,941,792.00	856,004.00	2,492,616.00	63.24 % *	2,759,254.40 - 3,153,433.60
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The number of congregate meals purchased with funds received from the Department is lower than projected due to limited funding. The majority of fiscal year funds for this program were not allocated until the end of the first month of the quarter. The Department anticipates the number of meals purchased to increase in the final quarter of the year.					
4 # MEALS: HOME-DELIVERED					
Quarter 1	4,169,197.00	1,051,305.00	1,051,305.00	25.22 %	833,839.40 - 1,250,759.10
Quarter 2	4,169,197.00	942,151.00	1,993,456.00	47.81 %	1,876,138.65 - 2,293,058.35
Quarter 3	4,169,197.00	1,152,095.00	3,145,551.00	75.45 %	2,918,437.90 - 3,335,357.60
<u>1-4-8 IN-HOME AND FAMILY SUPPORT</u>					
1 NUMBER OF CLIENTS: IHFS					
Quarter 1	3,364.00	4,174.00	4,174.00	124.08 % *	3,195.80 - 3,532.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> More clients are being served than projected due to additional funds received to serve clients on the interest lists.					
Quarter 2	3,364.00	4,037.00	4,105.00	122.03 % *	3,195.80 - 3,532.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> More clients are being served than projected due to additional funds received to serve clients on the interest lists.					
Quarter 3	3,364.00	3,941.00	4,050.00	120.39 % *	3,195.80 - 3,532.20
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> More clients are being served than projected due to additional funds received to serve clients on the interest lists.					
<u>1-4-9 MENTAL RETARDATION IN-HOME SERVICES</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 8 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Output Measures</b>					
1 # CONSUMERS: MR RECEIVING IHFS					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	2,674.00	1,753.00	1,753.00	65.56 % *	2,540.30 - 2,807.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Each community center is required to develop a plan for number of qualified consumers and cost for this program. The plan will be submitted to DADS by end of Q2.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	2,674.00	2,391.00	2,391.00	89.42 % *	2,540.30 - 2,807.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Community centers are required to obligate 90% of the annual grant allocation by the end of the 2nd quarter. As new consumers are enrolled, the annual grant per consumer will decrease.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	2,674.00	2,622.00	2,622.00	98.06 %	2,540.30 - 2,807.70
<u>1-5-1 ALL-INCLUSIVE CARE - ELDERLY (PACE)</u>					
1 AVG #: RECEIPIENTS (PACE)					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	877.00	909.00	909.00	103.65 %	833.15 - 920.85
<b>Quarter 2</b>	877.00	904.00	907.00	103.42 %	833.15 - 920.85
<b>Quarter 3</b>	877.00	905.00	906.00	103.31 %	833.15 - 920.85
<u>1-6-1 NURSING FACILITY PAYMENTS</u>					
1 # OF PERSONS: MEDICAID NURSING FAC					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	60,172.00	57,834.00	57,834.00	96.11 %	57,163.40 - 63,180.60
<b>Quarter 2</b>	60,172.00	57,038.00	57,436.00	95.45 %	57,163.40 - 63,180.60
<b>Quarter 3</b>	60,172.00	56,758.00	57,210.00	95.08 %	57,163.40 - 63,180.60
<u>1-6-2 MEDICARE SKILLED NURSING FACILITY</u>					
1 # CLIENTS: NURSING FAC COPAY					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	6,831.00	5,960.00	5,960.00	87.25 % *	6,489.45 - 7,172.55
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Updated caseload trends indicate that the demand for this service has slowed.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	6,831.00	6,360.00	6,160.00	90.18 % *	6,489.45 - 7,172.55
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Updated caseload trends indicate that the demand for this service has slowed.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	6,831.00	6,954.00	6,425.00	94.06 % *	6,489.45 - 7,172.55
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Updated caseload trends indicate that the demand for this service has been increasing since the beginning of the fiscal year and should be near targeted levels by year end.					
<u>1-6-3 HOSPICE</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 9 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Output Measures</b>					
1 NUMBER OF HOSPICE CLIENTS					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	5,203.00	5,674.00	5,674.00	109.05 % *	4,942.85 - 5,463.15
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	Updated caseload trends indicate that the demand for this service has increased.				
<b>Quarter 2</b>	5,203.00	5,807.00	5,740.00	110.32 % *	4,942.85 - 5,463.15
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	Updated caseload trends indicate that the demand for this service has increased.				
<b>Quarter 3</b>	5,203.00	5,955.00	5,812.00	111.70 % *	4,942.85 - 5,463.15
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	Updated caseload trends indicate that the demand for this service has increased.				
<u>1-6-4 PROMOTING INDEPENDENCE SERVICES</u>					
1 AVG #: PROMOTING INDEPENDENCE					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	4,756.00	5,156.00	5,156.00	108.41 % *	4,518.20 - 4,993.80
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	A rapid rate of growth was experienced in this program during FY 2005. By August 2005, the number of persons served (4,292) had already exceeded the target for FY 2006. During the FY 2006-2007 legislative session, the department submitted revised estimates for FY 2006 and FY 2007, but the target values were not revised from earlier projections.				
<b>Quarter 2</b>	4,756.00	4,921.00	5,038.00	105.93 % *	4,518.20 - 4,993.80
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	A rapid rate of growth was experienced in this program during FY 2005. By August 2005, the number of persons served (4,292) had already exceeded the target for FY 2006. During the FY 2006-2007 legislative session, the department submitted revised estimates for FY 2006 and FY 2007, but the target values were not revised from earlier projections.				
<b>Quarter 3</b>	4,756.00	4,240.00	4,772.00	100.34 %	4,518.20 - 4,993.80
<u>1-7-1 INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITIES - MR</u>					
1 AVG #: IN ICF/MR BEDS PER MTH					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	7,308.00	6,841.00	6,841.00	93.61 % *	6,942.60 - 7,673.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	The number of clients in Community ICF/MR is declining due to facility closures and consumers transferring to HCS.				
<b>Quarter 2</b>	7,308.00	6,759.00	6,802.00	93.08 % *	6,942.60 - 7,673.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	The number of clients in Community ICF/MR is declining due to facility closures and consumers transferring to HCS.				
<b>Quarter 3</b>	7,308.00	6,700.00	6,765.00	92.57 % *	6,942.60 - 7,673.40
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	The number of clients in ICF-MR is declining due to facility closures and consumers transferring to HCS.				
<u>1-8-1 MR STATE SCHOOLS SERVICES</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 10 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Output Measures</b>					
1 AVG MTHLY # MR CAMPUS RESIDENT					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	5,011.00	4,917.00	4,917.00	98.12 %	4,760.45 - 5,261.55
<b>Quarter 2</b>	5,011.00	4,918.00	4,917.00	98.12 %	4,760.45 - 5,261.55
<b>Quarter 3</b>	5,011.00	4,920.00	4,916.00	98.10 %	4,760.45 - 5,261.55
<u>2-1-1 LTC FACILITY REGULATION</u>					
9 TOTAL AMOUNT COLLECTED FROM FINES					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	3,681,452.48	424,429.83	424,429.83	11.53 % *	736,290.50 - 1,104,435.74
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	DADS does not control this measure. The facility may delay payment of the full penalty by requesting a hearing. In addition, once a hearing is requested, the facility may successfully negotiate payment of a lesser penalty amount or, after a hearing, a judge may order a lesser penalty amount.				
<b>Quarter 2</b>	3,681,452.48	281,149.23	705,579.06	19.17 % *	1,656,653.62 - 2,024,798.86
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	DADS does not control this measure. The facility may delay payment of the full penalty by requesting a hearing. In addition, once a hearing is requested, the facility may successfully negotiate payment of a lesser penalty amount or, after a hearing, a judge may order a lesser penalty amount.				
<b>Quarter 3</b>	3,681,452.48	380,224.99	1,085,804.05	29.49 % *	2,577,016.74 - 2,945,161.98
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	DADS does not control this measure. The facility may delay payment of the full penalty by requesting a hearing. In addition, once a hearing is requested, the facility may successfully negotiate payment of a lesser penalty amount or, after a hearing, a judge may order a lesser penalty amount.				
<u>2-1-2 LTC CREDENTIALING</u>					
1 LICENSES ISSUED/YR: NURS FAC ADMINS					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	1,220.00	278.00	278.00	22.79 %	244.00 - 366.00
<b>Quarter 2</b>	1,220.00	228.00	506.00	41.48 % *	549.00 - 671.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	The number of licenses issued/renewed is dependent upon the number of individuals having, or continuing, an interest in the field of nursing facility administration. Nationally, the number of people seeking and renewing this license is declining. The trends for the state of Texas are also reflecting this decline.				
<b>Quarter 3</b>	1,220.00	198.00	704.00	57.70 % *	854.00 - 976.00
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u>	The number of licenses issued/renewed is dependent upon the number of individuals having, or continuing, an interest in the field of nursing facility administration. Nationally, the number of people seeking and renewing this license is declining. The trends for the state of Texas are also reflecting this decline.				

**Efficiency Measures**

1-2-1 PRIMARY HOME CARE

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 11 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Efficiency Measures</b>					
1 AVG COST: PRIMARY HOME CARE					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	649.06	626.73	626.73	96.56 %	616.61 - 681.51
<b>Quarter 2</b>	649.06	620.78	623.78	96.11 %	616.61 - 681.51
<b>Quarter 3</b>	649.06	645.12	630.04	97.07 %	616.61 - 681.51
<u>1-2-2 COMMUNITY ATTENDANT SERVICES</u>					
1 AVG COST: COMMUNITY ATTENDANT					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	634.25	612.70	612.70	96.60 %	602.54 - 665.96
<b>Quarter 2</b>	634.25	602.65	607.67	95.81 %	602.54 - 665.96
<b>Quarter 3</b>	634.25	628.64	614.65	96.91 %	602.54 - 665.96
<u>1-2-3 DAY ACTIVITY &amp; HEALTH SERVICES</u>					
1 AVG COST: DAHS					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	491.61	477.04	477.04	97.04 %	467.03 - 516.19
<b>Quarter 2</b>	491.61	460.74	469.04	95.41 %	467.03 - 516.19
<b>Quarter 3</b>	491.61	491.18	475.69	96.76 %	467.03 - 516.19
<u>1-3-1 COMMUNITY-BASED ALTERNATIVES</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 12 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Efficiency Measures</b>					
1 COST/CLIENT: CBA WAIVER					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	1,430.82	1,302.07	1,302.07	91.00 % *	1,359.28 - 1,502.36
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the experience for FY 2006 as well as FY 2007 to date shows a reduction in the average cost per client from FY 2005.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	1,430.82	1,261.42	1,282.39	89.63 % *	1,359.28 - 1,502.36
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the experience for FY 2006 as well as FY 2007 to date shows a reduction in the average cost per client from FY 2005.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	1,430.82	1,279.37	1,281.48	89.56 % *	1,359.28 - 1,502.36
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the experience for FY 2006 as well as FY 2007 to date shows a reduction in the average cost per client from FY 2005.					
<u>1-3-2 HOME AND COMMUNITY-BASED SERVICES</u>					
1 COST PER CLIENT: HCS					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	3,501.50	3,194.84	3,194.84	91.24 % *	3,326.43 - 3,676.58
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The appropriated amount of \$3,501.50 assumed funding of the QAF in the waiver. This has not materialized.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	3,501.50	3,150.70	3,172.40	90.60 % *	3,326.43 - 3,676.58
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The appropriated amount of \$3,501.50 assumed funding of the QAF in the waiver. This has not materialized.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	3,501.50	3,266.85	3,205.10	91.54 % *	3,326.43 - 3,676.58
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The appropriated amount of \$3,501.50 assumed funding of the QAF in the waiver. This has not materialized.					
<u>1-3-3 COMMUNITY LIVING ASSISTANCE (CLASS)</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 13 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Efficiency Measures</b>					
1 COST/CLIENT: CLASS WAIVER					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	3,394.47	2,713.17	2,713.17	79.93 % *	3,224.75 - 3,564.19
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The target value for this program assumed the implementation of a Quality Assurance Fee (QAF) for CLASS, which has not yet been approved by Federal CMS. Without QAF funding, the target value would have been \$3122.05. This revised target assumed a 3.3% annual increase in cost per client as the result of changes in patient care mix. We are only at 86.9% of what the target would have been excluding QAF. The expected increase in cost per client has not materialized. In fact, the average cost per client has trended downward.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	3,394.47	2,693.62	2,703.03	79.63 % *	3,224.75 - 3,564.19
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The target value for this program assumed the implementation of a Quality Assurance Fee (QAF) for CLASS, which has not yet been approved by Federal CMS. Without QAF funding, the target value would have been \$3122.05. This revised target assumed a 3.3% annual increase in cost per client as the result of changes in patient care mix. We are only at 86.6% of what the target would have been excluding QAF. The expected increase in cost per client has not materialized. In fact, the average cost per client has trended downward.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	3,394.47	2,780.12	2,731.03	80.46 % *	3,224.75 - 3,564.19
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The target value for this program assumed the implementation of a Quality Assurance Fee (QAF) for CLASS, which has not yet been approved by Federal CMS. Without QAF funding, the target value would have been \$3122.05. This revised target assumed a 3.3% annual increase in cost per client as the result of changes in patient care mix. We are only at 87.5% of what the target would have been excluding QAF. The expected increase in cost per client has not materialized. In fact, the average cost per client has trended downward.					
<u>1-3-4 DEAF-BLIND MULTIPLE DISABILITIES</u>					
1 COST/CLIENT: DBMD					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	3,671.62	3,690.35	3,690.35	100.51 %	3,488.04 - 3,855.20
<b>Quarter 2</b>	3,671.62	3,593.81	3,641.02	99.17 %	3,488.04 - 3,855.20
<b>Quarter 3</b>	3,671.62	3,644.61	3,642.26	99.20 %	3,488.04 - 3,855.20
<u>1-3-5 MEDICALLY DEPENDENT CHILDREN PGM</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 14 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Efficiency Measures</b>					
1 COST/CLIENT: MDCP WAIVER					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	1,419.96	1,345.16	1,345.16	94.73 % *	1,348.96 - 1,490.96
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, the average cost per MDCP client has remained fairly constant from year to year. However, beginning in FY 2005 we began to see a downward trend in the average cost per client which was continued through the FY 2006-2007 biennium. Staff are currently analyzing data to determine possible reasons for this phenomenon.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	1,419.96	1,176.92	1,257.45	88.56 % *	1,348.96 - 1,490.96
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, the average cost per MDCP client has remained fairly constant from year to year. However, beginning in FY 2005 we began to see a downward trend in the average cost per client which was continued through the FY 2006-2007 biennium. Staff are currently analyzing data to determine possible reasons for this phenomenon.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	1,419.96	1,155.55	1,217.01	85.71 % *	1,348.96 - 1,490.96
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Historically, the average cost per MDCP client has remained fairly constant from year to year. However, beginning in FY 2005 we began to see a downward trend in the average cost per client which was continued through the FY 2006-2007 biennium. Staff are currently analyzing data to determine possible reasons for this phenomenon.					
<u>1-3-7 TEXAS HOME LIVING WAIVER</u>					
1 AVG CST: TX HOME LIVING WAIVER					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	586.62	455.31	455.31	77.62 % *	557.29 - 615.95
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Average cost per client in TxHmL is proving to be lower than initially projected. The anticipation of increasing average cost has yet to occur.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	586.62	418.14	436.66	74.44 % *	557.29 - 615.95
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Average cost per client in TxHmL is proving to be lower than initially projected. The anticipation of increasing average cost has yet to occur.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	586.62	457.95	443.91	75.67 % *	557.29 - 615.95
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Average cost per client in TxHmL is proving to be lower than initially projected. The anticipation of increasing average cost has yet to occur.					
<u>1-4-1 NON-MEDICAID SERVICES-TITLE XX</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 15 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Efficiency Measures</b>					
1 AVG COST: NON MEDICAID CC (XX)					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	486.30	448.50	448.50	92.23 % *	461.99 - 510.62
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The service mix of persons served has changed. A higher proportion of clients are receiving low-cost services such as Meals, and Emergency Response Services. Therefore, the average cost per client has decreased. This has allowed DADS to serve more clients.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	486.30	432.25	440.30	90.54 % *	461.99 - 510.62
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The service mix of persons served has changed. A higher proportion of clients are receiving low-cost services such as Meals, and Emergency Response Services. Therefore, the average cost per client has decreased. This has allowed DADS to serve more clients.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	486.30	407.76	428.63	88.14 % *	461.99 - 510.62
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The service mix of persons served has changed. A higher proportion of clients are receiving low-cost services such as Meals, and Emergency Response Services. Therefore, the average cost per client has decreased. This has allowed DADS to serve more clients.					
<u>1-4-4 MR COMMUNITY SERVICES RESIDENTIAL</u>					
1 AVG CST: NON MEDICAID RESIDENT					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	3,431.00	3,401.00	3,401.00	99.13 %	3,259.45 - 3,602.55
<b>Quarter 2</b>	3,431.00	3,424.49	3,410.51	99.40 %	3,259.45 - 3,602.55
<b>Quarter 3</b>	3,431.00	3,424.49	3,410.51	99.40 %	3,259.45 - 3,602.55
<u>1-4-8 IN-HOME AND FAMILY SUPPORT</u>					
1 COST PER MONTH: IHFS CLIENT					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	102.19	70.60	70.60	69.09 % *	97.08 - 107.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The average monthly cost per client is significantly lower than projected. Payments for subsidies are made after the client submits a request for payment. Clients may submit payment requests at any time, a facet of the program over which DADS has no control.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	102.19	69.59	70.10	68.60 % *	97.08 - 107.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The average monthly cost per client is significantly lower than projected, due to the additional clients served per month, which reduces the average monthly cost per client proportionately.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	102.19	70.50	70.26	68.75 % *	97.08 - 107.30
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The average monthly cost per client is significantly lower than projected due to the additional clients served per month, which reduces the average monthly cost per client proportionately.					
<u>1-4-9 MENTAL RETARDATION IN-HOME SERVICES</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 16 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Efficiency Measures</b>					
1 AVG GRANT: PER CONSUMER INFS					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	1,690.19	2,825.25	2,825.25	167.16 % *	1,605.68 - 1,774.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Each community center is required to develop a plan for number of qualified consumers and cost for this program. The plan will be submitted to DADS by end of Q2.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	1,690.19	2,091.18	2,091.18	123.72 % *	1,605.68 - 1,774.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Community centers are required to obligate 90% of the annual grant allocation by the end of the 2nd quarter. The cost for this program was under estimated.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	1,690.19	1,883.02	1,883.02	111.41 % *	1,605.68 - 1,774.70
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The cost of this program was underestimated.					
<u>1-5-1 ALL-INCLUSIVE CARE - ELDERLY (PACE)</u>					
1 AVG CST: PER RECEIPIENT (PACE)					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	2,334.05	2,341.18	2,341.18	100.31 %	2,217.35 - 2,450.75
<b>Quarter 2</b>	2,334.05	2,345.91	2,343.54	100.41 %	2,217.35 - 2,450.75
<b>Quarter 3</b>	2,334.05	2,347.17	2,344.75	100.46 %	2,217.35 - 2,450.75
<u>1-6-1 NURSING FACILITY PAYMENTS</u>					
3 NET PAYMENT: NURSING HOME					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	2,869.68	2,564.86	2,564.86	89.38 % *	2,726.20 - 3,013.16
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The targeted value assumes a rate increase related to implementing a Quality Assurance Fund (QAF) for nursing facilities (NF). The legislation authorizing an NF QAF was vetoed. Without QAF funding, the revised target would have been \$2268.12. We are at 113.1.% of the target excluding QAF. This variance reflects the fact that we gave an 11.75% rate increase to Medicaid nursing facilities effective January 1, 2006.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	2,869.68	2,510.23	2,537.74	88.43 % *	2,726.20 - 3,013.16
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The targeted value assumes a rate increase related to implementing a Quality Assurance Fund (QAF) for nursing facilities (NF). The legislation authorizing an NF QAF was vetoed. Without QAF funding, the revised target would have been \$2268.12. We are at 111.89.% of the target excluding QAF. This variance reflects the fact that we gave an 11.75% rate increase to Medicaid nursing facilities effective January 1, 2006.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	2,869.68	2,576.99	2,550.72	88.89 % *	2,726.20 - 3,013.16
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The targeted value assumes a rate increase related to implementing a Quality Assurance Fund (QAF) for nursing facilities (NF). The legislation authorizing an NF QAF was vetoed. Without QAF funding, the revised target would have been \$2268.12. We are at 112.46.% of the target excluding QAF. This variance reflects the fact that we gave an 11.75% rate increase to Medicaid nursing facilities effective January 1, 2006.					
<u>1-6-2 MEDICARE SKILLED NURSING FACILITY</u>					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 17 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Efficiency Measures</b>					
1 NET COPAYMENT: NURSING HOME					
Quarter 1	1,701.44	1,645.20	1,645.20	96.69 %	1,616.37 - 1,786.51
Quarter 2	1,701.44	1,657.30	1,651.45	97.06 %	1,616.37 - 1,786.51
Quarter 3	1,701.44	1,709.12	1,672.25	98.28 %	1,616.37 - 1,786.51
1-6-3 HOSPICE					
1 NET PAYMENT: HOSPICE					
Quarter 1	2,443.11	2,436.50	2,436.50	99.73 %	2,320.95 - 2,565.27
Quarter 2	2,443.11	2,390.32	2,413.14	98.77 %	2,320.95 - 2,565.27
Quarter 3	2,443.11	2,449.39	2,425.52	99.28 %	2,320.95 - 2,565.27
1-6-4 PROMOTING INDEPENDENCE SERVICES					
1 AVG COST: PROMOTE INDEPENDENCE					
Quarter 1	1,430.82	1,315.23	1,315.23	91.92 % *	1,359.28 - 1,502.36
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Clients in this program are primarily receiving CBA services. Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the experience for FY 2006 as well as FY 2007 to date shows a slight reduction in costs from FY 2005.					
Quarter 2	1,430.82	1,270.98	1,293.62	90.41 % *	1,359.28 - 1,502.36
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Clients in this program are primarily receiving CBA services. Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the experience for FY 2006 as well as FY 2007 to date shows a slight reduction in costs from FY 2005.					
Quarter 3	1,430.82	1,288.23	1,292.02	90.30 % *	1,359.28 - 1,502.36
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> Clients in this program are primarily receiving CBA services. Historically, CBA has experienced an upward trend in the average cost per client which was primarily the result of increased usage of Personal Assistance Service hours. The average cost per client increased by 5.6% in FY 2003 (over FY 2002) and by 3.8% in FY 2004. For the FY 2006-2007 appropriations, the cost trend for FY 2005 was estimated to be 3.1%, and this trend was assumed to continue through FY 2006-2007. However, the actual increase in FY 2005 was only 1.0%, and the experience for FY 2006 as well as FY 2007 to date shows a slight reduction in costs from FY 2005.					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.

**Actual Performance for Output/Efficiency Measures**  
 79th Regular Session, Performance Reporting  
 Automated Budget and Evaluation System of Texas (ABEST)

DATE: 7/6/2007  
 TIME: 10:13:44AM  
 PAGE: 18 OF 18

Agency code: 539

Agency name: AGING & DISABILITY SERVICES

Type/Strategy/Measure	2007 Target	2007 Actual	2007 YTD	Percent of Annual Target	Target Range
<b>Efficiency Measures</b>					
<u>1-7-1 INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITIES - MR</u>					
1 COST: ICF/MR MEDICAID CONSUMER					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	4,384.91	4,153.43	4,153.43	94.72 % *	4,165.66 - 4,604.16
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> High cost clients are transferred out to HCS.					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	4,384.91	4,106.65	4,129.21	94.17 % *	4,165.66 - 4,604.16
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> High cost clients are transferred out to HCS.					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	4,384.91	4,190.89	4,151.43	94.68 % *	4,165.66 - 4,604.16
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> High cost clients are transferred out to HCS.					
<u>1-8-1 MR STATE SCHOOLS SERVICES</u>					
1 AVG MTHLY CST MR CAMPUS RES					
<b>Quarter 1</b>	6,530.70	7,967.45	7,967.45	122.00 % *	6,204.17 - 6,857.24
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The increase in average monthly cost per MR campus resident is attributable to the Legislative salary increase and increasing cost related to inflationary items (i.e.utilities, drugs, etc).					
<b>Quarter 2</b>	6,530.70	8,109.94	8,111.59	124.21 % *	6,204.17 - 6,857.24
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The increase in average monthly cost per MR campus resident is attributable to the Legislative salary increase and increasing cost related to inflationary items (i.e.utilities, drugs, etc).					
<b>Quarter 3</b>	6,530.70	8,106.65	8,113.24	124.23 % *	6,204.17 - 6,857.24
<u>Explanation of Variance:</u> The increase in average monthly cost per MR campus resident is attributable to the Legislative salary increase and increasing cost related to inflationary items (i.e.utilities, drugs, etc).					

\* Varies by 5% or more from target.