



MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|
| Requestor's Name and Address: US Healthworks Medical Group of Texas 3440 Preston Ridge Rd Building 4 Ste 250 Alpharetta, GA 30005 | MFDR Tracking #: | M4-07-6205-01 |
| | DWC Claim #: | |
| | Injured Employee: | |
| Respondent Name and Box #: Texas Mutual Insurance Company Box #: 54 | Date of Injury: | |
| | Employer Name: | Spitzer Industries Inc |
| | Insurance Carrier #: | 99G0000457409 |

PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION

Requestor's Position Summary, taken from the Table of Disputed Services states in part, "E/M level is coded appropriately."

Principle Documentation:

1. DWC 60 package
2. CMS 1500(s)
3. EOB(s)
4. Office notes

PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION

Respondent's Position Summary: "...The requestor reports using AMA's 1995 E&M Documentation Guidelines as a basis for determining which level of E&M service to code for the disputed date of service. Those same guidelines indicate that for an extended E&M service, i.e. code 99214, an extended review of systems must be documented. The requestor's documentation reflects the examining physician did document a review of the claimant's health history but does not document when the review was done as required by the 1995 E&M Documentation Guidelines. Since the Claimant's health history has not been documented as reviewed, the documentation of this E&M level of service is incomplete..."

Principle Documentation:

1. Response to DWC 60

PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

| Date(s) of Service | Denial Code(s) | CPT Code(s) and Calculations | Part V Reference | Amount Due |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| 7/28/06 | 150, 890, 891, W4 | 99214 | 1-3 | \$00.00 |
| Total Due: | | | | \$00.00 |

PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Section §413.011(a-d) titled, *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division Rule 134.202 titled, *Medical Fee Guideline* effective August 1, 2003, set out the reimbursement guidelines.

1. This dispute relates to CPT code 99214 and Respondent’s denial based upon denial reasons:
 - “150 – Payment adjusted because the payer deems the information submitted does not support this level of service.
 - 890 – This level of service is being disputed as it does not meet the-components as defined in the CPT book.
 - 891 – The insurance company is reducing or denying payment after-reconsideration.
 - W4 – No additional reimbursement allowed after review of appeal/reconsideration.”

2. Per Rule 134.202(b), CPT code 99214 is defined as “Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient, which requires at least two of these three key components: a detailed history; a detailed examination; medical decision making of moderate complexity. Counseling and/or coordination of care with other providers or agencies are provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the patient's and/or family's needs. Usually, the presenting problem(s) are of moderate to high severity. Physicians typically spend 25 minutes face-to-face with the patient and/or family.”

3. Per Rule 133.210, documentation submitted does not support the level of service billed; therefore, reimbursement is not recommended.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES

Texas Labor Code Sec. §413.011(a-d)
28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. §134.1, §134.202, §133.210

PART VII: DIVISION DECISION

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. §413.031, the Division has determined that the Requestor is not entitled to reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

DECISION:

Scott Hansen

6/22/07

Authorized Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

Date

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. §413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision, that is the subject of the appeal, is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.