

## **Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation** Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION					
Requestor's Name and Address:			MFDR Tracking #:	M4-07-5459-01	
TI			DWC Claim #:		
US Healthworks Medical Group of Texas 3440 Preston Ridge Rd Building 4 Ste 250 Alpharetta, GA 30005			Injured Employee:		
Respondent Name and Box #:			Date of Injury:		
Texas Mutual Insurance Company Box #: 54		Employer Name:	INTERNATIONA SERVICES LP	L FLOW	
		Insurance Carrier #:	99G0000469531		
PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION					
The Requestor has not submitted a Position Summary; however, the Requestor's rationale on the Table of Disputed Services states, "E/M level is coded appropriately – See attached documentation."					
Principle Documentation:					
1. DWC 60 package					
2. CMS 1500(s)					
3. $EOB(s)$					
4. Medical notes					
PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION					
Respondent's Position Summary: "The requestor argues its documentation of the disputed date of service substantiates a 99214 E&M level of service. In support of this the requestor references the AMA's 1995 E&M Documentation guidelines. Review of the requestor's disputed document does not reflect that the review of systems (ROS) meets the 1995 documentation standards for a 99214 E&M service. 'An extended ROS inquires about the system directly related to the problem(s) identified in the HPI (history of present illness) and a limited number of additional systems'The same review of the requestor's disputed document medical decision making warranted by a 99214 E&M service." Principle Documentation: 1. Response to DWC 60					
PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS					
Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	CPT Code	(s) and Calculations	Part V Reference	Amount Due
11/27/06	150, 890		99214	1-3	\$00.00
Total Due:	l				\$00.00
PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION					
Section §413.011(a-d) titled, <i>Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines</i> , and Division Rule 134.202 titled, <i>Medical Fee Guideline</i> effective August 1, 2003, set out the reimbursement guidelines.					

- 1. This dispute relates to CPT code 99214 and Respondent's denial based upon denial reasons:
  - "150 Payment adjusted because the payer deems the information submitted does not support this level of service.
  - 890 This level of service is being disputed as it does not meet the components as defined in the CPT book."
- 2. Per Rule 134.202(b), CPT code 99214 is defined as "Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of an established patient, which requires at least two of these three key components: a detailed history; a detailed examination; medical decision making of moderate complexity. Counseling and/or coordination of care with other providers or agencies are provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the patient's and/or family's needs. Usually, the presenting problem(s) are of moderate to high severity. Physicians typically spend 25 minutes face to face with the patient and/or family."
- 3. Per Rule 133.210, documentation submitted does not support the level of service billed; therefore, reimbursement is not recommended.

### PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES

Texas Labor Code Sec. §413.011(a-d) 28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. §133.210, §134.1, §134.202

#### PART VII: DIVISION DECISION

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. §413.031, the Division has determined that the Requestor is not entitled to reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

**DECISION:** 

Authorized Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

06/28/07 Date

### PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. §413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision, that is the subject of the appeal, is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.