



MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor's Name and Address: Geneva Medical Management, Inc. P.O. Box 121589 Arlington, TX 76012	MFDR Tracking #: M4-07-4482-01
	DWC Claim #:
	Injured Employee:
Respondent Name and Box #: American Interstate Insurance Box # 01	Date of Injury:
	Employer Name: Bloch Metals Inc.
	Insurance Carrier #: 200446167TX

PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION

Requestor's Position Summary:

"Billed per medical fee guidelines."

Principle Documentation:

1. DWC 60 package
2. CMS 1500(s)
3. EOB(s)

PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION

Respondent's Position Summary:

"...this bill was processed and reimbursed appropriately."

Principle Documentation:

1. Response to DWC 60

PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Review of the box 32 on CMS-1500, revealed zip code 75701 is located in Smith county.

Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	CPT Code(s) and Calculations	Part V Reference	Amount Due
10-6-06	W1, W4, 42	99456-26 99456-TC	1-8	\$0.00
Total Due:				

PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Section §413.011(a-d) titled, *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division Rule 134.202 titled, *Medical Fee Guideline* effective August 1, 2003, sets out the reimbursement guidelines.

1. These services were denied by the Respondent with reason code "W1 – Workers Compensation State Fee Schedule Adjustment; 42- Charges exceed our fee schedule or maximum allowable amount; W4 – No additional reimbursement allowed after review of appeal/reconsideration.
2. According to Rule 134.202(e)(6)(C)(iii), "An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using the 'Work related or medical disability examination by other than the treating physician....' CPT code. Reimbursement shall be \$350."

3. According to Rule 134.202(e)(6)(D)(iii)(II), "The MAR for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows.
 - a) \$150 for each body area if the Diagnosis Related Estimates (DRE) method found in the AMA Guides 4th Edition is used.
 - b) If full physical evaluation, with range of motion is performed:
 - 1) \$300 for the first musculoskeletal body area; and
 - 2) \$150 for each additional musculoskeletal body area.
4. According to Rule 134.202(e)(6)(D)(iii)(III), "If the examining doctor performs the MMI examination and the IR testing of the musculoskeletal body area(s), the examining doctor shall bill using the appropriate MMI CPT code with the modifier "WP." Reimbursement shall be 100% of the total MAR." The Requestor did not utilize modifier "WP" when billing for the whole procedure as outlined in statute, instead they billed the professional and technical services separately.
5. Advisory 2004-01, issued on March 25, 2004, stated in part that, "Both of the above fees are reimbursed in addition to the \$350 paid for the MMI evaluation."
6. Rule 134.202(e)(6)(D)(iv)(III)(a), states, "The examining doctor (e.g., the referring doctor) shall bill using the appropriate MMI CPT code with modifier 'SP' and indicate one unit in the units column of the billing form. Reimbursement shall be \$50.00 for incorporating one or more specialists report(s) information into the final assignment of IR. This reimbursement shall be allowed only once per examination." The Requestor billed \$50.00 for CPT code 99456-SP for the incorporation of ophthalmologist's report with Requestor's report. This code is not in dispute and will not be considered further.
7. The Requestor is seeking reimbursement for IR-DRE method for left eye. Rule 134.202(e)(6)(D)(iv) states, "Non-musculoskeletal body areas shall be billed and reimbursed using the appropriate CPT code(s) for the test(s) required for the assignment of IR." The Requestor did not bill for any CPT code for the test required for the assignment of IR; therefore, no reimbursement for IR-DRE method for left eye is recommended.
8. On this date, the Requestor billed \$950.00 for 99456-26 and \$950.00 for 99456-TC for a total of \$1900.00. Per Advisory 2004-01, The Requestor performed MMI and IR evaluation. Per Rule 134.202(e)(6)(C)(iii), the Requestor is entitled to reimbursement of \$350.00 for MMI evaluation. In addition, Rule 134.202(e)(6)(D)(iii)(II)(a) allows reimbursement of \$150.00 for IR-DRE method and \$300.00 for IR-ROM method for initial body area. Therefore, the Requestor is entitled to reimbursement of \$800.00 for 99456-TC and 99456-26. The insurance carrier paid \$800.00. The Requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES

Texas Labor Code Sec. §413.011(a-d)
 28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. §134.1, §134.202
 Advisory 2004-01

PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND/OR ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. §413.031, the Division has determined that the Requestor is not entitled to reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

DECISION:

Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA

May 31, 2007

Authorized Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

Date

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. §413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision, that is the subject of the appeal, is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.