

Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION		
Type of Requestor: (x) Health Care Provider () Injured Employee	() Insurance Carrier	
Requestor's Name and Address: Vista Medical Center Hospital 4301 Vista Road	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-B538-01	
	Claim No.:	
Pasadena, Texas 77504	Injured Employee's Name:	
Respondent's Name and Address: Transcontinental Insurance Company c/o Stone Loughlin & Swanson, LLP P O Box 30111	Date of Injury:	
	Employer's Name: Clearwater Utilities, Inc.	
	Insurance Carrier's No.:	
Austin, Texas 78755		
Box 06	35514797	

PART II: REQUESTOR'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor submitted operative report and discharge summary. No position statement noted in the case file.

PART III: RESPONDENT'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

Carrier position statement indicates: "Reimbursement in this case should be pursuant to the standard per diem method. The stop-loss method for outlier case does not apply as the services provided to the claimant were not unusually extensive or costly."

PART IV: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Part V Reference	Additional Amount Due (if any)
10/26/04-10/29/04	Surgical Admission	I	\$0.00

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

I. This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the information provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The provider submitted an operative report indicating that a laminotomy at L5-S1 bilaterally and bilateral partial foraminotomies at L5-S1 was performed, the patient was taken to the recovery room satisfactory condition and no complications were noted. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem methodology described in the same rule.

The carrier made reimbursement for the 3-day stay in the amount of \$3,404.00 per the Table of Disputed Services.

Therefore, reimbursement based on per diem is \$3,354.00(3 x \$1,118.00). The carrier reimbursed the provider in the per diem amount of \$3,404.00 per the submitted EOB, leaving no additional reimbursement recommended.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES IMPACTING DECISION				
28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 134.	401 (c)(6).			
PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND ORD	ER			
Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement. Ordered by:				
ordered by:	Michael Bucklin	12/20/05		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order		

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.