

# Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

### MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (x) Health Care Provider ( ) Injured Employee	( ) Insurance Carrier
Requestor's Name and Address:	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-B515-01
Vista Medical Center Hospital	Claim No.:
4301 Vista Road	
Pasadena, Texas 77504	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address:	Date of Injury:
Travelers Casualty & Surety Company	Employer's Name:
1501 S MOAPC Expy, Suite A-320	Reliant Management Services Corporation
Capitol Station	Insurance Carrier's No.:
Austin, Texas 78746	450 CD D 0505 40
Box 05	478CBB8T9748

### PART II: REQUESTOR'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor submitted an operative report, discharge summary and invoices. No position statement noted in the case file.

# PART III: RESPONDENT'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

No response noted in the case file.

### PART IV: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Part V Reference	Additional Amount Due (if any)
11/01/04-11/06/04	Surgical Admission	I	\$0.00

### PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

I. This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the information provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The provider submitted an operative report indicating a debridement of postoperative wound infection was performed, and was taken to recovery room in good condition and no complications were noted. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus methodology described in the same rule.

The carrier made reimbursement for the 5-day stay in the amount of \$5,590.00 per the Table of Disputed Services.

Therefore, reimbursement based on per diem is \$5,590.00(5 x \$1,118.00). Per diem amount is \$5,590.00, leaving no additional reimbursement recommended.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES	KREFERENCES IMPACTING DECISION		
28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 134.4	401 (c)(6).		
PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND ORD	ER		
Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor <b>is not</b> entitled to additional reimbursement.			
Ordered by:	Michael Bucklin	01/10/06	
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order	

# PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.