

# Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (x) Health Care Provider () Injured Employee	() Insurance Carrier
Requestor's Name and Address: Vista Medical Center Hospital 4301 Vista Pasadena, Texas 77504	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-B243-01
	Claim No.:
	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address: Twin City Fire Insurance Company 9020 II Capital of Texas Highway, Suite 555 Austin, Texas 78759 Box 27	Date of Injury:
	Employer's Name: E & O Holdings, Inc.
	Insurance Carrier's No.: YHZC 20733

# PART II: REQUESTOR'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor submitted operative report, discharge summary and position statement. "In addition, the treatment rendered was reasonable and necessary in accordance with the usual and customary standards of the medical community for the treatment of the compensable work-related injury." The Requestor is seeking an additional reimbursement in the amount of \$34,374.46.

# PART III: RESPONDENT'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

No position statement was found in the case file.

# PART IV: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS Date(s) of Service Part V Additional Amount Due (if any) 09/20/04-09/21/04 Surgical Admission I I 09/20/04-09/21/04 Surgical Admission I I 0 I I I I 0 I I I I 0 I I I I 0 I I I I 0 I I I I 0 I I I I

# PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the information provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The provider submitted an operative report indicating that a left laminectomy, foraminotomy and posterior decompression were performed and no complications were noted. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem methodology described in the same rule.

Requestor billed \$47,323.28 for the 2 day-stay.

The carrier made reimbursement for the 1-day stay in the amount of \$1,118.00.

Therefore, reimbursement based on per diem is \$1,118.00(1 x \$1,118.00), leaving no additional reimbursement recommended.

Therefore, based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

# PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES IMPACTING DECISION

28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 134.401 (c)(6).

# PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

Ordered by:

	Michael Bucklin	09/20/05	
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order	
PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW			
County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 41	ecisions and orders are procedurally made dire 3.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 20 date on which the decision that is the subject of o the appeal.	05]. An appeal to District Court must	
Si prefiere hablar con una persona en	español acerca de ésta correspondencia, fa	vor de llamar a 512-804-4812.	