

Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION		
Type of Requestor: (x) Health Care Provider () Injured Employee	() Insurance Carrier	
Requestor's Name and Address: Vista Medical Center Hospital	MDR Tracking No.:	M4-05-B061-01
4301 Vista	Claim No.:	
Pasadena, Texas 77504	Injured Employee's Name:	
Respondent's Name and Address: St Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Company	Date of Injury:	
1501 South Mo Pac, Suite A-320 Austin, Texas 78759 Box 05	Employer's Name:	NCI Building Systems, Inc.
	Insurance Carrier's No.:	002331000158WC01

PART II: REQUESTOR'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor submitted operative report, discharge summary, invoice and a position statement. The requestor indicates in their position statement that, "As discussed in this decision, there is no evidence or denials presented by the Carrier that the prices billed were not Provider's usual and customary charges (which the Hospital must bill under Commission rules), that the price markup was not consistent with the geographical or other hospital billing practices, or that the final price was not fair and reasonable."

PART III: RESPONDENT'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

No position statement was found in the dispute packet.

PART IV: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Part V Reference	Additional Amount Due (if any)	
08/31/04-09/02/04	Surgical Admission	Ι	\$0.00	
PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION				

28 This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401©(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the information provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The provider submitted an operative report indicating that a posterior lumbar fusion L5-S1 was performed, the patient was taken to the recovery room in good condition and no complications were noted. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The carrier made reimbursement for the 2-day stay in the amount of \$4,472.00 per the Table of Disputed Services.

The requestor billed \$2,840.00 for the implantables.

The requestor submitted an invoice indicating the cost for the implantables were \$1,086.00.

Therefore, reimbursement based on per diem is \$2.236.00(2 x \$1.118.00) and reimbursement for the implantables at cost plus ten percent

is 1,194.60 ($1,086.00 \times 110\%$). Per diem for the 2-day stay is $2,236.00(2 \times 1,118.000) + 1,194.60$ for the implantables = 3,430.60, leaving no additional reimbursement recommended.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401©, we find that the health care provider is entitled to additional reimbursement.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES IMPACTING DECISION

28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 134.401 ©(6).

PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND ORDER

B Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor **is not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Ordered by:

Michael Bucklin

11/21/05

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.