MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? (X) Yes () No
Requestor Surgical and Diagnostic Center, LP	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-9637-01
729 Bedford Euless Road West, Ste. 100	TWCC No.:
Hurst, TX 76053	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent American Home Assurance Co.	Date of Injury:
Rep. Box # 19	Employer's Name: AMR Corp.
	Insurance Carrier's No.: YBUC 79520

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates	of Service	- CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	er r code(s) or bescription	7 mount in Dispute	Amount Duc
7-21-04	7-21-04	85025, 80053, 36415, 93005, 93010 knee arthroscopy billed with procedure code 80.6	\$4242.08	\$1860.50
		Insurance carrier's payment (subtracted)		<\$1118.00>
		Additional Amount Recommended		\$742.50

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Our charges are fair and reasonable based on another insurance companies determination of fair and reasonable payments of 85 - 100% of our billed charges. Workers' Compensation carriers are subject to a duty of good faith and fair dealing in the process of worker's compensation claims.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

The billing in dispute has been paid at a fair and reasonable rate in accordance with criteria for payment under the ACT.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

On 7-21-04, claimant underwent knee arthroscopy for complete tear of ACL

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it appears that neither party has provided convincing documentation that sufficiently discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that their purported amount is a fair and reasonable reimbursement (Rule 133.307). After reviewing the services, the charges, and both parties' positions, it is clearly evident that some other amount represents the fair and reasonable reimbursement.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these

services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 213.3% to 290% of Medicare for 2004). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review and considering the similarity of the various procedures involved in this surgery, staff selected a reimbursement amount in the high end of the Ingenix range. In addition, the reimbursement for the secondary procedures were reduced by 50% consistent with standard reimbursement approaches. The total amount was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the recommended amount, discussed the facts of the individual case, and selected the appropriate "fair and reasonable" amount to be ordered in the final decision.

	Review, we find that the fair and reasonable reim aid a total of \$1118.00 for these services, the head.	
Based upon the review of the disputed hadditional reimbursement in the amount	healthcare services, the Medical Review Division of \$742.50. The Division hereby ORDERS the ent to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of	insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all
	Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA	August 16, 2005
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUES	ST A HEARING	
Either party to this medical dispute n	nay disagree with all or part of the Decision a	and has a right to request a hearing. A request
for a hearing must be in writing and (twenty) days of your receipt of this care provider and placed in the Austidays after it was mailed and the first Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 7876). The party appealing the Division's linvolved in the dispute.	tit must be received by the TWCC Chief C decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 14 n Representatives box on working day after the date the Decision was d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to 44 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of the	lerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 48.3). This Decision was mailed to the health This Decision is deemed received by you five placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 b): Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, his Decision should be attached to the request. In request for a hearing to the opposing party
for a hearing must be in writing and (twenty) days of your receipt of this care provider and placed in the Austidays after it was mailed and the first Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 7876). The party appealing the Division's linvolved in the dispute.	I it must be received by the TWCC Chief C decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 14 n Representatives box on working day after the date the Decision was d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to 44 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of the Decision shall deliver a copy of their writte a in español acerca de ésta correspondence.	lerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 48.3). This Decision was mailed to the health This Decision is deemed received by you five placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 b): Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, his Decision should be attached to the request. In request for a hearing to the opposing party