



## MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (x) Health Care Provider ( ) Injured Employee ( ) Insurance Carrier	
Requestor's Name and Address: Surgical and Diagnostic Center, LP 729 Bedford Eules Road West, Suite 100 Hurst, Texas 76053	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-9604-01
	Claim No.:
	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address: Bankers Standard Insurance Company C/o Ace USA/ESIS Box 15	Date of Injury:
	Employer's Name: Amerco
	Insurance Carrier's No.: C135C5917197

### PART II: REQUESTOR'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

"Surgical and Diagnostic Center contends that the fee paid was not fair and reasonable because it is below the amount the majority of other insurance carriers are reimbursing and does not take into account all of the supplies and medications to treat this patient, the amount of time spent in the procedure room/operating room, and other costs. The fee paid does not ensure the quality of medical care because we were not adequately reimbursed for the combination of items that was used for this patient. The fee paid does not ensure effective medical cost control because it does not properly compensate for items specifically needed by and provided to the patient."

- Principle Documentation Reviewed:
1. Operative Report
  2. Anesthesia Report
  3. UB-92
  4. EOBs

### PART III: RESPONDENT'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

"The billing in dispute has been paid at a fair and reasonable rate in accordance with TWCC guidelines, policies and rules, and the Texas Labor Code."

- Principle Documentation Reviewed: 1. Position Statement

### PART IV: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Part V Reference	Additional Amount Due (if any)
07/13/04	Ambulatory Surgical Center Care	1	\$0.00

### PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

**1.** This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it appears that neither the requestor nor the respondent provided convincing documentation that sufficiently discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that their purported amount is a fair and reasonable reimbursement (Rule 133.307). The failure to provide persuasive information that supports their proposed amounts makes rendering a decision difficult. After reviewing the services, the charges, and both parties' positions, it is determined that no other payment is due.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the services in dispute.

To determine the amount due for this particular dispute, staff compared the procedures in this case to the amounts that would be within the reimbursement range recommended by the Ingenix study (from 213.3% to 290% of Medicare for this particular year). Staff considered the other information submitted by the parties and the issues related to the specific procedures performed in this dispute. Based on this review, the original reimbursement on these services is within the low end of the Ingenix range. According to NCCI edits procedure code 81.47 is a component of procedure code 80.86 unless listed with a modifier. In this case, the modifier was not used so that procedure is not separately payable. According to the CMS ASC guidelines lab fees and diagnostic or therapeutic items or services are included in the facility fees and not payable. The decision for no additional reimbursement was then presented to a staff team with health care provider billing and insurance adjusting experience. This team considered the decision and discussed the facts of the individual case.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable procedures, and the consensus of other experienced staff members in Medical Review, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

**PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES IMPACTING DECISION**

28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 134.1  
28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 133.307  
28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 134.202(e)(3)

**PART VII: DIVISION DECISION**

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is **not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Findings & Decision by:

September 1, 2005

\_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Typed Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date of Decision

**PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW**

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**