# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERA	<b>L INFORMATION</b>					
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP ( ) IE ( ) IC			<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> (x) Yes () No			
Requestor's Name and Address Advanced Practice, Inc. on behalf of Baptist Medical Center			MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-9560-01			
1701 Preston Road, Suite 180-S			TWCC No.:			
Dallas, Texas 75248			Injured Employee's Name:			
Respondent's Name and Address			Date of Injury:			
Pennsylvania General Insurance Company P O Box 66655			Employer's Name: Ranger Excavating, Inc.			
Austin, Texas 78766-6655			Insurance Carrier's No.:			
Box 29			0EX05272W			
PART II: SUMMA	<b>RY OF DISPUTE AND</b>	FINDINGS				
Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or	ode(s) or Description Amount in Dispute Amount Due		Amount Due	
From	То			Amount in Dispute	Amount Due	
08/20/04	08/23/04	Surgical Admission		\$27,363.43	\$0.00	
PART III: REQUE	ESTOR'S POSITION SU	UMMARY				
No position statemer	nt found in the case file.					
PART IV: RESPO	NDENT'S POSITION S	SUMMARY				
		nal payment is due for the c listed on the TWCC 62/EC		the basis of this dispute. All ch	arges were paid in the correct	
PART V: MEDICA	AL DISPUTE RESOLU	TION REVIEW SUMMA	ARY, METHODO	LOGY, AND/OR EXPLANA	TION	
(Acute Care Inpati- contained in that ru explanation that fo	ent Hospital Fee Guide ale. Rule 134.401(c)(6 llows this paragraph in	eline). The hospital has ) establishes that the sto	requested addition p-loss method is to letermine if "unus	ement subject to the provision nal reimbursement according o be used for "unusually cost ually costly services" were p extensive services."	g to the stop-loss method stly services." The	
services." The req operating room for	uestor submitted an op recovery without unto	erative report indicating ward reaction. No comp	a posterior fusion plications were not	is particular admission invol n L-S1 was performed. The p ted in the operative report. A arve-out methodology descr	patient left in the accordingly, the stop-loss	
The carrier made r	eimbursement for the 3	3-day stay in the amount	of \$22,810.07.			
The requestor bille	ed \$39,582.90 for the in	nplantables.				
The requestor subr	nitted invoices indicati	ng the cost for the impla	antables were \$8,8	87.00.		

Therefore, reimbursement based on per diem is  $3,354.00(3 \times 1,118.00)$  and reimbursement for the implantables at cost plus ten percent is 9,775.70 ( $8,887.00 \times 110\%$ ). Per diem for the 3-day stay is 3,354.00 + 9,775.70 for the implantables = 13,129.70 total reimbursement, leaving no additional reimbursement recommended.

Therefore, based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find

that the health care provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

#### PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor **is not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Ordered by:

Michael Bucklin

08/09/05

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

## PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. Those who wish to appeal decisions that were issued during the month of August 2005, should be aware of changes to the appeals process which take effect September 1, 2005.

House Bill 7, recently enacted by the 79th Texas Legislature, provides that an appeal of a medical dispute resolution order that is not pending for a hearing at the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) on or before August 31, 2005 is not entitled to a SOAH hearing. This means that the usual 20-day window to appeal to SOAH, found in Commission Rule 148.3, will be shortened for some parties during this transition phase. If you wish to seek an appeal of this medical dispute resolution order to SOAH, you are encouraged to have your request for a hearing to the Commission as early as possible to allow sufficient time for the Commission to submit your request to SOAH for docketing. A request for a SOAH hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to 512-804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

Beginning September 1, 2005, appeals of medical dispute resolution orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005). An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable.

#### Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

## PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_