



## MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (x) Health Care Provider ( ) Injured Employee ( ) Insurance Carrier	
Requestor's Name and Address: Vista Hospital of Dallas 4301 Vista Pasadena, Texas 77504	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-8942-01
	Claim No.:
	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address: Sentry Insurance a Mutual Company P O Box 13367 Austin, Texas 78711-3367 Box 19	Date of Injury:
	Employer's Name: Big Lots Stores, Inc.
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 51C228338

### PART II: REQUESTOR'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor submitted operative report, discharge summary and a position statement. The requestor indicates in their position statement that, "As discussed in this decision, there is no evidence or denials presented by the Carrier that the prices billed were not Provider's usual and customary charges (which the Hospital must bill under Commission's rules), that the price markup was not consistent with the geographical or other hospital billing practices, or that the final price was not fair and reasonable."

### PART III: RESPONDENT'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

Carrier indicates in their position statement; "Commission Rule 134.401(b)(2)(A) requires a hospital to bill its usual and customary charges for the services provided. The Requestor failed to supply documentation supporting that its charges were, in fact, its usual and customary amount. Also, as the Requestor has failed to document exactly how or why the services it provided were unusually extensive or costly, it is due no further reimbursement."

### PART IV: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Part V Reference	Additional Amount Due
07/07/04-07/11/04	Surgical Admission		\$34,208.55

### PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the information provided by the provider, it **does** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The provider submitted an operative report indicating that a posterior and anterior fusion at L5-S1 was performed; no complications were noted. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the methodology described in the same rule.

Using the stop-loss methodology the total allowable WCRA is \$156,741.40.

The carrier has reimbursed the provider \$83,347.50.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to an additional reimbursement for these services equal to \$32,208.55 (total allowable WCRA \$156,741.40 x 75% = \$117,556.05 - \$83,347.50 already paid = \$34,208.55).

Therefore, based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to additional reimbursement.

**PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES IMPACTING DECISION**

28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 134.401 (c)(6).

**PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor **is** entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$34,208.55. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 30-days of receipt of this Order.

Ordered by:

Allen McDonald

01/03/06

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

**PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW**

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**