MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION					
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC			Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No		
Requestor's Name and Address Vista Medical Center Hospital			MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-8462-01		
4301 Vista Road			TWCC No.:		
Pasadena, Texas 77503			Injured Employee's Name:		
Respondent's Name and Address Association Casualty Insurance Company			Date of Injury:		
P O Box 162443			Employer's Name: Southwest Fleet Services, Inc.		
Westlake Station			Insurance Carrier's No.:		
Austin, Texas 78716 Box 42			038702		
PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS					
Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description		Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То				
07/01/04	07/02/04	Surgical Admission		\$30,610.25	\$0.00

"In this instance, the audited charges that remained after the last bill review by the insurance carrier were \$48,465.00. The prior amounts paid by the carrier were \$5,738.50. Therefore, the Carrier is required to reimburse the remainder of the Workers' Compensation Reimbursement Amount of \$30,610.25, plus

interest."

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

No response was found in the case file.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the information provided by the provider, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The provider submitted an operative report indicating that an anterior C5-6 fusion was performed, was taken to the recovery room in good condition and no complications were noted. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The carrier made reimbursement for the 1-day stay in the amount of \$5,738.50.

The requestor billed \$10,880.00 for the implantables.

The requestor submitted an invoice indicating the cost for the implantables were \$2,195.00.

Therefore, reimbursement based on per diem is $1,118.00(1 \times 1,118.00)$ and reimbursement for the implantables at cost plus ten percent is 2.414.50 ($2.195.00 \times 110\%$). Per diem for the 1-day stay is 1,118.00 + 2,414.50 for the implantables = 3.532.50, leaving no

additional reimbursement recommended.

Therefore, based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor **is not** entitled to additional reimbursement. Ordered by:

Michael Bucklin

08/23/05

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. Those who wish to appeal decisions that were issued during the month of August 2005, should be aware of changes to the appeals process which take effect September 1, 2005.

House Bill 7, recently enacted by the 79th Texas Legislature, provides that an appeal of a medical dispute resolution order that is not pending for a hearing at the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) on or before August 31, 2005 is not entitled to a SOAH hearing. This means that the usual 20-day window to appeal to SOAH, found in Commission Rule 148.3, will be shortened for some parties during this transition phase. If you wish to seek an appeal of this medical dispute resolution order to SOAH, you are encouraged to have your request for a hearing to the Commission as early as possible to allow sufficient time for the Commission to submit your request to SOAH for docketing. A request for a SOAH hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to 512-804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

Beginning September 1, 2005, appeals of medical dispute resolution orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005). An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier:

Date: