AMENDED MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION **Type of Requestor:** (x) HCP () IE () IC **Response Timely Filed?** (x) Yes () No MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-8446-01 Requestor's Name and Address. (Previously M4-04-B140-01) Vista Medical Center Hospital TWCC No.: 4301 Vista Rd. Pasadena, TX 77504 Injured Employee's Name: Date of Injury: Respondent's Name and Address Lumbermens Mutual Casualty Co. Box 42 Employer's Name: National Oilwell, Inc. P.O. Box 162443, Westlake Station Austin, TX 78716 Insurance Carrier's No.: 900000144

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		- CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	CIT Code(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
01/06/04	01/06/04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$30,339.18	\$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

TWCC Rule 134.401 provides the rules regarding reimbursement for Acute Care In-patient Hospital Fee services. Specifically, reimbursement consists of 75% of remaining charges for the entire admission, after a Carrier audits a bill. See Tex. Admin. Code Section 133.401 (c). This figure is presumptively considered to be "fair and reasonable" in accordance with the preamble of TWCC Rule 134. See 22 TexReg 6265. Further, the TWCC stated that the stoploss threshold increases hospital reimbursement and will ensure fair and reasonable rates for hospitals and ensure access to quality health care for injured workers. See id. At 6279.

The Carrier is allowed to deduct any personal items and may only deduct non-documented services and items and services, which are not related to the compensable injury. At that time, if the total audited charges for the entire admission are below \$40,000, the Carrier may reimburse at a "per diem" rate for the hospital services. However, if the total audited charges for the entire admission are at or above \$40,000, the Carrier shall reimburse using the "Stop-Loss Reimbursement Factor" (SLRF). The SLRF of 75% is applied to the "entire admission."

According to the literal interpretation of the TWCC Rule 134.401 and the further clarification by the TWCC from QRL 01-03, a Carrier may not "deduct" any carve-out costs listed in Rule 134.401 (c) (4). Further, additional reimbursement for implants or any other "carve-out costs" shall only be reimbursed at cost plus 10% if the stop-loss threshold is NOT met. Therefore, in this instance, the Carrier has severely under-reimbursed the billed charges, despite the clear language in the Texas Administrative Code and further clarification provided by the TWCC in QRL 01-03.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

It is the Carrier's position they have correctly reimbursed the provider using the per diem methodology and no additional reimbursement should be made.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This Amended Findings and Decision supersedes all previous Decisions rendered in this Medical Payment Dispute involving the above Requestor and Respondent. The Medical Review Division's Decision of 04/27/05 was appealed and subsequently withdrawn by the Medical Review Division applicable to a Notice of Withdrawal of 05/26/05. An Order was rendered in favor of the Requestor. The Respondent appealed the Order to an Administrative Hearing as the Respondent did not agree with the disposition of this dispute that resulted in the withdrawal of the Findings and Decision of M4-04-B140-01.

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-

out methodology described in the same rule. The total length of stay for this admission was 2 days (consisting of 2 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$2,236.00 (2 times \$1,118.00). However, the response from the carrier indicates only one inpatient day was preauthorized. The preauthorization numbers within that response and the one listed by the hospital on the UB-92 match. The carrier has already reimbursed for one per diem. Therefore, no additional reimbursement can be recommended. PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is **not** entitled to additional reimbursement. Amended Decision by: Marguerite Foster May 26, 2005 Authorized Signature Typed Name Date of Order PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on ____ _____. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28) Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812. PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box. Signature of Insurance Carrier: