AMENDED MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAI	L INFORMATION					
Type of Requestor:	(x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely	Filed? () Yes (x) No		
Requestor's Name and Address Vista Medical Center			MDR Tracking No.:	111 00 09 11 01	M4-05-6941-01 Previously M4-03-3069-01	
4301 Vista Road Pasadena, Texas 77503			TWCC No.:			
			Injured Employee's Name:			
Respondent's Name and Address Travelers Indemnity Company of Connecticut 1501 S MoPac Expy, Suite A-320			Date of Injury:			
			Employer's Name: Control Air, Inc.			
Capitol Station			Insurance Carrier's No.:			
Austin, Texas 78746 Box 05			512CBD4X6428			
PART II: SUMMAR	RY OF DISPUTE AND	FINDINGS				
Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description		Amount in Dispute	Amount Due	
From	То			initiant in Dispute		
03/01/02	03/11/02	Surgical Adı	nission	\$43,322.31	\$0.00	
PART III: REQUES	STOR'S POSITION SU	JMMARY				

carve-out costs listed in Rule 134.401(c)(4). Further, additional reimbursement for implants or any other 'carve-out costs' shall only be reimbursed at cost plus 10% if the stop-loss threshold is NOT met. Therefore, in this instance, the Carrier has severely under-reimbursed the billed charges, despite the clear language in the Texas Administrative Codes and further clarification by the TWCC in QRL 01-03."

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Carrier's response was untimely.

PART V: AMENDED MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

The Medical Review Division's Findings and Decision of September 27, 2004, was issued in error and subsequently withdrawn by the Medical Review Division. The Original Findings and Decision, Appeal Letter and Withdrawal Notice are reflected in Exhibit 1. This Amended Findings and Decision supercedes all previous decisions rendered in this matter.

The Medical Review Division rendered a Findings and Decision involving a Medical payment dispute. A decision was issued in favor of the Requestor.

The Findings and Decision incorrectly recommended reimbursement per unusually extensive services issues raised by the Respondent, resulting in the issuance of this Notice of Withdrawal.

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the information provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem and carve out methodology described in the same rule. The requestor did not submit an operative report to determine unusually extensive services. Therefore, MDR cannot determine the services were unusually extensive and additional reimbursement is not recommended.

The carrier made reimbursement for the 10-day stay in the amount of \$82,799.70.

Therefore, based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

PART VI: AMENDED COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor **is not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Ordered by:

	Michael Bucklin	07/19/05
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Amended Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on ______. This Amended Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Amended Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787 Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Amended Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Amended Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Amended Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier:

Date: _____