AMENDED MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No
Requestor's Name and Address	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-6940-01
Vista Medical Center	Previously M4-03-2995-01
4301 Vista Road	TWCC No.:
Pasadena, Texas 77503	
	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address	Date of Injury:
Zurich American Insurance Company	T. I. A.M.
P O Box 13367	Employer's Name: MYR Group, Inc.
Austin, Texas 78711-3367	Insurance Carrier's No.:
Box 19	2620002062

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of	of Service	- CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	Cr r Couc(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	
03/28/02	03/31/02	Surgical Admission	\$50,976.50	\$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"According to the literal interpretation of TWCC Rule 134.401 and the further clarification by the TWCC from QRL 01-03, a Carrier may not 'deduct' any carve-out costs listed in Rule 134.401(c)(4). Further, additional reimbursement for implants or any other 'carve-out costs' shall only be reimbursed at cost plus 10% if the stop-loss threshold is NOT met. Therefore, in this instance, the Carrier has severely under-reimbursed the billed charges, despite the clear language in the Texas Administrative Codes and further clarification by the TWCC in QRL 01-03."

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Carrier's response was untimely.

PART V: AMENDED MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

The Medical Review Division's Findings and Decision of September 27, 2004, was issued in error and subsequently withdrawn by the Medical Review Division. The Original Findings and Decision, Appeal Letter and Withdrawal Notice are reflected in Exhibit 1. This Amended Findings and Decision supercedes all previous decisions rendered in this matter.

The Medical Review Division rendered a Findings and Decision involving a Medical payment dispute. A decision was issued in favor of the Requestor.

The Findings and Decision incorrectly recommended reimbursement per unusually extensive services issues raised by the Respondent, resulting in the issuance of this Notice of Withdrawal.

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the information provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem and carve out

		_		
methodology described in the same rule. The requestor submitted an operative report indicating that an anterior fusion from posterior approach was performed L5-S1. There were no complications noted in the operative report and the patient was transferred to the recovery room in good condition.				
The carrier made reimbursement for the 4-day stay in the amount of \$45,288.28.				
The provider did not submit any invoices indicating the amount billed for the implantables. Therefore, MDR cannot determine the cost of the implantables and no additional reimbursement is recommended.				
Therefore, based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement.				
PART VI: AMENDED COMMISSION DECISI	ON			
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement. Ordered by:				
	Michael Bucklin	07/19/05		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order		
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING				
for a hearing must be in writing and it must (twenty) days of your receipt of this decisis the health care provider and placed in the Areceived by you five days after it was mail Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Ad of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box Decision should be attached to the request The party appealing the Division's Amend party involved in the dispute.	ed Decision shall deliver a copy of their wri	of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20). This Amended Decision was mailed to This Amended Decision is deemed the Amended Decision was placed in the or a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk 12) 804-4011. A copy of this Amended itten request for a hearing to the opposing		
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Amended Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.				
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:		