MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION AMENDED FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? (X) Yes () No
Requestor	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-6906-01
Vista Medical Center Hospital 4301 Vista Rd.	TWCC No.:
Pasadena, TX 77504	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's	Date of Injury:
Insurance Co. of the State of PA Rep. Box # 19	Employer's Name: Hillhaven Corp.
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 039CBD414962N

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates	of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	CIT Code(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
1-12-04	1-19-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$28,588.67	\$9,616.95

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Carrier has not provided proper payment exception code in this instance, which is in violation of the Texas Administrative Code. M – code improperly used to designate stop loss reimbursement per Acute In-Patient Stop Loss Fee Guideline.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Vista is not entitled to additional reimbursement in this case. Vista was properly reimbursed under the per diem reimbursement methodology because this does not qualify as a "stop loss" case.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This AMENDED FINDINGS AND DECISION supersedes M4-05-1104-01 rendered in this Medical Payment Dispute involving the above requestor and respondent.

The Medical Review Division's Decision of 3-15-05 was appealed and subsequently withdrawn by the Medical Review Division applicable to a Notice of Withdrawal of 4-6-05. The original decision and order erroneously stated that amount paid was \$3,336.30 for inpatient hospitalization instead of for implantables.

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The carrier recognized the hospitalization to be unusually extensive services by virtue of 75% reimbursement for those charges. This review is limited to the items listed on the TWCC-60 Table. Review of the TWCC60 Table reveals that only the charges for implantables and lab services (\$42,566.62) are in dispute. There are three UB92s totaling (\$93,292.43). Page 3 of 3 appears to be duplicate billing for services already billed on page 1 of 3. The EOB reflects 75% payment already was made for lab services; therefore, additional payment is not due.

The invoices provided were compared and matched up to the itemized statement:

Jen-Sci invoice \$2130.00

Osteotech, Inc. invoice \$1395.50

Centerpulse invoice \$3440.00				
Centerpulse invoice \$3440.00 Nuvasive invoice \$1670.00 (invoice shows 5 items were purchased but the itemization shows only one was used.				
TOTAL of Invoices = \$8,635.50				
Due to inconsistent patterns of inflated implantables charges in MDR stop loss disputes; the Commission has determined, by experience, an average usual and customary allowance of 200% of total invoice costs as a proper audit adjustment before applying the SLRF when determining proper reimbursement in a stop loss case.				
In this case, $\$8,635.50 \times 200\% = \$17,271.00$ total usual and customary for implantables. This amount $\times 75\% = \$12,953.25$.				
The insurance carrier paid $\$3,336.30$ for the inpatient hospitalization. The difference between amount paid of $\$3336.30$ and amount due of $\$12,953.25 = \$9,616.95$.				
Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$9,616.95.				
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND C	DRDER			
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$9,616.95. The Division hereby ORDERS the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order. Decision by:				
	Elizabeth Pickle	May 10, 2005		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order		
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A	HEARING			
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Amended Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Amended Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Amended Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.				
A request for a hearing must be in writing within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of mailed to the health care provider and placed deemed received by you five days after it Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Action 1985).	ng and it must be received by the TV of this decision (28 Texas Administrated in the Austin Representatives box it was mailed and the first working didministrative Code § 102.5(d)). A red	VCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk ive Code § 148.3). This Amended Decision was on This Amended Decision is ay after the date the Decision was placed in the quest for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk		
A request for a hearing must be in writin within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of mailed to the health care provider and placedeemed received by you five days after it Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Acof Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box should be attached to the request.	ng and it must be received by the TV of this decision (28 Texas Administratived in the Austin Representatives box it was mailed and the first working didministrative Code § 102.5(d)). A received and the first working the difference of the second s	VCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk ive Code § 148.3). This Amended Decision was on This Amended Decision is ay after the date the Decision was placed in the quest for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk		
A request for a hearing must be in writing within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of mailed to the health care provider and placed deemed received by you five days after it Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Act of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Amen.	ng and it must be received by the TV of this decision (28 Texas Administrated in the Austin Representatives box it was mailed and the first working didministrative Code § 102.5(d)). A received a series of the Austin, Texas, 78744 or fax ded Decision shall deliver a copy of the series of the Austin and the series of the series of the Austin and the series of the serie	VCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk ive Code § 148.3). This Amended Decision was on This Amended Decision is lay after the date the Decision was placed in the quest for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk led to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision their written request for a hearing to the opposing		
A request for a hearing must be in writin within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of mailed to the health care provider and placed deemed received by you five days after it Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Acof Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Amen party involved in the dispute.	ng and it must be received by the TV of this decision (28 Texas Administrated in the Austin Representatives box it was mailed and the first working didministrative Code § 102.5(d)). A reconstruction of the Austin, Texas, 78744 or fax ded Decision shall deliver a copy of the spañol acerca de ésta correspondents.	VCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk ive Code § 148.3). This Amended Decision was on This Amended Decision is lay after the date the Decision was placed in the quest for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk led to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision their written request for a hearing to the opposing		
A request for a hearing must be in writin within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of mailed to the health care provider and placed deemed received by you five days after it Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Ac of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Ameniparty involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in 6	ng and it must be received by the TV of this decision (28 Texas Administrative din the Austin Representatives box it was mailed and the first working didministrative Code § 102.5(d)). A recomplete the Austin, Texas, 78744 or fax ded Decision shall deliver a copy of the ERY CERTIFICATION	WCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk ive Code § 148.3). This Amended Decision was on This Amended Decision is lay after the date the Decision was placed in the quest for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk led to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision their written request for a hearing to the opposing tencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.		