MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION				
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? (x) Yes () No			
Requestor's Name and Address Twelve Oaks Medical Center	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-6680-01			
C/o Hollaway & Gumbert 3701 Kirby Drive, Suite 1288	TWCC No.:			
Houston, TX 77098-3926	Injured Employee's Name:			
Respondent's Name and Address North American Specialty Ins./Rep. Box #: 22	Date of Injury:			
C/o Anchor Claims Management P.O. Box 819045	Employer's Name: Angleton Danbury Medical Center			
Dallas, TX 75381	Insurance Carrier's No.: TX01015488001			

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due	
From	То	Ci i Couc(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc	
4-19-04	4-23-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$16,063.70	\$13,491.74	

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

A position statement was not submitted. The Requestor's rational listed on the Table of Disputed Services states, "IC failed to pay per TWCC Rule 134.401 Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline and SOAH decision 453-04-3600.M4. Per TWCC Rule 134.401(c)(6) and SOAH decision 453-04-3600.M4, claim pays @ 75% of total charges as charges exceed \$40,000.00 stop-loss threshold. IC further failed to audit according to TWCC Rule 134.401(c)(6)(A)(v). Further, services were unusually extensive based on 12 surgical operations related to IE's spinal surgery."

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position summary of May 5, 2005 states, "... This is a medical fee dispute arising from an inpatient hospital surgical admission. The medical bill indicates that the dates of service are 4/19/04 through 4/23/04 when in fact they are 4/19/04 through 4/22/04. It appears that the patient was doing so well that she discharged herself the evening of 4/22/04. Therefore, the hospital stay was for 3 days... The requestor asserts it is entitled to reimbursement in the amount of \$77,602.52, which is 75% of the total charges... this 3-day hospital stay qualifies for \$3,354.00 (\$1,118 x 3 days) in reimbursement... The requestor is entitled to reimbursement for implantables in the amount of \$21,320.25..."

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it **does** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." In particular, this admission resulted in a hospital stay of 4 days. The UB-92 lists the "Prin. Diag. CD. 722.10", lumbar disc displacement and the "Prin. Diag CD. 81.08", lumbar posterior fusion. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does apply and the reimbursement is to be based on stop-loss methodology.

In determining the total audited charges, it must be noted that the insurance carrier has indicated some question regarding the charges for the implantables. The requestor billed \$49,581.00 for the implantables. The carrier paid \$20,978.05 for the implantables. The key issue is what amount would represent the usual and customary charges for these implantables in determining the total audited charges. The requestor did not provide the Commission with any documentation on the actual cost of implantables or how their charges were derived.

Based on a review of numerous medical disputes and our experience, the average markup for implantables in many hospitals is 200%. Based on a reimbursement of \$20,978.05 it appears that the carrier found that the cost for the implantables was \$23,075.86 (reimbursed amount divided by 110%). This amount multiplied by the average mark-up of 200% results in an audited charge for implantables equal to \$46,151.71.

charges for the implantables equals \$100,040	ading implantables, equals \$53,889.03. This amount 7.74 the total audited charges. This amount multiple mbursement amount equal to \$13,491.74 (\$75,030)	lied by the stop-loss reimbursement factor		
Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$13,491.74.				
care provider is entitled to a remindusement a	infount for these services equal to \$15,471.74.			
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION				
entitled to additional reimbursement in the	ealthcare services, the Medical Review Division are amount of \$13,491.74. The Division hereby at due at the time of payment to the Requestor	ORDERS the insurance carrier to		
Findings and Decision by:				
	Allen McDonald	5-27-05		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Decision		
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A I	HEARING			
for a hearing must be in writing and it m (twenty) days of your receipt of this decis care provider and placed in the Austin Rej days after it was mailed and the first work Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite # 100, A attached to the request.	disagree with all or part of the Decision and has tust be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of tion (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). Decresentatives box on This Decision was placed A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief tustin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-40	Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 This Decision was mailed to the health Decision is deemed received by you five in the Austin Representative's box (28 of Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, 11. A copy of this Decision should be		
The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.				
Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.				
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIV	ERY CERTIFICATION			
I hamahar yamifu that I massived a source of t	his Decision in the Austin Representative's bo	ox		
Thereby verify that I received a copy of t	ins Decision in the Austin Representative's of			
	mis Decision in the Austin Representative's or	Date:		