MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION AMENDED FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERA	L INFORMATION				
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC			Response Timely Filed? (X) Yes () No		
Requestor's Name and Address HCA Clear Lake Regional Medical Center 3701 Kirby Drive, Suite 1288 Houston, Texas 77098-3926			MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-6472-01		
			TWCC No.:		
			Injured Employee's Name:		
Respondent's Name and Address FACILITY INSURANCE CORP			Date of Injury:		
PO BOX 13367			Employer's Name: Mason Hanger Silas Mason		
AUSTIN TX 787113367 BOX 19			Insurance Carrier's No.: 900000665		
PART II: SUMMA	RY OF DISPUTE AND	FINDINGS			
	RY OF DISPUTE AND of Service		Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
		FINDINGS - CPT Code(s) or I	Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
Dates	of Service		-	Amount in Dispute \$22,109.69	Amount Due \$0.00
Dates o From	of Service To	- CPT Code(s) or I	-	-	
Dates o From	of Service To	- CPT Code(s) or I	-	-	
Dates o From	of Service To	- CPT Code(s) or I	-	-	
Dates o From	of Service To	- CPT Code(s) or I	-	-	

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"In closing, it is the position of HCA Clear Lake Regional Medical Center that all charges relating to the admission of Betty Cichorz are due and payable as provided for under Texas law."

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

This is a medical fee dispute arising from an inpatient hospital surgical admission, dates of service 11/18/03 through 11/21/03. Requestor billed a total of \$43,961.51. The Requestor asserts it is entitled to reimbursement in the amount of \$32,971.13, which is 75% of the total charges. Requestor has not shown entitlement to this alternative, exceptional method of calculating reimbursement and has not otherwise properly calculated the audited charges.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by the provider, procedure of right total knee replacement with no complications, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was three (3) days (consisting of 2 days for surgical and 1 day for ICU). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$3,796.00 (2 times \$1,118.00 and 1 times \$1,560.00) In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

An invoice from Material Management System in the amount of \$118.41 (bone wax) X 110% = \$130.25

This Amended Findings and Decision supersedes all previous Decisions rendered in this Medical Payment Dispute involving the above Requestor and Respondent. The Medical Review Division's Decision of 03-29-05 was appealed and subsequently withdrawn by the Medical Review Division applicable to a Notice of Withdrawal of 04-15-05. An Order was rendered in favor of the Requestor. The Requestor appealed the Order to an Administrative Hearing which resulted in the withdrawal of the Findings and Decision of M4-05-0779-01.

The carrier has reimbursed the provider \$10,861.44.

Considering the reimbursement amount calculated in accordance with the provisions of Rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Authorized Signature

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is **is not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Ordered by:

Debra L. Hewitt Typed Name 05-09-05

Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Amended Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Amended Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on ______. This Amended Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Amended Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Amended Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Amended Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Amended Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier:

Date: