# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (x) HCP ( ) IE ( ) IC	<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> () Yes (x) No
Requestor's Name and Address Twelve Oaks Medical Center	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-6437-01
C/o Hollaway & Gumbert	TWCC No.:
3701 Kirby Drive, Suite 1288 Houston, TX 77098-3926	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address The Travelers Companies/Rep. Box #: 05	Date of Injury:
P.O. Box 42927 Houston, TX 77242-2927	Employer's Name: Houston Lighting & Power
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 06193 845

## PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	Ci i Code(s) of Description	rinount in Dispute	Amount Duc
4-14-04	4-17-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$30,419.45	\$26,388.78

## PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position summary of May 6, 2005 states, "... It is our position that reimbursement was improperly determined pursuant to the acute care inpatient hospital fee guidelines... Because Mr. Pittman' admission was inpatient, this claim would be reimbursed pursuant to TWCC Rule 134.401... According to Rule 134.401(c)(6), this claim would be reimbursed at the stop-loss rate of 75% as the total audited charges exceed the minimum stop-loss treshold of \$40,000 resulting in a reimbursement of \$46,186.62, and after allowing an additional 8% discount pursuant to the terms of the First Health contract, the total reimbursable amount would have been \$42,491.69. Based on the clear working of the rules of the TWCC, the carrier is liable for an additional sum owed our client in the amount of \$30,419.45...".

### PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position statement was not submitted.

## PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it **does** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." In particular, this admission resulted in a hospital stay of 2 days. The operative report of April 14, 2004 indicated the patient underwent "…1. Posterior spinal arthordesis C3-4. 2. Posterior spinal arthordesis C6-7. 3. Posterior spinal segmental instrumentation C3-7 with DePuy titanium rods and screws. 4. Harvesting right posterior iliac crest morcellized autograft through a separate fascial incision…". Accordingly, the stop-loss method does apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the stop-loss methodology.

In determining the total audited charges, it must be noted that the insurance carrier has indicated some question regarding the charges for the implantables. The requestor billed \$26,640.00 for the implantables. The carrier paid \$8,986.56 for the implantables. The key issue is what amount would represent the usual and customary charges for these implantables in determining the total audited charges. The requestor did not provide the Commission with any documentation on the actual cost of implantables or how their charges were derived. Based on a review of numerous medical disputes and our experience, the average markup for implantables in many hospitals is 200%. Based on a reimbursement of \$8,986.56, it appears that the carrier found that the cost for the implantables was \$8,169.60 (reimbursed amount divided by 110%). This amount multiplied by the average mark-up of 200% results in an audited charge for implantables equal to \$16,339.20.

The audited charges for this admission. excluding implantables. equals \$34.942.16. This amount plus the above calculated audited

	5, the total audited charges. This amount multiplie abursement amount equal to \$26,388.78 (\$38,461.0)	
Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' care provider is entitled to a reimbursement am	positions, and the application of the provisions of	f Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health
care provider is entitled to a reinfoursement and	iount for these services equal to \$20,388.78.	
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND OR	RDER	
Based upon the review of the disputed hea	Ithcare services, the Medical Review Division	n has determined that the requestor is
	amount of \$26,388.78. The Division hereby due at the time of payment to the Requestor v	
Order.	due at the time of payment to the Requestor v	vitiliii 20-days of receipt of this
Ordered by:		6.2.0 <b>7</b>
	Allen McDonald	6-3-05
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HI	EARING	
Either party to this medical dispute may disfor a hearing must be in writing and it mu (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision care provider and placed in the Austin Repridays after it was mailed and the first workin Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite # 100, Au attached to the request.  The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.	sagree with all or part of the Decision and has a lest be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of I on (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). Tresentatives box on This Decision was placed in request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief lestin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-401 on shall deliver a copy of their written request	Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 This Decision was mailed to the health ecision is deemed received by you five in the Austin Representative's box (28 Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, 1. A copy of this Decision should be set for a hearing to the opposing party
Either party to this medical dispute may disfor a hearing must be in writing and it mu (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision care provider and placed in the Austin Repridays after it was mailed and the first workin Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite # 100, Au attached to the request.  The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.	sagree with all or part of the Decision and has a set be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of I on (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). The resentatives box on This Define day after the date the Decision was placed in request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief estin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-401	Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 This Decision was mailed to the health ecision is deemed received by you five in the Austin Representative's box (28 TClerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, 1. A copy of this Decision should be set for a hearing to the opposing party
Either party to this medical dispute may disfor a hearing must be in writing and it mu (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision care provider and placed in the Austin Repridays after it was mailed and the first workin Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite # 100, Au attached to the request.  The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.	sagree with all or part of the Decision and has a lest be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of It on (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). Tresentatives box on This Design day after the date the Decision was placed in request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief lestin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-401 on shall deliver a copy of their written request pañol acerca de ésta correspondencia, favo	Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 This Decision was mailed to the health ecision is deemed received by you five in the Austin Representative's box (28 TClerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, 1. A copy of this Decision should be set for a hearing to the opposing party
Either party to this medical dispute may disfor a hearing must be in writing and it mu (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision care provider and placed in the Austin Repridays after it was mailed and the first workin Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite # 100, Au attached to the request.  The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.  Si prefiere hablar con una persona in establishment of the party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.	sagree with all or part of the Decision and has a lest be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of It on (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). Tresentatives box on This Design day after the date the Decision was placed in request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief lestin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-401 on shall deliver a copy of their written request pañol acerca de ésta correspondencia, favo	Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 This Decision was mailed to the health ecision is deemed received by you five in the Austin Representative's box (28 Telerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, 1. A copy of this Decision should be set for a hearing to the opposing party or de llamar a 512-804-4812.
Either party to this medical dispute may disfor a hearing must be in writing and it mu (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision care provider and placed in the Austin Repridays after it was mailed and the first workin Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite # 100, Au attached to the request.  The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.  Si prefiere hablar con una persona in establishment of the party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.	sagree with all or part of the Decision and has a lest be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of It on (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). The resentatives box on This Define day after the date the Decision was placed in request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief lestin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-401 on shall deliver a copy of their written request spañol acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor a pañol acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor a pañol acerca de Austin Representative's box as Decision in the Austin Representative's box and a pañol acerca de esta correspondencia.	Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 This Decision was mailed to the health ecision is deemed received by you five in the Austin Representative's box (28 TClerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, 1. A copy of this Decision should be set for a hearing to the opposing party or de llamar a 512-804-4812.
Either party to this medical dispute may disfor a hearing must be in writing and it mu (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision care provider and placed in the Austin Repridays after it was mailed and the first workin Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite # 100, Au attached to the request.  The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.  Si prefiere hablar con una persona in establishment of the party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.	sagree with all or part of the Decision and has a lest be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of It on (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). The resentatives box on This Define day after the date the Decision was placed in request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief lestin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-401 on shall deliver a copy of their written request spañol acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor RY CERTIFICATION  is Decision in the Austin Representative's box	Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 This Decision was mailed to the health ecision is deemed received by you five in the Austin Representative's box (28 Telerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, 1. A copy of this Decision should be set for a hearing to the opposing party or de llamar a 512-804-4812.