# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION				
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> (X) Yes () No			
Requestor	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-6151-01			
Corpus Christi Medical Center c/o Hollaway & Gumbert	TWCC No.:			
3701 Kirby Dr., Ste. 1288	Injured Employee's Name:			
Houston, TX 77098-3926				
D 1 /	Date of Injury:			
Respondent	Dute of Injury.			
Southwestern Bell Telephone, LP Rep. Box # 17	Employer's Name: SWB LP			
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 949760869			

## PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates	of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	Ci i Couc(s) of Description	rinount in Dispute	
4-5-04	4-8-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$8944.97	\$8944.97

### PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

IC failed to pay per TWCC Rule 134.401 Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline and SOAH decision 453-04-3600.M4...Per TWCC Rule 134.401(c)(6)...claim pays @ 75% of total charges as charges exceed \$40,000.00 stop-loss threshold. Carrier further failed to audit according to TWCC Rule 134.401(C)(6)(A)(v).

## PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Upon conducting a line audit, it was determined that the charges for implants were inflated...Liberty Mutual does not believe that Corpus Christi Medical Center is due any further reimbursement for services

## PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it **does** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." In particular, this admission resulted in a hospital stay of 3 days based upon carrier's assessment that this hospital admission qualified as a stop-loss per reimbursement of \$58,136.53. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the stop-loss methodology.

The requestor billed \$89,442.00 for the hospitalization. In determining the total audited charges, it must be noted that the insurance carrier has indicated some question regarding the charges for the implantables. The requestor billed \$49,504.00 for the implantables.

The total audited charges associated with this admission equals \$89,442.00. This amount multiplied by the stop-loss reimbursement factor (75%) results in a workers' compensation reimbursement amount equal to \$67,081.50.

The insurance carrier audited the bill and paid \$58,136.53 for the inpatient hospitalization. The difference between amount paid and amount due = \$8,944.97.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$8,944.97.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND	ND ORDER			
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$8944.97. The Division hereby <b>ORDERS</b> the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order.				
Ordered by:	Elizabeth Diakle DIIIA	June 0, 2005		
	Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA	June 9, 2005		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order		
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUES	T A HEARING			
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.  Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.				
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION				
I hereby verify that I received a copy Signature of Insurance Carrier:	of this Decision in the Austin Representati	ive's box.  Date:		