

Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFO	RMATION			
Type of Requestor: (x) Hea	alth Care Provider () Insurance Carrier			
Requestor=s Name and Address:		MDR Tracking No.:	M4-05-5340-01	
Kindred Hospital Dallas		Claim No.:		
9525 Greenville Ave				
Dallas TX 75243		Injured Employee's Name:		
Respondent's Name and Box #:		Date of Injury:		
TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO				
Representative Box #54		Employer's Name:	BILLY L & JOAN	N NABORS INC
-		Insurance Carrier's No.:	99B0000288589	
			99D000288589	
PART II: REQUESIOR'S	PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND	POSITION SUMMARY		
1 DWG (0				
1. DWC-60				
2. Position Statem	ent			
3. UB-92				
4. EOB's				
5. Operative Report	rt			
	For this procedure specifically, ESIS r g Workers' Compensation program'			
PART III+ RESPONDENT	'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AN	ID POSITION SIMMARX	7	
TART III. RESTORDENT	STRINCH LE DOCOMENTATION A			
1 DWC 60 and P	osition statement			
 DWC-60 and Position statement EOB's 				
3. ASC Group listing				
5. ASC Gloup list	ing			
Position Summary: "T of the Texas Labor Code	This carrier's payment is consistent with	th the fair and reasonabl	le criteria establish	ned in Section 413.011(b)
of the Texas Labor Cou				
PART IV: SUMMARY OF	DISPUTE AND FINDINGS			
Date(s) of Service	CPT Code(s) or De	scription	Part V Reference	Additional Amount Due (if any)
9/8/04	Hospital Outpatient	Services	1, 2, 3	\$00.00
TOTAL				\$00.00

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

The request for medical dispute in this case was received on 3/15/05

1. This dispute relates to hospital outpatient services provided in a hospital that are not covered under a Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation ("TDI, DWC") fee guideline for this date of service. Therefore, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as described in 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 and Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) to achieve, in part, access to quality medical care and effective medical cost control. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

2. The Requestor stated in their position that "...For this procedure specifically, ESIS representing Workers' Compensation program's allowable @ 59%, Travelers Ins representing Workers' Compensation program's allowable @ 79%. Please find documentation attached to validate this statement..." No copies of these allowables were submitted with this dispute and therefore will not be considered during this review.

3. The Respondent made total payments of \$456.82 with reduction codes of "M (426) –Reimbursed to fair and reasonable," "F (217) – The value of this procedure is included in the value of another procedure performed on this date," "F (287) – This service is denied because the doctor is not on the Texas Approved Doctors list (ADL) for this date of service." Denial of unbundling is not issues in this dispute as these charges are paid under the fair and reasonable reimbursement for the entire procedure. The Respondent asserted that the provider was not on the approved doctor list, yet made payment in the amount of \$456.82 to this provider. Therefore, this denial will not be considered during this review.

4. In this situation, the Requestor did not provide sufficient information on what a "fair and reasonable" reimbursement should be for these services. The Requestor purports that their total charges should be considered the amount for the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement. Hospital charges, however, are not a valid indicator of a hospital's costs at providing service nor at what is being paid by other payors. 22 *TexReg* 6269. In addition, Texas Labor Code section 413.011(d) provides, in part: "The [fee] guidelines may not provide for payment at a fee in excess of the fee... **paid** by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf (emphasis added)." The Requestor has provided only charged amounts and not evidence of typical paid amount(s) for the disputed service(s).

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES IMPACTING DECISION

28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 134.1(d) Other statutes, rules, and reference specified in this decision

PART VII: DIVISION FINDINGS AND DECISION

Based upon the lack of sufficient supporting documentation submitted by the Requestor and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor code, Sec. 413.031, the Division has determined that the Requestor **is not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Decision by:

James Schneider

10/ 27 /06

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals of a medical dispute resolution, findings and decisions are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.