

# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (x) HCP ( ) IE ( ) IC	<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> (x) Yes ( ) No
Requestor's Name and Address Twelve Oaks Medical Center C/O Hollaway & Gumbert 3701 Kirby Drive, Suite 1288 Houston, Texas 77098	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-5104-01
	TWCC No.:
	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address Sentry Insurance a Mutual Company P O Box 162443 Westlake Station Austin, Texas 78716 Box 42	Date of Injury:
	Employer's Name: Lufkin Industries, Inc.
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 51C060791

## PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	To			
03/11/04	03/16/04	Surgical Admission	\$18,562.09	\$0.00

## PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor did not submit a position statement.

## PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Carrier did not submit a position statement.

## PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the information provided by the provider, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The provider did not submit an operative report indicating what procedure was performed. However, the provider submitted an EOB indicating the diagnoses, postlaminectomy syndrome of lumbar region, nonunion of fracture, postsurgical arthrodesis status. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The provider did not submit any invoices indicating the amount billed for the implantables. Therefore, MDR cannot determine the charges of the implantables and no reimbursement is recommended for the implantables.

The carrier made reimbursement for the 5-day stay in the amount of \$40,283.82. Based on a per diem reimbursement of a 5 day-stay, reimbursement should be in the amount of \$5,590.00. Carrier paid in accordance to the per diem amount.

Therefore, based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

**PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION**

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is **not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Ordered by:

Michael Bucklin

08/09/05

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

**PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING**

If you are unhappy with all or part of this decision, you have the right to appeal the decision. Those who wish to appeal decisions that were issued during the month of August 2005, should be aware of changes to the appeals process which take effect September 1, 2005.

House Bill 7, recently enacted by the 79th Texas Legislature, provides that an appeal of a medical dispute resolution order that is not pending for a hearing at the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) on or before August 31, 2005 is not entitled to a SOAH hearing. This means that the usual 20-day window to appeal to SOAH, found in Commission Rule 148.3, will be shortened for some parties during this transition phase. If you wish to seek an appeal of this medical dispute resolution order to SOAH, you are encouraged to have your request for a hearing to the Commission as early as possible to allow sufficient time for the Commission to submit your request to SOAH for docketing. A request for a SOAH hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas 78744 or faxed to 512-804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

Beginning September 1, 2005, appeals of medical dispute resolution orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005). An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable.

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**

**PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION**

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_