MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL	L INFORMATION					
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC			Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No			
Requestor's Name and Address Vista Medical Center Hospital			MDR Tracking No.	M4-05-4813-01		
4301 Vista Road			TWCC No.:			
Pasadena, Texas 77503			Injured Employee's Name:			
Respondent's Name and Address HARTFORD UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE CO			Date of Injury:			
STE 555			Employer's Name: Dorian Tool International Inc.			
9020 N CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY AUSTIN TX 787597279 Box 27			Insurance Carrier's No.: 900000647			
PART II: SUMMAI	RY OF DISPUTE AND	FINDINGS				
Dates of Service		- CPT Code(s) or	Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due	
From	То	CI I Couc(s) of Description		Amount in Dispute		
03-16-04	03-18-04	Surgical Adu	mission	\$36,463.09	\$1.00	
PART III: REQUES	STOR'S POSITION SU	IMMARY				
TWCC Rule 134.401						

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

No position summary was found in the case file.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by the provider, primary procedures being Re-do L4 and L5 laminectomy and re-do disckectomy with the patient discharged on the second postoperative day in improved condition, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was two (2) days (consisting of 2 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$2,236.00 (2 times \$1,118.00). In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

No implantables were billed.

The carrier has reimbursed the provider \$2,235.00.00

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to an additional reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$1.00 (\$2,236.00 minus carrier payment of \$2,235.00).

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$1.00. The Division hereby ORDERS the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20 days of receipt of this Order.

Ordered by:

	Debra L. Hewitt	04-11-05
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on ______. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28) Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier: _____ Date: _____