

Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION		
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (X)No	
Requestor	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-4792-01	
Vista Medical Center Hospital	TWCC No.:	
4301 Vista Rd.	Injured Employee's Name:	
Pasadena, TX 77504		
Respondent's	Date of Injury:	
ACE Insurance Co. of Texas Rep. Box # 15	Employer's Name: OPI International Inc.	
Кер. Вол п 15	Insurance Carrier's No.: 290C8286366	

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	of I code(s) of Description	rimount in Dispute	7 mount Duc
3-17-04	3-21-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$86,901.04	\$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"Carrier has not provided an EOB, only a checkstub, as evidence of 'final action.' Payment is not in accordance with Acute Inpatient Stop Loss Fee Guideline."

Principle Documentation:

- 1. Requestor's position statement
- 2. Operative Report
- 3. Discharge Summary Report
- 4. EOB
- 5. UB-92

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent did not submit a position statement

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stoploss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

The operative report indicates that Patient underwent "L3-S1 fusion with Blackstone instrumentation; re-do left –sided decompression, L4-5 and L5-S1."

The discharge summary indicates that, "He had an uneventful recovery."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 4 days (consisting of 4 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$4,472.00 (4 X \$1118.00). In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional

reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

Cost invoices support charges of \$21,881.00. The Medical Review Division considers fair and reasonable reimbursement to be cost + 10% for implantables, resulting in a reimbursement for implantables of \$24,069.10.

The charge for surgical admission of 4472.00 + 24,069.10 for implantables = 28,541.10.

The insurance carrier paid \$67,909.62 for the inpatient hospitalization.

Considering the reimbursement amount calculated in accordance with the provisions of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that additional reimbursement is not due for these services.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION						
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement.						
Findings and Decision by:						
	Elizabeth Pickle	April 28, 2006				
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Decision				
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING						
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.						
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION						
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box. Signature of Insurance Carrier: Date:						