

Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

Retrospective Medical Necessity

FART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: (X) Health Care Provider () Injured Employee	() Insurance Carrier
Requestor's Name and Address:	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-4621-01
Neuroscience Centers Inc 1509 Falcon Drive Suite 106	Claim No.:
Desoto TX 75115	Injured Worker's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address:	Date of Injury:
Bankers Standard Ins Co Box 15	Employer's Name:
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 64827240

PART II: REQUESTOR'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

DWC-60 package, EOBs, CMS-1500s. Position statement: The carrier states unnecessary medical treatment for half a test, and paying for the other half, when this test was provided to the patient as whole and for the compensable area only.

PART III: RESPONDENT'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

DWC-60 response. Position summary: Carrier has issued supplemental payments for CPT codes 95303 and 95904, for a total reimbursement of \$373.14. The remainder of Provider's charges on date of serviced 11/19/03 were denied citing U-unnecessary medical treatment and Y-Medical Fee Guideline payment policies.

PART IV: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS			
Date(s) of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Medically Necessary?	Additional Amount Due (if any)
11-19-03	95861-WP, 95903-WP, 95904-WP	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	\$0.00

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code and Division Rule 133.308 (relating to Medical Dispute Resolution by Independent Review Organization), Medical Dispute Resolution assigned an Independent Review Organization (IRO) to conduct a review of the medical necessity issues between the requestor and respondent.

The Division has reviewed the enclosed IRO decision and determined that the requestor did **not** prevail on the disputed medical necessity issues.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES IMPACTING DECISION

28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 133.308, 134.202

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute and is not entitled to a refund of the paid IRO fee.

Findings and Decision by:

Dee Z. Torres, Medical Dispute Officer	11-17-05
Dec Z. Tones, Medical Dispute Officer	11-17-05

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.