MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: () HCP () IE (X) IC	Response Timely Filed? (x) Yes () No
Requestor's Name and Address Texas Mutual Insurance Company	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-4250-01
c/o Reeves & Brightwell 8911 N. Capital of Texas Hwy, Westech 360, Suite 3210 Austin, TX 78759-7249	TWCC No.:
	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address Universal Medical Evaluators, Inc. c/o Minton, Burton, Foster, & Collins, P.C. 1100 Guadalupe Austin, TX 78701	Date of Injury:
	Employer's Name: Galena Park ISD
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 99D0000345384

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS (Details on Page 2, if needed)

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	CI I Code(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
2/14/04	2/14/04	99456-WP	\$800.00	\$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

The carrier has filed a request for a refund based on noncompliance by the provider with multiple TWCC requirements.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

The Claimant-doctor did not charge fees in excess of those allowed by medical policies or fee guidelines, and fee refunds under Texas Labor Code § 413.016 are therefore not required. The fee charged by claimant-doctor was within range permitted by TWCC guidelines, and was the claimant-doctor's usual fee for services rendered.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

Per Rule 133.304 (p), "an insurance carrier may request medical dispute resolution in accordance with §133.305 if the insurance carrier did not earlier make full payment on the medical bill in accordance with §413.031 of the Texas Labor Code..."

The insurance carrier filed for medical dispute resolution on 2/11/05 (refund request). Review of the file reveals that on 2/26/04, the provider billed the carrier \$800.00 for a DDE rendered on 2/14/04. On 3/23/04, the insurance carrier audited the bill and made full payment in the amount of \$800.00 to the provider for the disputed service. The insurance carrier did not submit evidence of an overpayment, payment denial, or reduction of payment for the disputed service. Therefore, the Medical Review Division declines to issue an Order in this dispute. Since the insurance carrier made full payment on this medical bill, the provisions of §133.304 (p) prevent consideration of the other factual disputes presented in this particular case.

N/A

ng. als on his on ng in,