MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION			
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (X) No		
Requestor Surgical and Diagnostic Center, LP	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-4122-01		
729 Bedford Euless Road West, Ste. 100 Hurst, TX 76053	TWCC No.:		
	Injured Employee's Name:		
Respondent Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance	Date of Injury:		
Rep. Box # 28	Employer's Name: Barnes Group Inc.		
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 949769904		

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates	of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	Ci i Code(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
		Reconstruction collateral ligament billed with procedure code 82.72, 83.91, 80.34	\$2002.00	\$2400.00
3-2-04	3-2-04	Insurance carrier's payment (subtracted)		<\$1260.00>
		Additional reimbursement recommended		\$1140.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Our charges are fair and reasonable based on another insurance companies determination of fair and reasonable payments of 85 - 100% of our billed charges. Workers' Compensation carriers are subject to a duty of good faith and fair dealing in the process of worker's compensation claims.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

The bill has been paid per Texas Fee Schedule at fair and reasonable per Liberty Mutual ASC protocol.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to services provided in an Ambulatory Surgical Center that are not covered under a fee guideline for this date of service. Accordingly, the reimbursement determined through this dispute resolution process must reflect a fair and reasonable rate as directed by Commission Rule 134.1. This case involves a factual dispute about what is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services provided.

On 3-2-04, claimant underwent reconstruction of collateral ligament and arthrotomy of left ring finger.

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it appears that neither the requestor nor the respondent provided convincing documentation that sufficiently discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that their purported amount is a fair and reasonable reimbursement (Rule 133.307). The failure to provide persuasive information that supports their proposed amounts makes rendering a decision difficult. After reviewing the services, the charges, and both parties' positions, it is determined that no other payment is due.

During the rule development process for facility guidelines, the Commission had contracted with Ingenix, a professional firm specializing in actuarial and health care information services, in order to secure data and information on reimbursement ranges for these types of services. The results of this analysis resulted in a recommended range for reimbursement for workers' compensation services provided in these facilities. In addition, we received information from both ASCs and insurance carriers in the recent rule revision process. While not controlling, we considered this information in order to find data related to commercial market payments for these services. This information provides a very good benchmark for determining the "fair and reasonable" reimbursement amount for the

services in dispute.				
the reimbursement range recommended by information submitted by the parties and the considering the similarity of the various prof the Ingenix range. The total amount we	the Ingenix study (from 213.3% to 290% of the issues related to the specific procedures per cocedures involved in this surgery, staff select as then presented to a staff team with health of mmended amount, discussed the facts of the i	in this case to the amounts that would be within Medicare for 2004). Staff considered the other rformed in this dispute. Based on this review and ted a reimbursement amount in the medium end tare provider billing and insurance adjusting andividual case, and selected the appropriate "fair		
Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, the Ingenix range for applicable procedures, and the consensus of other experienced staff members in Medical Review, we find that the fair and reasonable reimbursement amount for these services is \$2400.00. Since the insurance carrier paid a total of \$1260.00 for these services, the health care provider is entitled to an additional reimbursement in the amount of \$1140.00.				
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION ANI	OORDER			
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$1140.00. The Division hereby ORDERS the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order. Ordered by:				
	Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA	August 17, 2005		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order		
radiorized bighature	Typed Ivame	Date of Order		
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST		Date of Order		
Either party to this medical dispute may for a hearing must be in writing and it (twenty) days of your receipt of this decare provider and placed in the Austin I days after it was mailed and the first wo Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)? P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party appealing the Division's Deinvolved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DEL	y disagree with all or part of the Decision must be received by the TWCC Chief Ccision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 1 Representatives box on19 orking day after the date the Decision was). A request for a hearing should be sent to or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of the ccision shall deliver a copy of their written are spañol acerca de ésta correspondence.	and has a right to request a hearing. A request Elerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 48.3). This Decision was mailed to the health This Decision is deemed received by you five placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 o: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, his Decision should be attached to the request. In request for a hearing to the opposing party cia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.		
Either party to this medical dispute may for a hearing must be in writing and it (twenty) days of your receipt of this decare provider and placed in the Austin I days after it was mailed and the first wo Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)? P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party appealing the Division's Deinvolved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DEL	y disagree with all or part of the Decision must be received by the TWCC Chief Ccision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 1 Representatives box on19 orking day after the date the Decision was). A request for a hearing should be sent to or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of the ccision shall deliver a copy of their written are spañol acerca de ésta correspondence in español acerca de ésta correspondence in this Decision in the Austin Representation	and has a right to request a hearing. A request Elerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 48.3). This Decision was mailed to the health This Decision is deemed received by you five placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 o: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, his Decision should be attached to the request. In request for a hearing to the opposing party cia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.		