MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERA	L INFORMATION				
Type of Requestor: (X)HCP ()IE ()IC			Response Timely Filed? () Yes (X) No		
Requestor			MDR Tracking No	DR Tracking No.: M4-05-4073-01	
HCA Healthcare			TWCC No.:		
6000 NW Parkway, Ste. 124			Injured Employee's Name:		
San Antonio, TX 78249			njuod Employee 5 Mane.		
Respondent			Date of Injury:		
Texas Mutual Insurance Co.			Employer's Name: Hans Fabricators & Erectors Inc.		
Rep. Box #54			Insurance Carrier's No.:		
			9700000171367		
PART II: SUMMA	RY OF DISPUTE AND	FINDINGS			
Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description		Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	of a courter of bescription			
7-16-04	7-19-04	Inpatient Hospitalization		18,672.46	\$884.00
PART III: REQUE	STOR'S POSITION SU	MMARY			

Per TWCC Guidelines total charges exceed \$40K, therefore stop-loss applies. Implants are not considered auditable charges.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

No position statement submitted.

EOB indicates services denied or reduced based upon, "Reimbursed in accordance with the Texas Hospital Fee Guideline. Serviced do not appear unusually costly; and The reimbursement for the service rendered has been determined to be fair and reasonable based on billing and payment research and is in accordance with Labor Code 413.011(D)."

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 3 days (consisting of 2 days in an intense care unit and 1 day for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$4238.00 (2 times \$1,560 plus 1 times \$1,118). In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

Invoice for implantables \$8498.24 X 10% = \$9348.06

TOTAL allowed per ACIHFG = \$4238.00 + \$9348.06 = \$13,586.06

The insurance carrier paid \$12,702.06 for the inpatient hospitalization.

Difference between amount paid of $12,702.06$ and amount due of $13,586.06 = 884.00$.						
Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$884.00.						
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER						
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$1511.20. The Division hereby ORDERS the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order. Ordered by:						
	Elizabeth Pickle	03/01/05				
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order				
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST	A HEARING					
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.						
The party appealing the Division's De involved in the dispute.	or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of the copy of the copy of their writte	b: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, is Decision should be attached to the request. n request for a hearing to the opposing party				
The party appealing the Division's De involved in the dispute.	or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of the cision shall deliver a copy of their writte n español acerca de ésta correspondenc	b: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, is Decision should be attached to the request. n request for a hearing to the opposing party				