MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: () HCP () IE (X) IC	Response Timely Filed? (x) Yes () No
Requestor's Name and Address Texas Mutual Insurance Company	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-3734-01
c/o Reeves & Brightwell	TWCC No.:
8911 N. Capital of Texas Hwy, Westech 360, Suite 3210 Austin, TX 78759-7249	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address Universal Medical Evaluators, Inc.	Date of Injury:
c/o Minton, Burton, Foster, & Collins, P.C. 1100 Guadalupe Austin, TX 78701	Employer's Name: Reliable Consultants, Inc.
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 99C0000309217

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS (Details on Page 2, if needed)

		(()		
Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	To	Ci i Couc(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	In Dispute Tamount But
6/03/04	6/03/04	97750-FC	\$330.00	\$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

The carrier has filed a request for a refund based on noncompliance by the provider with multiple TWCC requirements.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

"TMI makes no assertion that the services rendered were not medically necessary, nor that the FCEs complained of were not performed properly, nor that the recommendations arising therefrom were in error. The bulk of its claim is that through a hyper-technical interpretation of Commission rules TMI should be relieved of the obligation of paying for needed and properly-delivered services which its policies and commission rules require it to pay. The only remaining claim, that it has been over-charged is not supported by its evidence and is wrong... ...TMI has been charged only fees which are within TWCC fee guidelines and is not entitled to any reimbursement. If the agreement between UME and a doctor regarding compensation violates rule 134.801 (g)(3), that does not, under the Commission rules, relieve TMI of its obligations."

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

Per Rule 133.304 (p), "an insurance carrier may request medical dispute resolution in accordance with §133.305 if the insurance carrier did not earlier make full payment on the medical bill in accordance with §413.031 of the Texas Labor Code..."

The insurance carrier filed for medical dispute resolution on 1/21/05 (refund request). Review of the file reveals that on 6/11/04, the provider billed the carrier \$330.00 for a FCE rendered on 6/03/04. On 7/12/04, the insurance carrier made full payment in the amount of \$330.00 to the provider for the disputed service. The insurance carrier did not submit evidence of an overpayment, payment denial, or reduction of payment for the disputed service. Therefore, the Medical Review Division declines to issue an Order in this dispute. Since the insurance carrier made full payment on this medical bill, the provisions of §133.304 (p) prevent consideration of the other factual disputes presented in this particular case.

PART VI: DETAIL FINDINGS (If needed)

N/A

d
20 alth ive (28 erk, 1 be
u n f i (e