

Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

| PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--|
| Type of Requestor: (x) Health Care Provider () Injured Employee | () Insurance Carrier | |
| Requestor's Name and Address: HCA Healthcare | MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-3695-01 | |
| 6000 NW Parkway, Suite 124 | Claim No.: | |
| San Antonio, Texas 78249 | Injured Employee's Name: | |
| Respondent's Name and Address: Texas Mutual Insurance Company | Date of Injury: | |
| 6210 East Highway 290 | Employer's Name: Bethany House of Laredo, Inc. | |
| Austin, Texas 78723-1098 | Insurance Carrier's No.: | |
| Box 54 | 99D0000353538 | |

PART II: REQUESTOR'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor submitted an operative report, discharge summary and invoices. No position statement was noted in the case file.

Requestor is seeking additional reimbursement in the amount of \$34,430.20.

PART III: RESPONDENT'S PRINCIPLE DOCUMENTATION AND POSITION SUMMARY

Carrier submitted a position statement indicating: "This dispute involves this carrier's payment for the dates of service in dispute for which the requester charged \$64,336.27 for a four day inpatient stay for services that were NOT unusually extensive or costly. This carrier reimbursed the requester for four days per diem (\$1,118 times four) per the TWCC Acute Care In-patient Fee Guideline. This carrier also reimbursed fair and reasonable reimbursement for the implantables based on the invoice."

PART IV: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

| Date(s) of Service | CPT Code(s) or Description | Part V Reference | Additional Amount Due (if any) |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 06/22/04-06/26/04 | Surgical Admission | I | \$0.00 |

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

I. This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the information provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." The provider submitted an operative report indicating that a posterolateral lumbar fusion from L5-S1 was performed. The patient tolerated the procedure well and was closed in a routine fashion and taken to the recovery room in good condition and no complications were noted. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The carrier made reimbursement for the 4-day stay in the amount of \$13,822.00 per the Table of Disputed Services.

The requestor billed \$46,750.00 for the implantables.

The requestor submitted invoices indicating the cost for the implantables were \$8,500.00.

Therefore, reimbursement based on per diem is $4,472.00(4 \times 1,118.00)$ and reimbursement for the implantables at cost plus ten percent is 9,350.00 ($8,500.00 \times 110\%$). Per diem amount is 4,472.00 + 9,350.00 for the implantables = 13,822.00, leaving no additional reimbursement recommended.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is not entitled to additional reimbursement.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES IMPACTING DECISION

28 Texas Administrative Code Sec. 134.401 (c)(6).

PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor **is not** entitled to additional reimbursement.

Ordered by:

Michael Bucklin

01/03/06

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals of medical dispute resolution decisions and orders are procedurally made directly to a district court in Travis County [see Texas Labor Code, Sec. 413.031(k), as amended and effective Sept. 1, 2005]. An appeal to District Court must be filed not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision that is the subject of the appeal is final and appealable. The Division is not considered a party to the appeal.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.