MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

| PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC | Response Timely Filed? (X) Yes () No | | | |
| Requestor's Name and Address Vista Medical Center Hospital 4301 Vista Road | MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-3457-01 | | | |
| | TWCC No.: | | | |
| Pasadena, Texas 77503 | Injured Employee's Name: | | | |
| Respondent's Name and Address TPCIGA FOR HOME INDEMNITY CO | Date of Injury: | | | |
| 9120 BURNET RD AUSTIN TX 78758-5204 | Employer's Name: | | | |
| | Insurance Carrier's No.: | | | |
| Box 50 | 900000122 | | | |

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

| Dates o | of Service | CPT Code(s) or Description | Amount in Dispute | Amount Due |
|----------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| From | То | Cr r code(s) or Description | Amount in Dispute | |
| 02-20-04 | 03-02-04 | Surgical Admission | \$123,206.74 | \$0.00 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

TWCC Rule 134.401 requires payment of 75% of audited charges for billed charges that reach the stop-loss threshold of \$40,000.00.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

"First, this case did not meet the requirements for reimbursement under the stop-loss provisions and therefore, Vista has already been overpaid. Second, if the stop-loss method were applicable to this case, Vista has already been properly reimbursed under the stop-loss provisions".

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by the provider, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was eleven (11) (consisting of 11 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$12,298.00 (11 times \$1,118.00) however, the requestor billed \$7,865.00. In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

Invoice from Cortek, Inc. in the amount of $9,727.50 \times 10\% = 10,700.25$

Invoice from Osteotech. Inc. in the amount of \$2.214.24 X 10% = \$2.435.66

Invoice from NeuColl in the amount of $1,250.00 \times 10\% = 1,375.00$

Invoice from Blackstone Medical, Inc. in the amount of \$7,428.00 X 10% = \$8,170.80

Invoice from Synthes in the amount of \$149.67 X 10% = \$164.64

| Invoice from Synthes in the amount of $177.27 \times 10\% = 195.00$ Invoice from Osteotech, Inc. in the amount of $6,357.14 \times 10\% = 6,992.85$ | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| The carrier has reimbursed the provider \$59,23 | The carrier has reimbursed the provider \$59,239.28. | | | | |
| Considering the reimbursement amount calculated in accordance with the provisions of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services. | | | | | |
| PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION | | | | | |
| Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement. Ordered by: | | | | | |
| | Debra L. Hewitt | 03-09-05 | | | |
| Authorized Signature | Typed Name | Date of Order | | | |
| PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HI | EARING | | | | |
| Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute. | | | | | |
| Si prefiere hablar con una persona in es | panol acerca de esta correspondencia, | favor de llamar a 512-804-4812. | | | |
| PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVE | RY CERTIFICATION | | | | |
| I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box. | | | | | |
| Signature of Insurance Carrier: | | Date: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |