

# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

## PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

<b>Type of Requestor:</b> (X) HCP ( ) IE ( ) IC		<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> (X) Yes ( ) No	
Requestor  Doctors Hospital Tidwell/Parkway c/o Hollaway & Gumbert 3701 Kirby Dr., Ste. 1288 Houston, TX 77098-3926		MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-3219-01	
		TWCC No.:	
		Injured Employee's Name:	
Respondent  Insurance Co. of the State of PA Rep. Box # 19		Date of Injury:	
		Employer's Name: Nabors Industries LTD.	
		Insurance Carrier's No.: 010929001416WC01	

## PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	To			
2-23-04	3-1-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$36,435.29	\$28,911.73

## PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Per stop-loss threshold as total charges exceeds \$40K. Calculation of stop-loss reimbursement is \$82,482.05 (total billed) X SLRF (75%) \$20,621.51 = \$61,861.54 plus FIRST HEALTH additional 10% discount (\$6,186.15); total allowable: \$55,675.39.

## PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor billed a total of \$82,482.05. The Requestor asserts it is entitled to reimbursement in the amount of \$61,861.54, which is 75% of the total charges. Requestor has not shown entitlement to this alternative, exceptional method of calculating reimbursement and has not otherwise properly calculated the audited charges.

## PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

The operative report indicates that the procedure performed was: Total discectomy of L4-5; Removal of two intervertebral implants at L4-5; Insertion of intervertebral prosthesis (cage), L4-5; Insertion of autologous bone graft and allograft into the disc space of L4-5; Anterior instrumentation in L4-5. Removal of lumbar instrumentation of L4-5; Excision of pseudoarthrosis of L4-5; Pedicle screw fixation of L4-5; Harvesting and preparation of bone graft through a separate incision at L4-5."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it **does** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." In particular, this admission resulted in a hospital stay of 7 days based upon (extensive surgery). Accordingly, the stop-loss method does apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the stop-loss methodology.

The requestor billed \$82,482.05 for the hospitalization. In determining the total audited charges, it must be noted that the insurance carrier has indicated some question regarding the charges for the implantables. The requestor billed \$33128.00 for the implantables. The actual cost for the implants per invoices was \$10,991.00.

Based on a review of numerous medical disputes and our experience, the average markup for implantables in many hospitals is 200%. Since the requestor did not present any documentation supporting their charge, we will apply this average mark-up to the cost amount

derived from the invoices in order to determine the amount to use in the total audited charges. This amount multiplied by the average mark-up of 200% results in an audited charge for implantables equal to \$21,982.00.

The audited charges for this admission, excluding implantables, equals \$49,354.05. This amount plus the above calculated audited charges for the implantables equals \$71,336.05, the total audited charges. This amount multiplied by the stop-loss reimbursement factor (75%) results in a workers' compensation reimbursement amount equal to \$53,502.03 minus 10% discount of \$5,350.20.

The insurance carrier audited the bill and paid \$19,240.10 for the inpatient hospitalization. The difference between amount paid amount due of \$28,911.73.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$28,911.73.

**PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER**

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$28,911.73. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order.

Ordered by:

Allen McDonald, Director

April 21, 2005

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

Findings and Decision by:

Elizabeth Pickle

April 21, 2005

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

**PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING**

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on \_\_\_\_\_. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**

**PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION**

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_