MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION		
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (X) No	
Requestor	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-3194-01	
Memorial Hermann Hospital System	TWCC No.:	
c/o Sullins Johnston Rohrbah & Magers 3200 Southwest Frwy., Ste. 2200	Injured Employee's Name:	
Houston, TX 77027		
Respondent	Date of Injury:	
Liberty Mutual Fire Ins. Rep. Box # 28	Employer's Name: Darden Restaurants Inc.	
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 949720681	

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates	Dates of Service CPT Code(s) or Description		Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	CIT Couc(s) or Description	7 mount in Dispute	Amount Duc
1-7-04	1-9-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$24,217.661	\$0.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Medically necessary services that exceed stoploss threshold.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Upon conducting a line audit, it was determined that the charges for implants were inflated...Liberty Mutual does not believe that MHHS Herman Hospital is due any further reimbursement for services.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 2 days (consisting of 2 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$2236.00 (2 times \$1,118). In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

Cost invoices were not claimant specific. The insurance carrier paid \$7088.40 based upon cost + 10%. Total of surgery per diem and implantables = \$9324.40.

The insurance carrier paid \$9324.40 for the inpatient hospitalization.

Considering the reimbursement amount calculated in accordance with the provisions of rule 134.401(c) compared with the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier, we find that no additional reimbursement is due for these services.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION				
Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement.				
Findings and Decision by:				
	Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA	June 20, 2005		
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order		
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING				
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request. The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.				
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION				
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box.				
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:		