MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION				
Type of Requestor: () HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes () No			
Requestor's Name and Address	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-3041-01			
HCA Healthcare	TWCC No.:			
6000 N Parkway, Ste. 124				
San Antonio, TX 78249	Injured Employee's Name:			
Respondent's Name and Address	Date of Injury:			
TPS Joint Self Insurance Funds				
c/o Harris & Harris	Employer's Name:			
Box 42	Insurance Carrier's No.:			
	73020007110			
PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS				

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То		Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
06/22/04	06/23/04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$14,245.34	\$3,959.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position Summary was not submitted by the Requestor; however, the Requestor's rationale noted on the Table of Disputed Services states, "Per TWCC guideline total charges exceed \$40K, therefore stoploss applies. Implants are not considered auditable charges."

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position Summary states in part, "...To invoke the Stop-Loss reimbursement provisions, the Requestor must meet two criteria: (1) athe audited charges must exceed \$40,000, the minimum stop-loss threshold, and (2) the services made the basis of the charges must be unusually extensive/costly. Nowhere in any of the submitted documentation does the Requestor indicate the services were unusually extensive or costly. Nothing in the documentation describes complications of any nature; nothing shows the procedure was anything but routine. While the Requestor did bill over \$40,000 for its services, it has not shown the procedure to be either unusually costing or extensive. As such, it has failed to meet the two-pronged Stop-Loss criteria, and merits no additional monies..."

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was 1 day. Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$1,118.00. In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

Medtronic Invoices: \$18,897.40	Cost + 10%	\$22,497.40	Per Diem: \$ 1,118.00
3,600.00		<u>x 10</u> %	Implantables: <u>24,747.14</u>
\$22,497.40		\$24,747.14	\$25,864.14

The Requestor billed \$48,200.64; the Respondent paid the healthcare provider \$21,905.14. Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$3,959.00.

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement in the amount of \$3,959.00. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20-days of receipt of this Order.

Ordered by:

I 0.1 °1	02 00 05
James Schneider	03-09-05

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on ______. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier:

Date: