# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION			
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> ( ) Yes (X ) No		
Requestor Harris Methodist Fort Worth	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-2940-01		
3255 W. Pioneer Parkway	TWCC No.:		
Arlington, TX 76013	Injured Employee's Name:		
Respondent	Date of Injury:		
Texas Mutual Insurance Co. Rep. Box #54	Employer's Name: Four R. Investment Co.		
Rep. Dox not	Insurance Carrier's No.: 99D-353612		

## PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates	of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	CIT Code(s) of Description		
4-1-04	4-5-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$51,423.75	\$34,133.94

## PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Stoploss threshold met; carrier has history of only acknowledging "trauma" when initial ER.

## PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position statement was not submitted.

# PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it **does** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." In particular, this admission resulted in a hospital stay of 4 days based upon the claimant underwent a 360 degree spinal fusion from a posterior approach with complete L5 laminectomy, L5-S1 interbody arthrodesis, and stabilization from L4 to S1 with reduction of spondylolisthesis. Following surgery, he developed some vomiting and minimal upper GI bleeding. A gastroenterology consultation was obtained. He was thought to have postoperative ileus and gastritis. He required insertion of a nasogastric tube. His diet was advanced April 4, 2004. His NG tube was then removed. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the stop-loss methodology.

The requestor billed \$91,140.55 for the hospitalization. The requestor billed \$45,707.00 for the implantables. The carrier paid \$12459.66 for the implantables based on a cost plus 10% approach. , it appears that the carrier found that the cost for the implantables was \$11,326.96 (reimbursed amount divided by 110%). This amount multiplied by the average mark-up of 200% results in an audited charge for implantables equal to \$22,653.92.

The audited charges for this admission, excluding implantables, equals \$45,433.55. This amount plus the above calculated audited charges for the implantables equals \$68,087.47, the total audited charges. This amount multiplied by the stop-loss reimbursement factor (75%) results in a workers' compensation reimbursement amount equal to \$51,065.60.

The insurance carrier paid \$16,931.66. The	e difference between amount paid and due = 5	\$34,133.94.			
	ties' positions, and the application of the provet amount for these services equal to \$34,133.9	risions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health 94.			
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND ORDER					
entitled to additional reimbursement in		Division has determined that the requestor is hereby <b>ORDERS</b> the insurance carrier to uestor within 20-days of receipt of this			
	Allen McDonald, Director	May 20, 2005			
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order			
Decision by:					
	Elizabeth Pickle	May 20, 2005			
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order			
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING					
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.					
involved in the dispute.					
Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.					
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELI	VERY CERTIFICATION				
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.					
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:			